

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	What matters to women: a systematic scoping review to identify the processes and outcomes of antenatal care provision that are important to healthy pregnant women
Type	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/id/eprint/13570/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.13819
Date	2016
Citation	Downe, Soo, Finlayson, K., Tunçalp, Ö and Metin Gülmezoglu, A (2016) What matters to women: a systematic scoping review to identify the processes and outcomes of antenatal care provision that are important to healthy pregnant women. BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, 123 (4). pp. 529-539. ISSN 14700328
Creators	Downe, Soo, Finlayson, K., Tunçalp, Ö and Metin Gülmezoglu, A

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.13819

For information about Research at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/

What matters to women: A systematic scoping review to identify the processes and outcomes of antenatal care provision that are important to healthy pregnant women Women's views of what matters for care during pregnancy Soo Downe, Professor of Midwifery: Research in Childbirth and Health (ReaCH) group, University of Central Lancashire, Preston PR1 2HE UK, Kenneth Finlayson, Senior Research Assistant: Research in Childbirth and Health (ReaCH) group, University of Central Lancashire, Preston PR1 2HE UK, Özge Tunçalp, Scientist, Department of Reproductive Health and Research including UNDP/UNFPA/UNICEF/WHO/World Bank Special Programme of Research, Development and Research Training in Human Reproduction (HRP), World Health Organization, 20 Avenue Appia, 1211, Geneva, Switzerland A. Metin Gülmezoglu, Coordinator, Department of Reproductive Health and Research including UNDP/UNFPA/UNICEF/WHO/World Bank Special Programme of Research, Development and Research Training in Human Reproduction (HRP), World Health Organization, 20 Avenue Appia, 1211, Geneva, Switzerland Corresponding Author: Prof Soo Downe Tel: +44 (0) 1772 893815 E-mail: SDowne@uclan.ac.uk

1
 2
 3

8 9

101112

13

1415

16 17

18

1920

2122

23

242526

27

Abstract

Background

Global uptake of antenatal care (ANC) varies widely and is influenced by the value women place on the service they receive. Identifying outcomes that matter to pregnant women could inform service design and improve uptake and effectiveness.

Objectives

To undertake a systematic scoping review of what women want, need and value in pregnancy

Search strategy

Eight databases were searched (1994-2015) with no language restriction. Relevant journal contents were tracked via Zetoc.

Data collection and analysis

An initial analytic framework was constructed with findings from 21 papers, using data-mining techniques, and then developed using meta-ethnographic approaches. The final framework was tested with 17 more papers.

Main results

All continents except Australia were represented. 1264 women were included. The final meta-theme was: Women want and need a positive pregnancy experience, including four subthemes: maintaining physical and socio-cultural normality; maintaining a healthy pregnancy for mother and baby (including preventing and treating risks, illness and death); effective transition to positive labour and birth; and achieving positive motherhood (including maternal self-esteem, competence, autonomy). Findings informed a framework for future ANC provision, comprising three equally important domains: clinical practices (interventions and tests); relevant and timely information; and pyschosocial and emotional support; each provided by practitioners with good clinical and interpersonal skills within a high quality health system.

Conclusions

A positive pregnancy experience matters across all cultural and socio-demographic contexts. ANC guidelines and services should be designed to deliver it, and those providing ANC services should be aware of it at each encounter with pregnant women.

Keywords

- Antenatal care; guidelines, World Health Organization, women's views, social support, pregnancy,
- 66 wordclouds

- Tweetable abstract: Women around the world want antenatal care staff and services to help them achieve a positive pregnancy experience

Introduction

Universal access to antenatal care (ANC) is one of the key indicators in the 2015 Millennium Goal 5¹. The 2002 WHO recommendations for ANC provision are based on the findings of a rigorous systematic review². They promote a package of at least four visits with evidence-based interventions through goal-oriented clinic visits. This is known as focused antenatal care (FANC)³. Since 2002, many low and middle-income countries have adopted FANC into national policies, guidelines and institutional protocols. However, in 2012, only 52% of pregnant women had four or more ANC visits during pregnancy, an absolute increase of only 15% in 22 years⁴. Lack of agreement about the optimal content, frequency, and style of delivery of ANC may be a barrier to uptake if local ANC provision does not meet the needs and expectations of women and families. Indeed, marked coverage gaps occurred for recommended ANC procedures in most of the 41 countries reviewed in 2014⁵. Arguably, health care programmes can increase the likelihood of uptake and, therefore, of health improvement if they are designed on the basis of outcomes that matter to all relevant individuals, and if they provide care components that have the best chance of delivering those outcomes, in a way that is acceptable, accessible, and appropriate for the intended service users⁶.

The primary outcomes examined in the current Cochrane Review of trials of alternative versus standard packages of antenatal care for low-risk pregnancy encompass death and serious morbidity only⁷. This suggests that what researchers and service providers think ANC is for, even for healthy women and babies, is the identification and prevention of pathology. Some researchers have measured 'satisfaction' and levels of attendance in relation to specific ANC programmes^{8, 9}. However, studies of why women don't use ANC suggest that other, more complex, outcomes might also be important to them ^{10, 11}. The 2015 WHO ANC guideline development steering committee recognized the need to maximize uptake of ANC, by designing programmes and interventions that are acceptable and relevant to all pregnant women. To inform the guideline development process, the objective of this evidence synthesis of the qualitative literature was to describe what women in high, medium and low income countries want and expect from antenatal care, based on their own accounts of their beliefs, views, expectations and experiences of pregnancy.

Methods

The study was a systematic scoping review, followed by an evidence synthesis of qualitative data using a Framework approach¹², based on meta-ethnographic principles.¹³

Reflexive note

In keeping with quality standards for rigor in qualitative research, the authors considered their views and opinions on antenatal care as possible influences on the decisions made in the design and conduct of the study, and, in turn, on how the emerging results of the study influenced those views and opinions. All the authors believed at the outset that contact with formal and informal care givers throughout pregnancy

was valuable, but that formal ANC provision is generally over-focused on clinical procedures and the assessment of risk/ill-health, with too little focus on the psychosocial aspects of pregnancy. Refutational analytic techniques were therefore used to minimize the risk that these pre-suppositions would skew the analysis and the interpretation of the findings.

Search strategy

 The search terms covered the criteria of Context (antenatal or antenatal or antepartum [Ti or Ab]); Intervention (care or clinic or outpatient\$ or education\$ or session\$ [Ti or Ab]); Topic (want or like or desire or expect\$ or anticipate\$ or view\$ or experience\$ or encounter\$ or belief [Ti or Ab]) and Study Type (qualitative or review or interview\$ or group or ethnograph\$ or phenomenol\$ or grounded + theory [Ti or Ab]).

Inclusion/exclusion criteria

No language restrictions were imposed. Studies published before 1994 were excluded, to ensure that the findings reflect the current generation of women who may encounter antenatal care. Studies were included if they reported women's views directly (and not through staff opinion, or observational data), and if these were the views of the general population of healthy women. To ensure that the data reflected the views and experiences of the general population of healthy women in any specific study setting, and that they were not focused on services that were actually available to them (which may or may not be what they actually wanted and/or needed), studies were excluded if they reported on views and experiences of specific antenatal care provision, or of specialist services that were not provided to the population of pregnant women, and/or on specific subgroups of women with particular health problems. KF screened the initial hits against the inclusion criteria. Abstracts and full text papers were included based on consensus between at least two team members.

Data sources

Eight databases were searched. The first search was undertaken in May and June 2014 in six of the eight databases (Medline, PubMed Cinahl, EMBASE, LILACS, AJOL). PsycInfo and AMED were searched in August 2014. Reference lists of included papers were scrutinized (backchained). Zetoc alerts were set up for over 50 relevant journals, and these have continued to date. Papers generated by the secondary searches (PsycInfo and AMED) as well as the papers from the back-chaining and Zetoc alert processes were used as confirmatory data against the emerging themes from the main review.

Quality assessment

The included studies were subject to quality appraisal using the instrument developed by Walsh and Downe ¹⁴ and modified by Downe e al ^{15.} . This is a simple appraisal system that rates studies against 11 criteria, and then allocates a score from A-D (see box one). Studies scoring D were excluded on quality grounds.

Scoring criteria for quality appraisal

- A: No, or few flaws. The study credibility, transferability, dependability and confirmability are high;
- B: Some flaws, unlikely to affect the credibility, transferability, dependability and/or confirmability of the
- 150 study;
 - C: Some flaws that may affect the credibility, transferability, dependability and/or confirmability of the
- 152 study
 - D: Significant flaws that are very likely to affect the credibility, transferability, dependability and/or
- 154 confirmability of the study.

Analytic strategy

The analytic process was undertaken in three steps. A modified Framework Analytic approach was used to structure the data synthesis¹².

In step one, the summarised findings from the studies included after the searches undertaken in May and June 2014 were entered into Google Wordle OpenSource software, first with the complete set of text, and then minus words that related to the inclusion criteria for the studies, or their geographical location, and not the findings ('prenatal', 'pregnancy', 'women', 'health care', 'themes', American, African). Text mining software is increasingly sophisticated ¹⁶ and the resulting visual word-clouds allowed for the rapid development of an inductively derived thematic framework that was then tested deductively with further sets of data from the total dataset in steps two and three.

In step two, the initial framework was tested and further developed by mapping detailed findings of all the studies contributing to the data-mining outputs one by one against the framework elements. Meta-ethnographic analytic techniques¹³ of reciprocal and refutational translation were used to assess fit and relevance. The explanatory power of the framework was established using the CERQual approach based on methodological limitations, adequacy of data, coherence and relevance¹⁷. This included an examination of the number of studies contributing to each element of the framework, and the quality and geographical spread of those studies.

In step three, studies emerging from the second set of searches (in PsychInfo and Amed) and papers from the back-chaining exercise and Zetoc alerts were used as the basis for confirmatory testing of the framework developed in steps one and two.

The findings were then translated into principles for future antenatal care provision, and were the basis of an associated new, woman-centred framework for audit and research in this area.

Results

Included studies

185

186 187

188 189

190 191

192

193

194

195

196 197

198

199

200201

202203

204

205206

207

208

209210

211212

213214

215 216

217218

219

220221

222

The initial search strategy generated 8205 hits including 5781 from a single database (Pub Med). To make the screening stage more manageable the results from PubMed were sorted by relevance and the first 1500 were screened by title. All of the hits from the remaining databases were included in the screening stage meaning that 4554 results were reviewed by title. 4185 studies were excluded at this stage primarily because they were deemed to be unrelated to the topic of interest. The remaining 369 studies were taken forward for abstract review and a further 167 were excluded at this stage. The reasons for exclusion were: representing the views of other stakeholders rather than women (n= 49); explicitly quantitative (n= 72); not deemed to be research studies (n= 9); not directly related to the topic (n= 23); duplicates (n = 10) and dissertations (n= 4). 202 studies were therefore taken forward for full text review and, of these, a further 178 were excluded because they either represented the views of specific sub-populations, e.g. women with HIV, women with a BMI >30, etc.; (n= 114) or they were concerned with a specific component of antenatal care e.g. fetal anomaly screening, HIV counselling, etc.; (n=64). After quality appraisal another 3 papers were removed. One was a systematic review evaluating women's experiences of antenatal care rather than their expectations¹⁸, one was predominantly quantitative¹⁹, and one was about the factors affecting antenatal care utilization rather than what women want from services²⁰ (see figure 1 for flowchart of included studies).

The second search generated 708 hits, of which, 578 were excluded by title, 46 at the abstract stage and 68 following full text review. 16 were therefore taken forward for quality assessment and 3 of these were excluded at this stage because they were predominantly quantitative²¹⁻²³. (Full details of this search are shown in figure 1). There were no additional studies from the Zetoc alerts and 4 studies were obtained from the back-chaining exercise. These four studies were assessed for quality and included in the confirmatory analysis. A total of 38 papers were therefore included in the analysis ²⁴⁻⁶¹. These are coded 1-38 in the following tables, and these codes are given in square brackets at the end of each relevant reference in the reference list.

--- INSERT FIGURE 1 ---

Characteristics and quality of included studies

Characteristics of the included studies were tabulated (see supplementary file Table S1). The date range was 1994-2013. All regions of the world were represented except Australasia. By continent, the largest number of studies were based in North (13) or South (8) America. Four were from Africa, four each from Europe and Asia, two from the Middle East, and one study included four countries (Cuba, Thailand, Argentina, Saudi Arabia).

The majority of the included studies used qualitative techniques, and most data were collected by individual interviews and/or focus or discussion groups. Sample size ranged from 5-164. The studies

included women from a wide range of socio-demographic groups, and the overall age range was 13-49. The quality of most studies was fair to high (B or above).

225 226

223

224

227

228

The results of the data mining process for all the findings text for the 21 papers located in the first search (studies 1-21 in supplementary file Table S1) are given in supplementary file Figure S1. The results for the reduced set of text, are given in figure 2:-

229

--- INSERT FIGURE 2

230 231

232

233

234

236

239

240

241

242

The framework for analysis derived from the data mining exercise, and amended following the comprehensive mapping of all the 21 papers in step two, is given in tables one and two, and in the supplementary file (Table S2) table three (text in black in each table).

235

Step three: Testing the analytic framework

three, except for availability of services.

237 238

The findings from the included studies at step three (studies 22-38) were then mapped to the amended framework to check that all the themes continue to have explanatory power, and to make sure no themes were missing (text in red in tables one and two, and in the supplementary file (Table S2) represent the studies identified in step three). As in the planned analytic strategy, this comprised both a reciprocal process (when the data could be mapped to the framework) and a refutational one (to check if any of the data could not be mapped)¹³. The data from all the studies could be mapped to this final framework. The subthemes developed in step two were all supported by data from at least one of the studies in step

243 244

245

-INSERT TABLES 1 and 2

A positive pregnancy experience emerged as a composite outcome from our results (Table 1). This was

246 247

248

249

What matters to women

250

informed by four sub-themes or components (Table 1) that mattered to women in pregnancy, across 251 countries, cultural groups, and varying socio-demographics, namely: maintaining physical and socio-252 cultural normality; maintaining a healthy pregnancy for mother and baby (including preventing and

253 treating risks, illness, and death); effective transition to positive labour and birth; and achieving positive 254 motherhood (including maternal self-esteem, competence, autonomy).

255

256

257 258

259

260

The findings also informed a proposed design for a revised, women-centred ANC service, comprising three domains: clinical care/theraputic practices (biomedical interventions and tests, integrated with spiritual and religious practices, where appropriate); relevant and timely information (physiological, biomedical, as as behavioural and socio-cultural); and support (social, cultural, emotional, and psychological) (Table 2). The final data set arising from the analysis concerned the attributes of formal and informal care

providers, including both positive interpersonal behaviours and skills and competencies; and health system requirements, including the quality and accessibility of the health system within which ANC was provided

Discussion

Main findings

The findings of this review confirm that women from a wide range of cultural and socio-economic contexts expect positive wellbeing for themselves and their newborns to be the main outcome of pregnancy. Beyond this apparently common-sense conclusion, the analysis reveals that positive pregnancy experience has four distinct components, that could be operationalized in research, guidelines, and ANC provision. The findings challenge the tendency for antenatal care to be focused on the identification and treatment of potential or actual pathology, without paying attention to the maintenance and promotion of positive health and wellbeing. This study therefore contributes to the on-going CROWN maternity care outcomes initiative⁶², in proposing a new composite measure to capture wellbeing.

The data suggests that routine service provision might provide only a small proportion of what matters to women (and, by extension, to their partners and families). This is especially so if routine provision cannot flex around the expectations, beliefs, needs, and resources of intended service users, and where it is largely or entirely focused on clinical detection and treatment of potential or actual pathology. In addition to the tailored (rather than routine) use of biomedical tests and interventions, the findings imply that ANC would be better able to deliver a positive pregnancy experience if it incorporated three key domains: local practices and knowledge where these are effective, as well as appropriate biomedical tests and treatments; social, cultural, emotional, and psychological support throughout; and the provision of relevant, appropriate and timely information. These latter two domains have been present in previous antenatal guidelines, but as underpinning principles, rather than as interventions to be given equal weight with clinical treatments and processes³.

The data also indicate that the characteristics, attitudes and behaviors of formal and informal care providers are important to pregnant women. This includes positive interpersonal behaviours, and clinical, cultural, and social skills and competence. Finally, women required that the health system they were accessing should enable ANC to be available, safely accessible, affordable, good quality, and that it should enable enough time for each woman to ensure her particular needs were met, in private spaces that permitted social exchange between women and staff, and between pregnant women and their peers.

Strengths and limitations

The study used secondary data, collected for a range of reasons. The conclusions are therefore based on what the original authors chose to report, and not on the whole dataset generated for each study. Some

studies included small numbers of women. Australia was not represented, but two Australian papers published after the review was completed reinforce the findings ⁶³ ⁶⁴, as does an earlier empirical study looking at relevant outcomes in one specific model and country setting (midwifery led antenatal care in one Irish clinic), ⁶⁵, providing external evidence that the findings are comprehensive and transferable. Each of the domains emerging from the analysis mapped to a large number of studies, from a range of cultural, linguistic and income level settings, and so the final results can be accepted for most contexts with high confidence. Methodologically, the use of word clouds to explore large amounts of qualitative data is relatively new and our approach demonstrates how these may be used to integrate findings from qualitative research into evidence based practice.

Interpretation

 As part of the core dataset of maternity care outcomes and current WHO-led initiatives to improve quality of care for pregnant women and newborns⁶⁶ we propose that 'positive pregnancy experience' should be operationalized, either by mapping to existing tools and techniques that measure the four components identified, or by developing new instruments. These may include individually tailored Quality of Life tools such as the Mother Generated Index⁶⁷. These indicators should then be used for the evaluation of any future guidelines, interventions or programmes developed for antenatal care provision.

We suggest that the active provision of social support should occur both in formal care settings, and in communities. This could be done by including service design (incorporating the environment where care is delivered) and delivery approaches that provide psycho-social and emotional support for staff and service users, and that enhance physiological processes, hope, and positive feelings, to help women to understand and deal with normal changes in pregnancy, and to prepare actively for labour, birth, and mothering.

We also propose that provision of information in pregnancy should include physiological, behavioral, social, cultural, and biomedical components, and it should value embodied and cultural knowledge, as well as biomedical evidence. It should be tailored to the needs of the particular woman at the specific time in her pregnancy when that particular information is needed, and it should be given in a manner and through a medium that is comprehensible and accessible for her.

Our interpretation of what might work to deliver a positive pregnancy experience is compatible with the new WHO quality of care framework for maternal and newborn health 66, which incorporates evidence-based practice for routine care and management of complications, effective communication, emotional support, respect, and dignity, provided within a functional health system that allows access to care, with the aim of increasing desirable people-centred outcomes. These elements also underpin the Lancet Quality Maternal and Newborn Care framework 68, suggesting that they might apply across the maternity episode, and not just in the antenatal period.

There is some *a priori* evidence that the three proposed ANC domains identified in Table 2 (care practices, information and support) might be acceptable to pregnant women, on the basis of positive evaluations of group antenatal care, which is designed to maximize social support as well as clinical provision ⁶⁹. Indeed, community women's groups that are set up in pregnancy and continue postpartum have delivered remarkable results in reducing neonatal and maternal morbidity in a range of low-income settings⁷⁰. Examination of the active mechanisms of these groups suggest that they include health education, confidence building, information dissemination, and increasing community capacity for action⁷¹. A shift towards an integrated ANC model that gives equal weight, resources, and time to tests and interventions, information and support, may, therefore, have positive effects on both physical and psychosocial wellbeing.

Conclusions

 A positive pregnancy experience is important for women in a range of cultural and socio-demographic contexts. The four components of positive pregnancy experience identified in this review should be included in ANC research. ANC guidelines and programmes should include packages of care designed to encompass these components. Future research could test the capacity of ANC based on care practices, information, and support to deliver a positive pregnancy experience. All service providers (medical, midwifery and nursing professionals and lay health workers, in hospitals, health centres and local communities) should consider how they can work with women, families, local communities, and with each other, to provide care that results in this outcome, to ensure optimal uptake of ANC services, and to maximize well-being for mothers and newborns.

Acknowledgements

This paper was written as part of the Adding Content to Contact project, which was made possible by Grant Number OPP1084319 from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, and was a collaboration between the Maternal Health Task Force and Department of Global Health and Population at the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, HRP/WHO, and ICS Integrare.

Disclosure of Interest

None

Contribution to Authorship

OT had the idea for the review, under the overall leadership of MG. SD led the review, and did the detailed design and coordination. SD, KF and OT undertook the analysis, and interpretation of the data. All authors contributed to the development and finalization of the paper

Details of ethics approval

Not required

Funding

Grant Number OPP1084319 from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation

References

381

382

383

386

387

389 390

391

392

394

379

- 1. United Nations 2015 Millenium Development Goals (5B). Available at:
 - http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/. Accessed March 22nd 2015.

384 385

- 2. Carroli G, Villar J, Piaggio G, Khan-Neelofur D, Gülmezoglu M, Mugford M, et al; WHO Antenatal Care Research Group. WHO systematic review of randomised controlled trials of routine antenatal care.
- The Lancet. 2001; 19 357(9268):1565-70.

388

- 3. The World Health Organisation. WHO antenatal care randomized trial. Manual for the implementation of the new model. 2001; Available from:
- http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/maternal perinatal health/RHR 01 30/en/.
- Accessed March 22nd 2015

393

- 4. The Millennium Development Goals Report, 2014; United Nations.
- http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/2014%20MDG%20report/MDG%202014%20English%20web.pdf

395 396

397

398

399

- 5. Hodgins S, D'Agostino. The quality-coverage gap in antenatal care: toward better measurement of effective coverage. Glob Health Sci Pract. 2014; First published online April 8, 2014.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.9745/GHSP-D-13-00176

400 401 402

- 6. Che Chi P, Bulage P, Urdal H, Sundby J. A qualitative study exploring the determinants of maternal health service uptake in post-conflict Burundi and Northern Uganda BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth 2015;
- 15:18 doi:10.1186/s12884-015-0449-8

404

403

405 406 7. Dowswell T, Carroli G, Duley L, Gates S, Gülmezoglu AM, Khan-Neelofur D et al. Alternative versus standard packages of antenatal care for low-risk pregnancy. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2015, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD000934. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000934.pub3.

407 408

409 410

411

8. Chemir F, Alemseged F, Workneh D. Satisfaction with focused antenatal care service and associated factors among pregnant women attending focused antenatal care at health centers in Jimma town, Jimma zone, South West Ethiopia; a facility based cross-sectional study triangulated with qualitative study. BMC Res Notes. 2014; 7:164. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-7-164.

412 413

416

9. Gupta S, Yamada G, Mpembeni R, Frumence G, Callaghan-Koru JA, Stevenson R, et al. Factors associated 414 415

- with four or more antenatal care visits and its decline among pregnant women in Tanzania between 1999
- and 2010 PLoS One. 2014; Jul 18; 9(7):e101893. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0101893. eCollection.

10 Downe S, Finlayson K, Walsh D, Lavender T. 'Weighing up and balancing out': a meta-synthesis of

barriers to antenatal care for marginalised women in high-income countries'. BJOG 2009; 116(4):518-29

419 420

421

422 423

- 11. Finlayson K, Downe S 2013 Why Do Women Not Use Antenatal Services in Low- and Middle-Income
- Countries? A Meta-Synthesis of Qualitative Studies. PLoS Med 2013; 10(1): e1001373.
- doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001373

424

425

426

427

- 12. Smith J, Firth J. Qualitative data analysis: the framework approach, based on meta-ethnographic
- principles⁻ Nurse Res. 2011; 18(2):52-62.

428 13.

- 13. Noblitt GW, Hare RD. Meta-Ethnography: Synthesizing Qualitative Studies. Newbury Park, CA, Sage,
- 429 1988

430 431

14. Walsh D, Downe S. Appraising the quality of qualitative research. *Midwifery* 2006; 22(2) 108-19

432

433 434

436

437

439

440

442443

- 15. Downe S., Simpson L. & Trafford K. Expert intrapartum maternity care: a meta-synthesis. *Journal of*
- Advanced Nursing 2007; 57(2), 127–140

435

- 16. Weichselbraun A, Gindl S, Scharl A. Enriching semantic knowledge bases for opinion mining in big data
- applications. Knowl Based Syst. 2014; 69:78-85.

438

- 17. CERQual Confidence in the Evidence from Reviews of Qualitative Research. Available at:-
- http://cerqual.org/whatisthecerqualapproach. Accessed August 4th 2015.

441

- 18. Novick G. Women's experience of prenatal care: an integrative review. J Midwifery Womens Health.
- 2009; 54(3):226-37. doi: 10.1016/j.jmwh.2009.02.003.

444

445

446 447

- 19. Gress-Smith JL, Roubinov DS, Tanaka R, Cmic K, Gonzales N, Enders C, et al. Prenatal expectations in
- Mexican American women: development of a culturally sensitive measure. Arch Womens Ment Health.
- 2013; 16(4):303-14. doi: 10.1007/s00737-013-0350-2. Epub 2013 Apr 17.

448 449

450 451

- 20. Andrew EV, Pell C, Angwin A, Auwun A, Daniels J, Mueller I, et al. Factors affecting attendance at and
- timing of formal antenatal care: results from a qualitative study in Madang, Papua New Guinea. PLoS One.
- 2014; 9(5):e93025. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0093025. eCollection 2014.

- 453 21. Heaman M, Moffatt M, Elliott L, Sword W, Helewa ME, Morris H et al. Barriers, motivators and
 - facilitators related to prenatal care utilization among inner-city women in Winnipeg, Canada: a case-
 - control study. BMC Preanancy and Childbirth 2014: 14:227.

22. Solarin I, Black V. "They told me to come back": women's antenatal care booking experience in innercity Johannesburg. *Maternal and Child Health Journal* 2013; Feb;17(2):359-67.

23. Schaffer MA, Lia-Hoagberg B. Prenatal care among low-income women. *Families in Society* 1994; 75(3): 152-159.

24. Tasci-Duran E, Sevil U. A Comparison of the Prenatal Health Behaviors of Women from Four Cultural Groups in Turkey: An Ethnonursing Study. *Nursing Science Quarterly* 2013; 26(3) 257–266. [1]

25. Graner S, Klingberg-Allvin M, Duong le Q, Krantz G, Mogren I. Pregnant women's perception on signs and symptoms during pregnancy and maternal health care in a rural low-resource setting. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2013; 92(9):1094-1100. [2]

26. Theroux R, Toft Klar R & Messenger L. Working Hard: Women's Self-Care Practices in Ghana. *Health Care for Women International* 2013; 34:8, 651-673. [3]

27. Bergman A & Connaughton SL. What Is Patient-Centered Care Really? Voices of Hispanic Prenatal Patients, *Health Communication* 2013; 28:8, 789-799. [4]

28. Widarsson M, Kerstis B, Sundquist K, Engström G, Sarkadi A. Support needs of expectant mothers and fathers: a qualitative study 2012; *J Perinat Educ* Winter; 21(1):36-44. [5]

29. Agus Y, Horiuchi S, Porter SE. Rural Indonesia women's traditional beliefs about antenatal care. *BMC Research Notes* 2012; 5:589. [6]

30. Farnes C, Beckstrand RL, Callister LC. Help-seeking behaviours in childbearing women in Ghana, West Africa. *International Nursing Review* 2011; 58, 491–497. [7]

31. Dako-GyekeP, Aikins M, Aryeetey R, Mccough L, Adongo PB. The influence of socio-cultural interpretations of pregnancy threats on health-seeking behaviour among pregnant women in urban Accra,

Ghana. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth 2013; 13:211. [8]

32. Bonadio IC, Being treated as a human being. Experiences of patients in a prenatal service of a philanthropic institution. *Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da U S P* 1998; 32(1): 9-15. [9]

492 33. Santos AL, Radovanovic CAT, Marcon SS. Prenatal care: satisfaction and expectations. *Rev. RENE* 2011;

11 (n.esp): 61-71. [10]

34. Chang SR, Kenney NJ, Chao YM. Transformation in self-identity amongst Taiwanese women in late pregnancy: a qualitative study. *International Journal of Nursing Studies* 2010; 47(1):60-66. [11]

35. Safadi R. Jordanian women: Perceptions and practices of first-time pregnancy. *International Journal of Nursing Practice* 2005; 11: 269–276. [12]

36. Camarena L, von Glascoe C. Alternative perspectives of quality of prenatal care in Chihuahua, Mexico. *Rev. Fac. Nac. Salud Pública* 2007; vol.25 no.1 Medellín. [13]

37. Dioz M. How Prenatal care is seen by pregnant women. *REME – Rev. Min. Enf.* 2006; 10(4):369-373 [14]

38. Morgan M. Prenatal care of African American women in selected USA urban and rural cultural contexts. *Journal of Transcultural Nursing* 1996; 7:2 Jan-June: 3-9. [15]

39. Stokes E, Dumbaya I, Owens S, Brabin L. The right to remain silent: a qualitative study of the medical and social ramifications of pregnancy disclosure for Gambian women. *BJOG.* 2008; Dec; 115(13):1641-7. [16]

512 [10

 40. Jeneral RBR, Hoga LAK. The uncertainty of the future: the experience of pregnancy in a Brazilian low income community. *Revista Mineira de Enfermagem*, 01 April 2004; 8(2): 268-274. [17]

41. Baxley SM. Anticipating Mothering in the Mexican Origin Woman. *Hispanic Health Care International* 2012; 10(2): 75-83. [18]

42. Lori JR, Yi CH, Martyn KK. Provider Characteristics Desired by African American Women in Prenatal Care. *Journal of Transcultural Nursing* 2011; 22(1) 71–76. [19]

43. Nigenda G, Langer A, Kuchaisit C, Romero M, Rojas G, Al-Osimy M et al. Women's opinions on antenatal care in developing countries: results of a study in Cuba, Thailand, Saudi Arabia and Argentina.

BMC Public Health 2003; 3:17. [20]

- 44. McNeil DA, Vekved M, Dolan SM, Siever J, Horn S, Tough SC. Getting more than they realized they
- needed: a qualitative study of women's experience of group prenatal care. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.
- 529 2012; Mar 21;12:17. [21]
- 530 531
 - 45. Bender DE, Harbour C, Thorp J, Morris P. Tell Me What you Mean by "Sí": Perceptions of Quality of
 - Prenatal Care among Immigrant Latina Women. Qualitative Health Research 2001; 11(6): 780-794. [22]
- 533

- 46. Bessett D. Negotiating normalization: The perils of producing pregnancy symptoms in prenatal care.
 - Social Science & Medicine 2010; 71: 370-377. [23]
- 535 536

537538

- 47. Chapman R. Endangering safe motherhood in Mozambique: prenatal care as pregnancy risk. Social
- Science & Medicine 2003; 57: 355-374. [24]
- 539

540 541

- 48. Hess CM, Maughan E. Understandings of Prenatal Nutrition Among Argentine Women. Health Care for
- Women International 2012; 33:153–167. [25]
- 542 543

544

547

548

550

551

553

- 49. Melleiro MM, Gualda DMR. Pregnant women's experiences and expressions related to the interaction
- with the health system: A photoethnographic approach. Rev Latino-am Enfermagem 2004; 12(3):503-10.
- 545 [26]
- 546
 - 50. Miller T. Exploring the Influence of Cultural Traditions and Religious Beliefs of Bangladeshi Women on
 - Antenatal Interactions. Women's Studies International Forum 1995; 18(3): 299-309. [27]
- 549
- 51. Modh C, Lundgren I, Bergbom I. First time pregnant women's experiences in early pregnancy.
- International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Health and Well-being 2011; 6: 5600. [28]
- 552
- 52. Novick G, Sadler LS, Knafl KA, Groce NE, Powell-Kennedy H. The Intersection of Everyday Life and
- 554 Group Prenatal Care for Women in Two Urban Clinics. *Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved*
- 555 2012; 23: 589–603. [29]
- 556
- 557 53. Piccinini CA, de Carvalho FT, Ourique LR, Lopes RS. Perceptions and Feelings of Pregnant Women
 - Concerning Prenatal Care. Psicologia: Teoria e Pesquisa 2012; 28(1): 27-33. [30]
- 559

- 560 54. Root R, Browner CH. Practices of the pregnant self: Compliance with and resistance to prenatal norms.
 - Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry 2001; 25: 195–223. [31]
- 561 562
- 563 55. Thawla SBP, Jones LK, Holroyd E. Swaziland rural maternal care: Ethnography of the interface of
- custom and biomedicine. *International Journal of Nursing Practice* 2011; 17: 93–101. [32]

56. Tinoco-Ojanguren R, Glantz NM, Martinez-Hernandez I, Ovando-Meza I. Risk screening, emergency 566

care, and lay concepts of complications during pregnancy in Chiapas, Mexico. Social Science & Medicine 567 2008; 66: 1057-1069. [33]

568 569

570 571

572

573 574

575

576 577

578 579

580 581

582

583 584

153. [38]

585 586

587 588 589

590 591

592 593

594 595

596 597

598 599

600

601

602

66. Tuncalp O, Were W, MacLennan C, Oladapo OT, Gulmezoglu AM, Bahl R. et al. Quality of Care for

[Epub ahead of print]

41. doi: 10.1016/j.midw.2013.10.003. Epub 2013 Oct 22.

Pregnant Women and Newborns – The WHO Vision. In press (BJOG)

18

57. Domian EW. Cultural practices and social support of pregnant women in a northern New Mexico

58. Zampieri MFM, Erdmann AL, Humane prenatal care: beyond convergences and divergences. Rev. bras.

59. Neiterman E. Pregnant Bodies in Social Context: Natural, Disruptive, and Unrecognized Pregnancy.

60. Bondas T, Eriksson K. Women's lived experiences of pregnancy: a tapestry of joy and suffering.

61. Liamputtong P, Yimyam S, Parisunyakul S, Baosoung C, Sansiriphun N. Traditional beliefs about

63. Clark K, Beatty S, Reibel T. What women want: Using image theory to develop expectations of

64. Clark K, Beatty S, Reibel T. Maternity care: A narrative overview of what women expect across their care continuum. Midwifery. 2015; Jan 8; pii: S0266-6138(15)00004-2. doi: 10.1016/j.midw.2014.12.009.

65. Butler MM, Brosnan MC, Drennan J, Feeney P, Gavigan O, Kington M, et al. Evaluating midwifery-led

natal care: using a programme logic model to identify relevant outcomes Midwifery. 2014; 30(1):e34-

maternity care framework. Midwifery. 2015; Jan 8. pii: S0266-6138(15)00006-6. doi:

pregnancy and child birth among women from Chiang Mai, Northern Thailand. Midwifery 2005; 21: 139-

62. Khan K. The CROWN Initiative: journal editors invite researchers to develop core outcomes in women's

community. Journal of Nursing Scholarship 2001; 33(4):331-6. [34]

saúde matern infant 2010; 10(3): 359-367. [35]

Symbolic Interaction 2013: 36(3): 335-350. [36]

health. BJOG 2014; 121(10) 1181-1182.

10.1016/j.midw.2014.12.011. [Epub ahead of print]

Qualitative Health Research 2001; Nov 11(6):824-40. [37]

603
604 67. Symon AG, Dobb BR. An exploratory study to assess the acceptability of an antenatal quality-of-life instrument (the Mother-generated Index). *Midwifery* 2008; 24(4): 442-450.
606
68. Renfrew MJ, McFadden A, Bastos MH, Campbell J, Channon AA, Cheung NF et al. Midwifery and quality care: findings from a new evidence-informed maternity care framework. *The Lancet* 2014; 384:

9948, 1129-1145.

- 69. Catling CJ, Medley N, Foureur M, Ryan C, Leap N, Teate A et al. Group versus conventional antenatal care for women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015; Feb 4(2):CD007622.
- 70. Prost A, Colbourn T, Seward N, Azad K, Coomarasamy A, Copas A, et al. Women's groups practising participatory learning and action to improve maternal and newborn health in low-resource settings: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2013; 381(9879):1736-46. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60685-6.
- 71. Morrison J, Thapa R, Hartley S, Osrin D, Manandhar M, Tumbahangphe K, et al. Understanding how women's groups improve maternal and newborn health in Makwanpur, Nepal: a qualitative study. *Int Health.* 2010; 2(1):25-35. doi: 10.1016/j.inhe.2009.11.004.