

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Why do some women choose to freebirth in the UK? An interpretative phenomenological study
Type	Article
URL	https://clock.uclan.ac.uk/id/eprint/14919/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-016-0847-6
Date	2016
Citation	Feeley, Claire Lauren and Thomson, Gillian (2016) Why do some women choose to freebirth in the UK? An interpretative phenomenological study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. ISSN 1471-2393
Creators	Feeley, Claire Lauren and Thomson, Gillian

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-016-0847-6>

For information about Research at UCLan please go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <http://clock.uclan.ac.uk/policies/>

Blog-BMC 6th April 2016

Manuscript title: Why do some women choose to freebirth in the UK? An interpretative phenomenological study

Journal: BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth

Authors: Claire Feeley and Gill Thomson

Giving birth and ‘going it alone’: choosing to freebirth in the UK

BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth recently [published a research article](#) investigating the reasons behind why women choose to ‘go it alone’ when giving birth in the UK. Co-author Claire Feeley explains more about their findings in this guest blog.

10.1186/s12884-016-0847-6



Why do some women choose to freebirth in the UK?

Image from Pixabay

As a midwife, one might ask why I would be interested in the phenomenon of freebirthing, for this is a decision whereby women make an active choice to *not* have a midwife (or doctor) present at the birth.

However, my knowledge as a woman, mother and midwife tells me that childbirth choices are not made in isolation. Rather, they are deeply embedded within cultural, political and social landscapes.

This caused me to wonder to what extent a woman's choice to freebirth was a reflection of poor maternity care or provision.

There are of course potential risks by 'going it alone' or to freebirth, as during birth unforeseen clinical events may occur which threaten the lives of mothers and babies. These are generally rare but do require timely intervention by a trained maternity professional.

That said, we do know that there has been an exponential rise in birth interventions such as induction of labours, augmenting labours (speeding them up) and caesareans. Whilst life saving for many, their overuse is associated with increased levels of morbidity and mortality as demonstrated by the recent [Lancet Series](#).

The [medicalization of childbirth](#) is a topic of great debate with passionate arguments from both sides. What is agreed is that the safe passage of mother and baby during childbirth is the priority. *How* that is enabled, however, is of great contention.

What did we do?

Within this context, I carried out a literature review of qualitative research to answer the question '[Why do some women choose to freebirth?](#)' The search identified only four studies (three undertaken in America and one in Australia), none of which were based in the UK.

This review identified common motivations to freebirth including: a rejection of the medical and midwifery model of birth, a previous distressing/traumatic birth experience and a faith in the natural birth process. In addition there was a prevailing sense of women opting to freebirth in order to retain choice, control and autonomy over their bodies during the birth process.

In order to see whether UK women held similar issues, the aim of [this study](#) was to identify and explore what influenced women's decision to freebirth in a UK context.

We recruited ten women to the study, all of which had freebirthed at least once in the UK. The findings were complex and deeply nuanced as the decision to freebirth was based on multiple factors.

What do our findings show?

Largely our findings supported those of the [metasynthesis](#) which was surprising as maternity services are configured very differently in the UK. For many their decision was borne out of a previous negative or traumatic birth experience, which was sometimes compounded by further negative experiences with maternity services (such as when making attempts to book a homebirth).

These women described how they had lost faith in the maternity services to provide them with the care that they wanted or that they felt they needed. Women frequently referred to how they considered a freebirth was the only option for them to be free from interference and to feel safe. This aspect from a midwifery perspective is deeply concerning, indicating a wide chasm between the [midwifery philosophy of care](#) and care delivery.

For other women their decision to freebirth was borne out of a positive experience where they wanted to enhance their birthing experience and a midwife was considered redundant. Whilst this may be a challenge for midwives to understand, it is a choice that must be respected.

Improving maternity services for all

The fact that women choose to freebirth in order to create a calm, quiet birthing space that is free from clinical interruptions and that enhances the physiology of labour, contributes to the body of evidence which seeks to improve [maternity services for all](#).

International interest in the phenomenon has gathered momentum in the past few years. Researchers from the [UK](#), [Holland](#), [Australia](#) and [Ireland](#) are all providing valuable contributions to the phenomenon of freebirthing or birth that sits outside of the current norms of maternity provision.

Whilst the numbers of women who freebirth are relatively small, it does raise important questions regarding how maternity care is provided, how midwives can re-connect with women who have lost faith in our care and whether our practices always support physiological or optimal birth.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Wellbeing of Women in conjunction with the Royal College of Midwives and the Burdett Trust (grant number ELS505). I would like to personally thank Gill Thomson for her excellent supervision and contribution to this paper.

Biography

Claire qualified as a midwife in 2011 at Oxford Brookes University, graduating with a Masters degree at the University of Central Lancashire in 2015. During this time she has worked clinically in all areas of midwifery as well as establishing a research career. She is currently enrolled on a PhD Studentship with the University of Central Lancashire.