

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLOK)

Title	Use of thoracic ultrasound by physiotherapists: a scoping review of the literature
Type	Article
URL	https://clock.uclan.ac.uk/id/eprint/21554/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2018.01.001
Date	2018
Citation	Hayward, S.A. and Janssen, Jessica (2018) Use of thoracic ultrasound by physiotherapists: a scoping review of the literature. Physiotherapy. ISSN 0031-9406
Creators	Hayward, S.A. and Janssen, Jessica

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2018.01.001>

For information about Research at UCLan please go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/>

All outputs in CLOK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <http://clock.uclan.ac.uk/policies/>

Use of thoracic ultrasound by physiotherapists: a scoping review of the literature

ABSTRACT

Background

Use of diagnostic thoracic ultrasound (TUS) in medical professions to examine the pleura, lung parenchyma and diaphragm is gaining in popularity, however the ways in which physiotherapists are using TUS is unclear.

Objective

The aim of this scoping review is to gain an understanding of the emerging evidence base surrounding physiotherapy use of TUS to inform research and clinical practice.

Data Sources

A systematic search was conducted of the following databases: Cochrane, EPPI centre, PROSPERO, Medline, CINAHL, AMED, EMBASE, HMIC, and BNI.

Study Selection

Inclusion criteria: primary research reporting the use of diagnostic TUS; a physiotherapist as part of the study design or as the chief investigator; published in English.

Synthesis Methods

Data regarding demographics, design, type of conditions and anatomical structures investigated and profession leading the TUS of included papers were compiled in a tabular format.

Results

Of the 26 included papers, 9 studied healthy participants, 4 studied COPD and 4 studied critical care patients. Most papers (n=23) involved scanning the diaphragm. In 8 studies the physiotherapist operated the TUS.

Limitations

The paper selection process was performed by one author; with no cross-checking by another individual.

Conclusion

Use of TUS by physiotherapists is an emerging area in both diaphragm and lung diagnostics. A wide range of patient populations may benefit from physiotherapists using TUS. Papers in this review are heterogeneous making any generalisability difficult but does show its potential for varied uses. TUS is an innovative skill in the hands of physiotherapists, but more research is needed.

Funding: National Institute of Health Research (NIHR): Internship programme – no involvement

Contribution of the paper:

- Thoracic ultrasound is gaining popularity amongst physiotherapists.
- The diaphragm is the most investigated structure by physiotherapists.
- Physiotherapists use thoracic ultrasound on a broad range of pathologies and patient populations.

Keywords: Thoracic ultrasound, lung ultrasound, physiotherapy, scoping review

Introduction

A growing body of evidence is now available reporting on the efficacy of thoracic ultrasound (TUS), also known as lung ultrasound (LUS) [1-5]. Within the medical profession TUS has been shown to have improved efficacy in the diagnosis of pulmonary conditions such as pneumonia [1-2] and pleural effusions [3] as well as diaphragmatic dysfunction [4-5] when compared to chest radiography (CXR).

Accurate diagnosis of respiratory conditions is of paramount importance to physiotherapists to enhance treatment selection and monitor treatment effectiveness [6]. TUS may provide an alternative, and more accurate, imaging option for physiotherapists that can be performed at the bedside and in real-time. This bedside imaging technique has been termed point-of-care ultrasound or “POCUS” within the literature. The ability of physiotherapists to perform TUS would allow autonomous imaging and may improve the effectiveness of physiotherapy treatment through more accurate diagnostic ability. Additionally, compared to traditional CXR or computed tomography (CT), TUS does not expose patients to ionising radiation.

A pioneer of POCUS acknowledged that if physiotherapists adopted the use of TUS, existing protocols could change as imaging feedback is instant [7]. Two narrative reviews focused on the potential use of TUS by physiotherapists have previously been published [8-9]. Leech et al reviewed the diagnostic performance of TUS when compared to auscultation and CXR. They found that TUS increased diagnostic accuracy of acute pulmonary pathologies and identify those amenable to physiotherapy treatments. However, they continued to report a lack of specific training standards for physiotherapists to learn TUS [8]. Le Neindre et al focused on the basics of TUS, its semiology and how physiotherapists could apply this in practice. They also highlighted how TUS performed better than CXR and auscultation and should be considered as an outcome measure to inform physiotherapy clinical decision making [9]. Both papers discussed how TUS could help to differentiate between pathologies

that may or may not respond to physiotherapy treatments thus potentially making physiotherapy interventions more targeted and effective [8-9].

The two previously mentioned reviews did not include a formal search strategy. Neither did they comment on the differing patient populations that may benefit from physiotherapists use of TUS. The aim of this scoping review was to collate the emerging evidence around physiotherapy and the use of TUS in order to create an understanding of how the international physiotherapy community is using TUS to inform their research and clinical practice.

Methods

This scoping review followed the guidance of Arksey and O'Malley [10] and Levac et al [11]. Its purpose was to examine and present a broad overview of the emerging evidence available irrespective of the quality to identify gaps or common usage, clarify key concepts and report on the types of evidence that address and inform practice in an emerging topic area [10-11].

Research Question

The Participants, Concept and Concept (PCC) method [12] has been employed to formulate the following research question:

“In what ways do physiotherapists use TUS to inform their clinical or research practice?”

P (Participants) – Human adult and paediatric participants.

C (Concept) – The use of TUS where a physiotherapist was involved.

C (Context) – Any publication type, except review, was included in this review. No limitation on location, outcome measure or date has been imposed.

Objectives

To explore the current evidence base use of TUS by physiotherapists with regards to:

- a) Design
- b) Type of conditions investigated
- c) Anatomical structures investigated
- d) Profession operating the TUS

Search strategy

A systematic electronic search was conducted of the following databases: Cochrane database of systematic reviews, EPPI centre, PROSPERO, Medline, CINAHL, AMED, EMBASE, HMIC, and BNI. The search string was developed to capture as wide a selection of papers as possible. The search was conducted up until November 2016. Following the initial database searches, grey literature searching was performed. A second search using all identified keywords and index terms was then undertaken across all included databases.

“physio*.ti.ab” OR “(physical AND therap*).ti.ab” OR “(respiratory AND therap*).ti.ab”

AND

“lung*.ti.ab” OR “thora*.ti.ab” OR “diaphragm*.ti.ab” OR “respirat*.ti.ab” OR “chest*.ti.ab”

AND

“ultras*.ti.ab” OR “sonogr*.ti.ab”.

Paper selection

Papers were included when all the following inclusion criteria were observed:

- 1) primary research reporting the use of TUS.
- 2) involvement of a physiotherapist as part of the study design OR a physiotherapist as the chief investigator (This was achieved by cross referencing with ResearchGate).
- 3) published in the English language.

Research abstracts from conference or meeting proceedings were included. There was no limitation of the search based on publication date, or participant age. Papers were excluded when they were review articles or when they involved animal or tissue studies. Hand searching of reference lists were undertaken on the papers deemed eligible to ensure a comprehensive search was undertaken (Figure1).

Data analysis

Data was extracted and analysed by one reviewer ("X"). Extracted data included: first author, year of publication, country, sample size, study design, subject population, outcome measures, comparison, profession of the ultrasound operator and findings. Methodological design of the papers can be found in the characteristics of included papers table (Table 1).

Key definitions

"Thoracic ultrasound - TUS"

TUS can be used to describe the use of US when examining the pleura, lung parenchyma and diaphragm. LUS can, in some instances, be used to describe the examination of the pleura and lung parenchyma without direct involvement of the diaphragm. These two terms

along with chest US are used interchangeably throughout the literature. TUS will be the term used throughout this scoping review.

“Physiotherapist”

For ease of consistency throughout this scoping review the term “Physiotherapist” will encompass the roles of a “Respiratory Therapist” or “Physical Therapist”. There are international differences between job titles and job roles within physiotherapy and to get a truly global indication of the use of TUS these alternate titles were acknowledged and included.

Results

A total of 3075 titles and abstracts were identified in the database searches. All titles were evaluated for relevance to the research question. Once shortlisted the remaining papers were screened against the inclusion and exclusion criteria and a total of 3049 papers were excluded. The remaining 26 papers were obtained in full and assessed for their eligibility. An additional 7 papers were identified thorough the hand searching of reference lists. Seven papers were subsequently excluded as physiotherapists were not part of the research design (Figure 1).

The current evidence base of physiotherapy use of TUS.

Following study selection 26 papers were included in this scoping review (Table 1): five randomised controlled trials (RCTs) [13-17], nine cross-sectional studies [18-26], two case series [27-28], four case reports [29-32], five conference abstracts [33-37], one audit [38] (Table 1). Five papers were in conference abstract form only [33-37] without a corresponding full published paper therefore only minimal methodological information could be gathered. Included studies were published over a 19-year period between the years of 1997 and 2016. No qualitative studies were found that focused on the experiences of physiotherapists using

TUS in their practice or research. Based on the country of the lead author, the majority of studies were conducted by authors residing in Brazil and Australia (Table 1). The participants included in the studies ranged in age from 3 months through to 80 years old.

Of the twenty-six papers nine were performed on healthy subjects [17,20,22,23,25,28,33-35] which accounted for 220 of the total 849 study participants (26%). Pathologies or conditions investigated included; post-operative upper abdominal surgery [15,26], chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [13,14,24,29,30], critical care patients [19,32,36,37], post cerebral vascular accident (CVA) [21], spinal cord injury (SCI) [27,31], morbidly obese [16], adolescents with scoliosis [18] and healthy infants [35] (Table 1).

A clear majority of papers (23 of the 26) included in this review involved the use of TUS to scan the diaphragm. The three remaining papers involved scanning the pleura and lung parenchyma [32,36,38]. These include a prospective audit on a TUS training curriculum by See et al [38] and two papers on the use of TUS in critical care and included a conference abstract by Riley et al [36] and a case report by Leech et al [32]. See et al [38] is the only paper that looked at the training of physiotherapists in TUS.

Either a radiologist/radiographer (termed sonographer) or one of the research team's physiotherapists would perform the TUS (termed operator). As can be seen in Figure 2, up until 2013 most of the US scanning was performed by a non-physiotherapist. However, since 2013 at least eight of the sixteen papers that did report the profession of the US operator have been physiotherapists. The other six of the remaining eight papers did not report on the TUS operator's profession.

Discussion

This scoping review explored the current evidence base of physiotherapy use of TUS on study design, type of condition, type of anatomical structures, and professionals operating the TUS. It found that across a widely varying research design, the diaphragm of healthy participants,

patients with COPD or patients on critical care was most often investigated. In a minority of the papers physiotherapists operated the TUS, and these have taken place since 2013.

The evidence of the papers varied widely, ranging from case reports to randomised control trials. Across the included papers there were numerous scanning techniques, used with different methodologies, on a wide range of patients/populations. Very few of them have any overlap making the applicability of TUS in clinical and research practice difficult. The previously mentioned review Leech et al [8] also discussed that it remains unclear how the increased accuracy TUS affords physiotherapists will be applicable without further research.

Healthy participants were used to test reliability or validity of assessing the diaphragm using TUS. This is a normal first step into introducing a new method into a profession [4] and fits with this novel assessment tool. Use of TUS in patients with COPD was reported in five papers and in a critical care environment and four papers (Table 1). It highlights potential areas where the use of TUS by physiotherapists to assess the pleura, lung parenchyma and diaphragm could enhance diagnosis and improve patient outcomes, as previously highlighted by Leech et al [8]. Additionally, this scoping review highlights the potential that TUS might have in other areas such as CVA, morbidly obesity and paediatrics. There are only two papers that have investigated physiotherapists' use of TUS on a paediatric population [31,35]. There is a need for significant work into this population especially considering the added safety benefits of US when compared to the ionising radiation of CXR and CT.

A large proportion of the papers looked at the use of TUS to assess the diaphragm as a way to influence physiotherapy practice. The papers included reported on multiple ways to assess diaphragm function. However, those assessments involved numerous different scanning techniques making comparisons difficult. This scoping review has demonstrated a lack of research aimed specifically at the physiotherapy professions use of TUS to assess the pleura or lung parenchyma.

This review found a progressive increase in papers involving physiotherapists use of TUS, with more published in the last three years than in the preceding sixteen, indicating that this assessment tool is gaining in popularity.

Training of physiotherapists in the use of TUS is necessary for the use of this assessment tool to researched further. See et al [38] hinted at the ability of non-medically trained personnel to become proficient in image acquisition and image interpretation. When these skills are used to inform clinical reasoning, it takes the operator beyond the role of a “technician” to that of a professional. This issue is raised by both Leech et al [8] and Le Neindre et al [9] as the greatest challenge facing physiotherapists wishing to gain competency in TUS. This is something that has also been highlighted in the medical literature [1]. Guidance can be found in the international expert statement on training in TUS for non-physiotherapists [39] and much of this information will be relevant to inform future physiotherapy focused training programmes. The difficulty lies in negotiating the medico-legal and governance structures for each individual country depending on that professions scope of practice. In the future it seems prudent to adapt currently existing, robust, competency based US training programmes to meet the needs of physiotherapists as a priority if they are to take advantage of this diagnostic technology.

Many aspects of the use of TUS by physiotherapists warrants further investigation. Robust methods of training for physiotherapists need to be established. Ways in which TUS can be used as a diagnostic tool and as an outcome measure to assess the effectiveness of physiotherapy interventions also warrants further work. There is also a need to show how these new diagnostic and assessment skills, in the hands of physiotherapists, affect patient outcomes and experiences as well as a financial benefit to health providers or society as a whole.

Limitations

Papers in other languages were excluded from this review which may have added bias to the selection process. As previously mentioned a thorough critical appraisal of the quality of papers within this review was not completed as the aim was to report on as wide a scope of TUS use as possible. The paper selection process was performed by one author; with no cross-checking by another individual leaving this review open to selection bias, however due to the sparsity of papers in this topic the 26 papers included seem a fair representation of the evidence in this area.

Conclusion

Use of TUS by physiotherapists is an emerging area regarding both diaphragm and lung diagnostics. There are a wide range of patient populations that might be able to benefit from physiotherapists using TUS as well as the different applications of TUS itself. The collection of papers in this review is heterogeneous in their research questions, participant populations and methodology. This variety makes any generalisability difficult but does show the potential diverse uses of TUS. The evidence suggests that even within this emerging discipline, critical illness and COPD are two popular areas being investigated. However, robust methods of training for physiotherapists need to be established. The potential of TUS and its impact on patients from diagnosis through to monitoring long term outcomes on society need to be explored. This makes TUS a potentially very novel and innovative skill in the hands of the physiotherapy profession.

Ethical Approval: Ethical approval was not required for this study.

Funding: National Institute of Health Research (NIHR): Internship programme – no involvement

Conflicts of interest: None

References

- [1] Chavez MA, Shams N, Ellington LE, Naithani N, Gilman RH, Steinhoff MC, Santosham M, Black RE, Price C, Gross M, Checkley W. Lung ultrasound for the diagnosis of pneumonia in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Respiratory Research*. 2014;15:50. DOI:10.1186/1465-9921-15-50
- [2] Qian-Jing H, Yong-Chun S, Liu-Qun J, Shu-Jin G, Hong-Yu L, Cia-Shuang P, Ting Y, Fu-Qiang W. Diagnostic performance of lung ultrasound in the diagnosis of pneumonia: bivariate meta-analysis. *International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medicine*. 2014;7(1):115-121.
- [3] Grimberg A, Shigueoka DC, Atallah AN, Ajzen S, Iared W. Diagnostic accuracy of sonography for pleural effusion: systematic review. *Sao Paulo Medical Journal* 2010;128(2):90-95.
- [4] Dubé B-P, Dres M. Diaphragm dysfunction: Diagnostic approaches and management strategies. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. 2016;5(113). DOI:10.3390/jcm5120113
- [5] Zambon M, Greco M, Bocchino S, Cabrini L, Beccaria PF, Zangrillo A. Assessment of diaphragmatic dysfunction in the critically ill patient with ultrasound: a systematic review. *Intensive Care Medicine*. 2017;43:29-38. DOI:10.1007/s00134-016-4524-z
- [6] Gosselink R, Bott J, Johnson M, Dean E, Nava S, Norrenberg M, Schönhofer B, Stiller K, van de Leur H, Vincent JL. Physiotherapy for adult patients with critical illness: recommendations of the European Respiratory Society and European Society of Intensive Care Medicine Task Force on Physiotherapy for Critically Ill Patients. *Intensive Care Med*. 2008;34(7):1188-99. DOI:10.1007/s00134-008-1026-7

291 [7] Lichtenstein DA. Lung Ultrasound in the Critically Ill: The BLUE Protocol. Springer
 292 International Publishing. 2016:p293 ISBN 978-3-319-15370-4

293 [8] Leech M, Bissett B, Kot M, Ntoumenopoulos G. Lung ultrasound for critical care
 294 physiotherapists: A narrative review. *Physiotherapy Research International* 2015;20:69–76.

295 [9] Le Neindre A, Mongodi S, Philippart F, Bouhemad B. Thoracic ultrasound: Potential new
 296 tool for physiotherapists in respiratory management. A narrative review. *Journal of Critical*
 297 *Care*. 2016;31:101-109.

298 [10] Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework.
 299 *International Journal of Social Research Methodology: Theory & Practice*. 2005;8(1):19-32.

300 [11] Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: advancing the methodology.
 301 *Implementation Science*. 2010;5(1):1-9. DOI:10.1186/1748-5908-5-69

302 [12] Peters MDJ, Godfrey CM, McInerney P. Methodology for JBI scoping reviews. The
 303 Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewers' Manual 2015. Adelaide, South Australia: The Joanna
 304 Briggs Institute; 2015.

305 [13] Yamaguti WPS, Claudino RC, Neto AP, Chammas MC, Gomes AC, Salge JM, Moriya
 306 HT, Cukier A, Carvalho CRF. Diaphragmatic breathing training program improves abdominal
 307 motion during natural breathing patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A
 308 randomized controlled trial. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. 2012;93:571-
 309 577. DOI:10.1016/j.apmr.2011.11.026

310 [14] Rocha T, Souza H, Brandao DC, Rattes C, Ribeiro L, Campos SL, Aliverti A, Dornelas
 311 de Andrade A. The manual diaphragm release technique improves diaphragmatic mobility,
 312 inspiratory capacity and exercise capacity in people with chronic obstructive pulmonary
 313 disease: a randomised trial. *Journal of Physiotherapy*. 2015;61:182-189.
 314 DOI:10.1016/j.phys.2015.08.009

315 [15] Alaparthi GK, Augustine AJ, Anand R, Mahale A. Comparison of diaphragmatic
316 breathing exercises, volume and flow incentive spirometry, on diaphragm excursion and
317 pulmonary function in patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery: a randomised controlled
318 trial. *Minimally Invasive Surgery*. 2016 DOI:10.1155/2016/1967532

319 [16] Tenório LHS, Santos AC, Neto JBC, Amaral FJ, Passos VMM, Lima AMJ, Brasileiro-
320 Santos M. The influence of inspiratory muscle training on diaphragmatic mobility, pulmonary
321 function and maximum respiratory pressures in morbidly obese individuals: a pilot study.
322 *Disability and Rehabilitation*. 2013;35(22). DOI:10.3109/09638288.2013.769635

323 [17] Souza H, Rocha T, Pessoa M, Rattes C, Brandao D, Fregonezi G, Campos S, Aliverti A,
324 Dornelas A. Effects of inspiratory muscle training in elderly women on respiratory muscle
325 strength, diaphragm thickness and mobility. *Journal of Gerontology Series A, Biological*
326 *Sciences and Medical Sciences*. 2014;69(12):1545-1553. DOI:10.1093/Gerona/glu182

327 [18] Noh DK, Koh JH, You JSH. Inter- and intratester reliability values of ultrasound imaging
328 measurements of diaphragm movement in the thoracic and thoracolumbar curves in
329 adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *Physiotherapy Theory and Practice*. 2016;32(2):139-143.
330 DOI:10.3109/09593985.2015.1091871

331 [19] Baldwin CE, Bersten AD. Alterations in respiratory and limb muscle strength and size in
332 patients with sepsis who mechanically ventilated. *Physical Therapy*. 2014;94(1):68-82.
333 DOI:10.2522/ptj.20130048

334 [20] Grams ST, von Saltiel R, Mayer AF, Schivinski CIS, Nobre LF de S, Nobrega IS,
335 Jacomino MEML, Paulin E. Assessment of the reproducibility of the indirect ultrasound
336 method of measuring diaphragm mobility. *Clinical Physiology and Functional Imaging*.
337 2014;34:18-25. DOI:10.1111/cpf.12058

338 [21] Grigorova-Petrova K, Lubenova D, Dimitrova A, Balaranov D, Lozeva J. Feasibility of
339 early physical therapy program in-hospital patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Macedonian*

340 Journal of Medical Sciences. 2014;7(3): 452-455. DOI: 10.3889/MJMS.1857-
 341 5773.2014.0424

342 [22] Baldwin CE, Paratz JD, Bersten AD. Diaphragm and peripheral muscle thickness on
 343 ultrasound: Inter-rater reliability and variability of a methodology using non-standard
 344 recumbent positions. *Respirology*. 2011;16:1136-1143. DOI:10.1111/j.1440-
 345 1843.2011.02005.x

346 [23] Yamaguti WPS, Sakamoto ET, Panazzolo D, da Cunha Peixoto C, Cerri GG,
 347 Alnuquerque ALP. Diaphragmatic mobility in healthy subjects during incentive spirometry
 348 with a flow-oriented device and with a volume-oriented device. *Journal of Brazilian*
 349 *Pneumology*. 2010;36(6):738-745. DOI:10.1590/S1806-37132010000600011

350 [24] Yamaguti WPS, Paulin E, Salge JM, Chammas MC, Cukier A, Carvalho CRF.
 351 Diaphragmatic dysfunction and mortality in patients with COPD. *Journal of Brazilian*
 352 *Pneumology* 2009;35(12):1174-1181. DOI:10.1590/S1806-37132009001200003

353 [25] Yamaguti WPS, Paulin E, Shibao S, Kodaira S, Chammas MC, Carvalho CRF.
 354 Ultrasound evaluation of diaphragmatic mobility in different postures in healthy subjects.
 355 *Journal of Brazilian Pneumology* 2007;33(4):407-413. DOI:10.1590/S1806-
 356 37132007000400009

357 [26] Blaney F, Sawyer T. Sonographic measurement of diaphragmatic motion after upper
 358 abdominal surgery: A comparison of three breathing manoeuvres. *Physiotherapy Theory and*
 359 *Practice*. 1997;13:207-215. DOI:10.3109/09593989709036464

360 [27] Hardy F, Walker J, Sawyer T. Sonographic measurement of diaphragm movement in
 361 patients with tetraplegia. *Spinal Cord*. 2009;47:832-834. DOI:10.1038/sc.2009.45

362 [28] Blaney B, English C, Sawyer T. Sonographic measurement of diaphragmatic
 363 displacement during tidal breathing manoeuvres – a reliability study. *Australian Journal of*
 364 *Physiotherapy*. 1999;45:41-43.

365 [29] Yamaguti WPS, Paulin E, Shioa S, Chammas MC, Salge JM, Ribeiro M, Cukier A,
 366 Carvalho CRF. Air trapping: The major factor limiting diaphragm mobility in chronic
 367 obstructive pulmonary disease patients. *Respirology*. 2008;13:138-144. DOI:10.1111/j.1440-
 368 1843.2007.01194.x

369 [30] Paulin E, Yamaguti WPS, Chammas MC, Shioa S, Stelmach R, Cukier A, Carvalho
 370 CRF. Influence of diaphragmatic mobility on exercise tolerance and dyspnoea in patients
 371 with COPD. 2007;101:2113-2118. DOI:10.1016/j.rmed.2007.05.024

372 [31] Kim JS, Oh DW. Real-time ultrasound imaging biofeedback training in diaphragmatic
 373 function in nontraumatic cervical spinal cord injury: a single-subject experimental study.
 374 *European Journal of Physiotherapy*. 2016. DOI: 10.1080/21679169.2016.1247909

375 [32] Leech M, Bissett B, Kot M, Ntoumenopoulos G. Physiotherapist-initiated lung
 376 ultrasound to improve intensive care management of a deteriorating patient and prevent
 377 intubation: a case report. *Physiotherapy Theory and Practice*. 2015;31(5):372-376.
 378 DOI:10.3109/09593985.2014.1003629

379 [33] Sota T, Wada T, Miyagi M, Tamaki A, Osaki M, Hagino H. The relationship of diaphragm
 380 thickening ratio and respiratory muscle strength in young healthy adults. WCPT Congress
 381 2015. *Physiotherapy*;101(Supplement 1 eS1425). DOI:10.1016/j.physio.2015.03.1382

382 [34] Schewitz J, Roos R, van Aswegen H, Manda S. The effect of two passive head down
 383 diaphragm length in healthy adult individuals. WCPT Congress 2015.
 384 *Physiotherapy*;101(Supplement 1 eS1344). DOI:10.1016/j.physio.2015.03.1276

385 [35] Silva LM, Reinaux CMA, Souza HM, Campos S, Souza TD, Dornelas A. Movement of
 386 diaphragm before and after use of prolonged slow expiration technique in healthy infants: A
 387 pilot study. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 2014;189:A3238.

388 [36] Riley ML, Cork G, Osman L, Ntoumenopoulos G. Does the addition of diagnostic lung
389 ultra-sound impact on chest physiotherapy intervention in critically-ill patients? Journal of the
390 European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. 2014;Supplement 1:S203.

391 [37] Parry S, Sarwal A, Cartwright M, Morris P, Denehy L. Reliability of quantitative muscle
392 ultrasound analysis in the critically ill population. Critical Care Medicine.
393 2013;41(12)Supplement - 447.

394 [38] See KC, Ong V, Wong SH, Leanda R, Santos J, Taculod J, Phua J, Teoh CM. Lung
395 ultrasound training: curriculum implementation and learning trajectory among respiratory
396 therapists. Intensive Care Medicine. 2016;42:63-71. DOI:10.1007/s00134-015-4102-9

397 [39] Cholley BP. International expert statement on training standards for critical care
398 ultrasonography. Intensive Care Medicine. 2011;27:369-373. DOI:10.1007/s00134-011-
399 2246-9

