

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Freebirthing: A case for using interpretative hermeneutic phenomenology in midwifery research for knowledge generation, dissemination and impact
Type	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/id/eprint/23912/
DOI	10.1177%2F1744987118809450
Date	2019
Citation	Feeley, Claire Lauren (2019) Freebirthing: A case for using interpretative hermeneutic phenomenology in midwifery research for knowledge generation, dissemination and impact. Journal of Research in Nursing, 24 (1-2). pp. 9-19. ISSN 1744-9871
Creators	Feeley, Claire Lauren

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. 10.1177%2F1744987118809450

For information about Research at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/

- 1 Freebirthing: A case for using interpretative hermeneutic phenomenology in
- 2 midwifery research for knowledge generation, dissemination and impact.

3 Introduction

- 4 This paper has been generated from a primary research study carried out during 2015;
- 5 'making sense of childbirth choices; exploring the decision to freebirth in the UK'.
- 6 Freebirthing is characterised by a woman's *intentional* decision to give birth without
- 7 attendance by a midwife or doctor, even where there is access to maternity services.
- 8 This characterisation precludes women; who give birth before arrival (BBA) to
- 9 maternity services (Loughney et al., 2006) (either before arrival to hospital, or before
- the arrival of a homebirth midwife), women who have been denied a homebirth
- midwife by the local Trust (Plested and Kirkham, 2016), or women who have a
- 12 concealed pregnancy (Friedman et al., 2007). Freebirthing raises concerns with health
- professionals, where there is potential morbidity or mortality to either the mother or
- baby (Holton and de Miranda, 2016). Freebirthing also raises concerns regarding the
- inadequacy of maternity systems to meet the needs of childbearing women (Dahlen et
- 16 al., 2011; Holton and de Miranda, 2016).
- 17 A systematic metasynthesis review of the literature (Feeley et al., 2015) identified only
- 18 four primary studies; n=1 Australia, n=3 US. The findings suggested that women chose
- to freebirth as a way of rejecting both the medical and midwifery model of birth, to
- 20 reclaim and assert autonomy and agency during birth, and women reported a faith in
- 21 the birth process. There are known variations of healthcare systems between the UK
- and that of the US and Australia, for example, the UK has a strong midwifery
- 23 workforce and culture that is supported by a free healthcare service and governmental
- policies. The UK also has robust legislation supporting women's autonomous
- decision-making, including the right to decline recommended treatment or care
- 26 (Birthrights, 2013a) and the legal right to freebirth (Birthrights, 2013b; Nursing and
- 27 Midwifery Council, 2012). However, at that time, whilst anecdotal data suggested a
- 28 growing incidence of freebirthing in the UK (Edwards and Kirkham, 2013), no primary
- 29 studies had been published. Thus situating the context of the original primary study,
- 30 to explore the phenomenon of freebirthing within a UK context using an
- 31 interpretative hermeneutic phenomenological approach.

- 32 Moreover, embedded into the original research aims was to share the findings to a
- wider audience via a range of dissemination activities. This was felt to be important as
- ongoing familiarisation with the wider literature and during my midwifery clinical
- experience, it appeared that the phenomenon of freebirthing was often
- misunderstood. As such, the purpose of this paper is twofold; firstly, using my
- 37 research into freebirthing as a case study, I will demonstrate the use and benefits of
- interpretative hermeneutic phenomenology to midwifery and nursing research to
- 39 generate knowledge for the benefit of service users, healthcare professionals,
- 40 researchers and policy-makers. Secondly, I will discuss the activities I carried out to
- 41 enhance dissemination and impact for the benefit of service users and clinicians.

42 Adopting an interpretive hermeneutic phenomenological approach

- 43 This study adopted an interpretative hermeneutic phenomenological approach to the
- research design, methods and analysis. Positioning the study within an interpretative
- 45 phenomenological methodology is integral to understanding both the research
- 46 processes and knowledge generated, as philosophy guides the research methods and
- influences the knowledge that is generated (Grant and Osanloo, 2015).
- 48 Phenomenology is a discipline that is both a method of inquiry and a philosophical
- 49 view of the world that broadly focuses upon the lived experiences, meaning-making
- and the contextual realities of human beings (Husserl, 1970; Heidegger, 1962;
- Gadamer, 1960; Ricoeur, 1991). However, within this broad definition of
- 52 phenomenology, there are rich and complex variations of theoretical approaches (van
- Manen, 2014). Whilst it is beyond the scope of this paper to explore the complex
- variations of phenomenology, the following describes and justifies my use of an
- 55 interpretative hermeneutic approach.
- 56 Interpretive hermeneutic phenomenology is a particular philosophical approach
- 57 developed by Heidegger (1962) and further developed by Gadamer (1960) and later
- Ricoeur (1991) (amongst other scholars) that looks beyond the description of a
- 59 phenomenon to explore meanings embedded within. Its aim is not to seek a unified
- 60 'truth' but to reveal the complexity of human experience that relates to a particular
- phenomenon (Heidegger, 1962; van Manen, 2014). Emphasis is placed on the
- subjective experience of the participant, integrating a person's socialisation,

enculturation and interpretation of the world to reveal the meanings they attribute to 63 their experience (van Manen, 2014). The illumination of an individual's sense-making 64 and meanings offers researchers an insights into people's experiences, motivations 65 and actions (Thomson et al., 2011; Regan, 2012). 66 Whilst there are many conceptualisations within interpretative hermeneutic 67 phenomenology that require understanding, here, I provide a brief overview of some 68 of those concepts. Heidegger's (1962) concept of 'lifeworld' related to the notion that 69 the nature of human experiences is intrinsically entwined within historical, cultural, 70 political and social influences. These influences are perceived to provide the basis in 71 72 which a person comes to engage, understand and make sense of their 'lifeworld'. As such, Heidegger's (1962) notion of 'being-in-the-world' means that one cannot separate 73 those influences from experience. Moreover, these notions are also related to the 74 hermeneutic concept that humans are self-interpreting beings (Heidegger, 1962), that 75 assumes life experiences are processed as an ongoing interpretative act embedded 76 within historical, sociocultural influences (Heidegger, 1962; Gadamer, 1960). This 77 includes the role of the researcher whereby preconceived notions known as 'pre-78 79 understandings' (Heidegger, 1962), are not seen as separate, but as part of the interpretative examination of the phenomenon under focus. Rather than attempting 80 to bracket pre-existing notions, a researcher brings them to the fore as a starting point 81 of the interpretative analysis known as the hermeneutic circle (Heidegger, 1962). This 82 is an important divergence from other phenomenological approaches, notably 83 84 Husserlian (1970) phenomenology, where it is perceived it is possible to seek out the essence of 'the thing itself', that sits beyond such influences, and whereby it is 85 perceived possible to 'bracket' preconceived notions of the phenomenon under 86 scrutiny. 87 Hans-Georg Gadamer (1900-2002) developed Heidegger's ideas further and further 88 conceptualised the researcher's own experience of reading and understanding to be an 89 integral part of the interpretative process in which the relating concepts of pre-90 suppositions, inter-subjectivity, authenticity (trustworthiness), temporality (time 91 affecting understanding/emotion), tradition, and history to interpreting the written 92

word (Gadamer, 1960; Regan, 2012). As such, both the participant in sharing their

93

experiences and the researcher listening to them (and later during the 94 95 transcription/analytical process) are in a continuous space of interpretation (Gadamer, 1960). Through a continual process of reflection and interpretation, the participant's 96 accounts are considered individually and as part of the whole, whereby the researcher 97 produces a tentative interpretation of the phenomenon in focus (Regan, 2012; 98 Gadamer, 1960). 99 Applied to this study, I felt that interpretative hermeneutic phenomenology (as 100 informed by Heidegger and Gadamer) was appropriate to explore the lived experiences 101 of decision-making for women who had chosen to freebirth. The philosophical 102 103 approach aligned with my worldview that human experiences are embedded within a complex relationship between socialisation, enculturation and individual 104 interpretations of their personal lifeworlds. Additionally, some researchers consider an 105 alignment between interpretative hermeneutic phenomenology and that of a 106 midwifery philosophy of practice i.e. a holistic approach to care that considers the 107 woman within both a biopsychosocial model, mirroring the conceptualisation of 108 'lifeworld' (Thomson et al., 2011; Miles et al., 2013). Furthermore, interpretative 109 hermeneutic phenomenology has been successfully used in a range of nursing and 110 midwifery research studies (Lopez and Willis, 2004; Thomson, 2007; Smith et al., 2010; 111 Longworth and Kingdon, 2011; Miles et al., 2013). Thus strengthening the case for using 112 it as a research approach. 113 Applying the research approach 114 Ethical approval was obtained from one of the ethics sub-committees at the author's 115 institution, and an amendment was approved in January 2015 (project number: 116 STEMH 208). The primary study was carried out in 2015 and recruited n=10 consenting 117 participants into the study via social media and email groups. The sample number was 118 appropriate for the research design (Smith et al., 2010). Data collection comprised of 119 two components: a self-written narrative about their decision-making with a follow-up 120 121 interview or an interview only. Nine of ten participants wrote a narrative and all were 122 interviewed. The sequential method of data collection provided two opportunities; the participants could self-direct their narratives which provided an insight to the areas of 123 significance that were important to them individually with limited input from me, the 124

researcher. Secondly, I was able to 'get to know' the participant's story by pre-reading 125 126 the narrative, making notes, reflections, and making early analytical interpretations to be explored in the interview. Moreover, the self-written narratives provided rich data 127 that ranged between 2-7 typed pages of text (841-3624 words), indicating that it was an 128 acceptable method of data collection. Interviews lasted from 30 minutes to 2 hours, 129 and one was conducted via an encrypted chat room at the participants' request. A 130 semi-structured interview style was adopted where questions were individualised for 131 each participant and were primarily open-ended questions to encourage further 132 dialogue based on the narrative provided. 133 134 Data analysis was carried out in a number of iterative stages. Following transcription, both the self-written narrative and interviews were uploaded to MAXQDA 135 (maxqda.com, 2015), a qualitative software data programme designed to manage large 136 quantities of data. Each piece of data was coded line by line - significant phrases were 137 highlighted as part of an 'in-vivo' method whereby poignant descriptive phrases were 138 interpreted to create a code. This continued iteratively data was read and no new 139 codes were developed. Following the coding, an iterative writing process was carried 140 out as I attempted to bring together the individual accounts together with my 141 142 interpretations of their contextual meanings across the data set. Looking for convergences and divergences within the data, and through a back and forth process 143 between the original data, codes and further writing, tentative interpretations were 144 explored. Through this process, a deeper level of immersion in the data, interpretative 145 insights developed a synthesis, a 'fusion of horizons' (Gadamer, 1960) that brought 146 together the individual participants into a 'whole', represented as interpretative 147 themes. 148 Following analysis, participants were invited to provide feedback on the findings to 149 150 confirm I had adequately captured their meanings associated with the decision to freebirth. Whilst member checking is contentious within hermeneutic 151 phenomenology due to the interpretative processes (Bradbury-Jones et al., 2010), I 152 purposefully deployed member checking as a way of forging and maintaining trusting 153 relationships with the participants- a potentially vulnerable group due to the 154 subversive nature of freebirthing. Therefore, I felt it was important that they retained a 155

sense of ownership by reviewing the findings to ensure I had adequately captured their perspectives. As such, during member checking, the participants were provided with the overall findings, the integrated analysis across all of the participants, not just in relation to their own experiences. The provision of the overall findings was a pragmatic decision due to the time constraints of the study, and was also derived from the participants reported interest in the final findings during the interviews and email communications. It created the space for the participants to view their experiences in relation to the others, and offered a means of further participation. This method of member checking appeared to be acceptable to the participants as six participants responded with positive feedback, for example:

'I enjoyed the consolidation of a variety of viewpoints and reasoning's for choosing freebirth, it further highlighted to me how unique birth choices are. I resonated more with some themes over others. There are very nuanced differences in the decision making process and I think your overview goes some way to addressing this and highlighting, what I feel, is its significant relevance.' (Alex, pn-8, email correspondence.)

Knowledge production: Revealing complexities and unexpected findings

The initial study generated rich, detailed and nuanced data regarding the variety of decision-making paths that led women to freebirth. Whilst the detailed findings have been reported elsewhere (blinded for review), overall the 10 women had collectively experienced 33 births including 15 freebirths with no adverse outcomes (at the time of the study, two women were pregnant and had further successful freebirths).

Therefore, the women had vast and variable experiences of childbearing and interactions with maternity services. This study found that even with a sample size of 10, there were different and complex reasons that drove decision-making which generated three main themes from the data; *Contextualising herstory* describes how the participants' backgrounds (personal and/or childbirth related) influenced their decision making. *Diverging paths of decision-making* described detailed insights into how and why women's different backgrounds and experiences of childbirth and maternity care influenced their decision to freebirth. *Converging path of decision making*, outlined the commonalities in the women's narratives in terms of how they

sought to validate their decision to freebirth, such as through self-directed research, enlisting the support of others and conceptualising risk.

To illustrate some of the differences between the participant's accounts, here I present three examples from the theme 'diverging paths of decision-making'. One woman had a traumatic hospital birth the first time and opted for a homebirth the second time as a way of seeking to overcome the psychological trauma. Her homebirth was a positive, empowering experience with midwives. However, she expressed a long-held desire to freebirth but only found the courage and faith in her body following the successful homebirth. Therefore, in her third pregnancy, she opted for freebirth:

'I think in hindsight I probably needed to prove to myself I was capable of doing it before contemplating doing it alone.' (June, pn-6, narrative).

Conversely, another participant experienced a traumatic hospital birth the first time, and also expressed the desire to homebirth the second time. However, she found her community midwives obstructive, fearful and resorted to coercive tactics to encourage the participant to birth in hospital. This poor experience of community midwives compounded the participant's previous birth trauma, facilitating the decision to opt out of all care and to freebirth:

'The obstructive behaviour by the community midwives, the lottery of who would turn up at the birth. If their behaviour was indicative of many of the midwives in the Trust, then I could not trust that they were supportive of home births. I actually became fearful that they would turn up in time for the birth as they seemed more scared of attending a home birth than I felt about having a home birth.' (Cat, pn-9, narrative).

Different again, another participant was a primiparous woman and had opted to freebirth early in her first pregnancy, a decision that was driven by an instinctual desire to birth alone:

'I hadn't really explicitly thought about where/how to give birth before then, but if I had, I would have identified immediately that it wouldn't be in hospital, and I

didn't want anyone else around. So as soon as I came across the concept, it made 214 complete sense to me.' (Claire, pn-3, interview). 215 216 Moreover, the participant's accounts revealed unexpected data such as the experience of significant tensions and conflicts with maternity caregivers once the decision to 217 freebirth had been made (blinded for review). Therefore, a secondary analysis was 218 carried out to capture the participants lived experiences following the decision to 219 freebirth. The findings generated three key themes; 'violation of rights' that 220 highlighted the conflicts women faced from maternity carers who were unaware of 221 women's legal rights to freebirth, conflating this choice with issues of child protection. 222 'Tactical planning' described some of the strategies women used in their attempts to 223 achieve the birth they desired and to circumnavigate any interference or reprisals. 224 The third theme, 'unfit to be a mother' described distressing accounts of women who 225 were reported to social services. 226 To illustrate the findings with data, I present an exemplar quote from each theme. All 227 of the women were aware of their legal rights to freebirth (Birthrights, 2013b) and to 228 opt out/decline any care of their choosing (Birthrights, 2013a). However, several 229 participants found that this was not respected or understood by midwives that was 230 231 central to the theme of 'violation of rights' which is expressed here: 232 "I think I told her either immediately, or maybe at the second appointment, that I intended to freebirth (although I didn't know that term then, so I was calling it 233 unattended birth). She informed me (incorrectly of course) that it was illegal... I 234 now know that the official NHS position on freebirth is that midwives should 235 support it as a valid choice. But I didn't then, so I couldn't show her that 236 document, and it was frustrating (and I even felt bullied at times) to have to fight 237 my corner during every interaction with health professionals." (Claire, pn-3 238 interview). 239 240 To circumvent negative reprisals, the second theme highlighted that some women 241 resorted to 'tactical planning' such as planning a birth before arrival (BBA) as to not arouse suspicion: 242

"Well I know quite a few people that I don't know in real life but in online groups who have had freebirths who haven't called the midwife out afterwards have been referred to social services for putting their babies at risk and have had social services and police turn up at their door and that is not something that I want to happen. So we made the decision to have the baby on our own and call out the midwife afterwards and just pretend it happened so quickly they didn't get there in time. Or not that they didn't get there on time, but we didn't have time to ring before". (Jane, pn-4, interview).

However, four women experienced a statutory referral to social services despite the legality of freebirthing in the UK (Birthrights, 2013b) and felt stigmatised as captured in this third theme as 'unfit to be a mother':

'My midwife referred me to Social Services for opting out. This situation did not resolve itself until after the birth, where it culminated in, what I feel was a violation of my rights and privacy. I feel this is important to mention this as it profoundly affected my transition to motherhood leaving a lingering imprint and I was more than ever, grateful for my wonderful birth to keep me grounded.' (Alex, pn-8, narrative).

Therefore, the findings raised important human rights issues (Birthrights, 2017), as the participants revealed, legal and ethical frameworks of care were not respected.

The relevance of the findings from both iterations of research questions identified key implications for women's experiences, clinical practice, education and policy-makers. Whilst the number of participants were small and not generalisable, the findings are likely to be transferrable to other high-income settings that relate to the phenomenon. Moreover, the findings suggested resonance with other studies, for example, previous research highlights how women choose elective caesareans due to a previous poor experience (Lavender et al., 2006), or how women opt for a homebirth following a traumatic caesarean birth (Keedle et al., 2015), or homebirth with significant risk factors following traumatic NHS care (Symon et al., 2010; Holton and de Miranda, 2016) or even forgoing subsequent children such is the extent of their previous traumatic experience (McKenzie-McHarg et al., 2015).

As such, implications from the study findings were identified: for maternity services, 273 274 the findings suggested that some women were unable to get their needs met, 275 particularly those who had experienced a traumatic birth. Conversely, for some women, the decision to freebirth was borne from a positive positioning, informed by a 276 philosophical belief and preference to birth without midwives. For these women, they 277 required supportive, sensitive communication to ensure women did not fear reprisal. 278 For midwives, the findings suggested that the midwifery philosophy of woman-279 centred care was not always carried out, leaving women to feel disillusioned with 280 maternity services. For educators, improved awareness regarding the legalities around 281 282 freebirthing and autonomous decision-making. For policy-makers, the rhetoric of woman-centred care needs to be addressed through staffing, availability of services 283 (i.e. homebirth, debriefing) and clinical practice. 284

Dissemination and Impact

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

Central to all healthcare research is the dissemination - the communication of research findings. Impact is the use of research findings beyond academia so it can be used to benefit a wider audience (Keen and Todres, 2007). Therefore, dissemination is an active task of applying research into clinical practice, policy, and education (Keen and Todres, 2007). However, critics suggest that dissemination activities are often limited to a journal publication and/or conference presentations (Barnes et al., 2003; Keen and Todres, 2007). The proliferation of qualitative research faces particular criticism that researchers lack the knowledge or skills to demonstrate practical, communicable usability of research findings (Barnes et al., 2003), thus, limiting the potential impact of research. Dissemination and impact were key aims from the start of the study for several reasons; firstly, the study was an opportunity to offer women a platform in which their voices could be heard. Secondly, to raise awareness and understanding for maternity professionals regarding the complexity of such decisionmaking and to clarify the legalities in association with human rights and social services. Thirdly, as a means to provide evidence-based information to support midwives in clinical practice should a woman disclose an intention to freebirth. Whilst direct impact is difficult to assess, here I present the key dissemination activities as 'routes to impact' (University of York, 2016). Activities began with two

journal publications (blinded for review), of which one was supported by grant funding to pay for open access publication. Advantages of an open access publication include increased visibility and usage of the research study (Nature, 2018) and greater public engagement (Cambridge University Press, 2018). Furthermore, I allocated time to facilitate the dissemination of the open access paper where I directly shared the paper; firstly, with the participants and the online freebirthing groups that had advertised the study. Secondly, using social media the paper was disseminated across a number of social media midwifery and birth worker online groups, reproductive research interest groups and Research Gate (a social media platform for academics). Additionally, the journal provided an opportunity to write a blog relating to the first publication. The blog was a plain language summary of the study findings alongside implications for women, maternity professionals and maternity services. Again, I allocated time to disseminate the blog (linked to the publication) across social media groups to enhance the visibility and potential usage of the research findings. The two publications generated significant interest amongst social media users and professional networks. The interest was captured by the Conversation, an independent news outlet sourced from the academic and research community, who requested an article of a lay summary of the issues related to freebirthing. I wrote an overview of the phenomenon of freebirthing, incorporated the findings of my study and that of others published at similar times. The article for the Conversation was reprinted in the Independent and the Sun online newspapers. Additionally, I approached the RCM Magazine, in which I fulfilled one of the main aims of dissemination, a publication aimed at midwives in clinical practice (blinded for review). The article was written to outline the issues that related to the research findings and to provide structured advice regarding practice issues related to freebirthing. Coinciding with the article aimed at midwives, I worked with a local trust to support the development of guidelines regarding women's choice to freebirth. The purpose of the guideline was to provide a mechanism of knowledge and support for the community midwives and the women in their care. Dissemination activities also included national and international conference presentations of both publications and a submission of the findings to the Better

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

Births Maternity Review (NHS England, 2016). Additional activities included attending community midwifery groups at two local Trusts and student midwives at three universities. The purpose of the talks was to raise awareness of women's decision-making, women's experiences and the legalities of freebirthing. The talks were part presentation and part open discussion where midwives' and student midwives' concerns could be raised and discussed within a positive, open environment. Discussions included how midwives can support women in their choices whilst fulfilling their professional responsibilities. Moreover, the talks revealed the wider applicability of the research findings for *all* choices women make. Through an opportunity to discuss the legalities of freebirthing, the human rights in childbirth framework were revisited offering renewed awareness of women's rights and midwives' professional, ethical and legal obligations (Birthrights, 2017). Informal feedback from the participants was positive and it was reported that midwives'/student midwives felt greater confidence in supporting women's autonomous decision-making.

Conclusion

Using my research of freebirthing, this paper has presented a case for the use and benefits of interpretative phenomenology. A strength of interpretative phenomenology, as demonstrated, is the capacity to elicit rich, detailed and complex insights into an under-represented phenomenon. The knowledge generated from the study raised important issues regarding the impact of women's birthing experiences, interactions with healthcare professionals and motivating factors towards such a choice. Such insights have raised implications pertinent to women, maternity professionals, educators and policy-makers. Whilst small-scale, the study was an opportunity for women to voice the unheard, and the findings offered an exploratory commentary in which to open up space for further dialogue, clinical reflection and research. Moreover, I have presented methods of dissemination that facilitated the wider access to the research findings. Although the dissemination activities could be pertinent to any study, I suggest that it was the depth of insights generated from an interpretative phenomenological study that captured the interest of a wider audience.

Key points

365

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

- Interpretative phenomenology is a philosophical and methodological research approach that can be applied to a range of nursing and midwifery clinical research investigations.
 - Using a research study that explored the phenomenon of freebirthing, I have demonstrated the benefits of using interpretative phenomenology to generate rich and complex data regarding an under-researched area.
 - This research study highlighted that a small qualitative study can be used to inform clinical practice, education and policy-making. However, the onus is on the researcher to plan and implement a variety of dissemination activities to enhance impact.

Acknowledgements

- 377 I gratefully acknowledge the participants in this study, my supervisory support by Dr
- 378 Gill Thomson, and the grant received by the Wellbeing of Women in conjunction with
- the Royal College of Midwives and the Burdett Trust.

380 Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The Author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

382 Funding

- 383 This work was supported by the Wellbeing of Women in conjunction with the Royal
- College of Midwives and the Burdett Trust (grant number ELS505).

385 Ethical approval

- Ethical approval was gained by the STEMH Ethics Committee at the University of
- Central Lancashire June 2014, and an amendment was approved January 2015 (project
- 388 number: STEMH 208).

389 References

- 390 Barnes V, Clouder D, Pritchard J, Hughes C and Purkis J (2003) Deconstructing
- dissemination: Dissemination as qualitative research. Qualitative Research 32(2): 147-
- 392 164.

- 393 Birthrights (2017) Human Rights in Maternity Care. Available at:
- 394 http://www.birthrights.org.uk/resources/factsheets/ (Accessed 2nd Jan 2018).
- 395 Birthrights (2013a) Consenting to Treatment. Available at:
- 396 http://www.birthrights.org.uk/resources/factsheets/ (Accessed 2nd Jan 2018).
- 397 Birthrights (2013b) Unassisted Birth: The Legal Position. Available at:
- 398 http://www.birthrights.org.uk/resources/factsheets/ (Accessed 2nd Jan 2018).
- 399 Bradbury-Jones C, Irvine F and Sambrook S (2010) Phenomenology and participant
- 400 feedback: convention or contention. Nurse Researcher 17(2): 25-33.
- 401 Cambridge University Press (2018) Benefits of Open Access. Available at:
- 402 <a href="https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/open-access-policie
- 403 <u>resources/benefits-of-open-access</u> (Accessed 4th Jan 2018).
- Dahlen H, Jackson M and Stevens J (2011) Homebirth, freebirth, and doulas: casualty
- and consequence of a broken maternity care system. Women and Birth 24: 47-50.
- 406 Edwards N and Kirkham M (2013) Birthing without a midwife; a literature review.
- 407 MIDIRS Midwifery Digest 23(1): 7.
- 408 Feeley C, Burns E, Adams E and Thomson G (2015) Why do some women choose to
- freebirth? A meta-thematic synthesis, part one. Evidence Based Midwifery 13(1): 4-9.
- 410 Friedman S, Heneghan A and Rosenthal M (2007) Characteristics of women who deny
- or conceal pregnancy. Psychosomatics 48: 117-122.
- 412 Gadamer H (1960) Truth and Method. New York: Continuum.
- 413 Grant C and Osanloo A (2015) Understanding, selecting, and integrating a theoretical
- 414 framework in dissertation research: Creating the blueprint for your 'house'.
- 415 Administrative Issues Journal: Connecting Education, Research and Practice: 12-26.
- 416 Heidegger M (1962) Being and Time. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- 417 Holton L and de Miranda E (2016) Women's motivations for having unassisted
- childbirth or high-risk homebirth: An exploration of the literature on 'birthing outside
- 419 the system'. Midwifery 38: 55-62.

- 420 Husserl E (1970) The Crisis of European Sciences and Transcendental Phenomenology
- 421 (D.Carr Trans). Evanston IL: Northwestern University Press.
- 422 Keedle H, Schmeid V, Burns E and Dahlen H (2015) Women's reasons for, and
- experiences of, choosing a homebirth following a caesarean. BMC Pregnancy and
- 424 Childbirth 15(206).
- 425 Keen S and Todres L (2007) Strategies for Disseminating Qualitative Research
- 426 Findings: Three Exemplars. Forum: Qualitative Social Research 8(3): 1-15.
- Lavender T, Hofmeyr G, Neilson J, Kingdon C and Gyte G (2006) Caesarean section for
- non-medical reasons at term. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (3).
- 429 Longworth H and Kingdon C (2011) Fathers in the birth room: What are they expecting
- and experiencing? A phenomenological study. Midwifery 27(5): 588-594.
- Lopez K and Willis D (2004) Descriptive Versus Interpretive Phenomenology: Their
- Contributions to Nursing Knowledge. Qualitative Health Research 14: 726-735.
- Loughney A, Collis R and Dastgir S (2006) Birth before arrival at delivery suite:
- 434 Associations and consequences. British Journal of Nutrition 14(4): 204-208.
- 435 maxqda.com (2015) Qualitative Data Analysis Software.
- 436 McKenzie-McHarg K, Ayers S, Ford E, Horsch A, Jomeen J, Sawyer A, et al. (2015) Post-
- 437 traumatic stress disorder following childbirth: an update of current issues and
- 438 recommendations for future research. Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology
- 439 33(3): 219-237.
- 440 Miles M, Francis K, Chapman Y and Taylor B (2013) Hermeneutic phenomenology: A
- methodology choice for midwives. International Journal of Nursing Practice 19(4):
- 442 409-414.
- Nature (2018) Open Access at Nature. Available at:
- https://www.nature.com/openresearch/about-open-access/benefits-for-authors/
- 445 (Accessed 2nd Jan 2018).
- NHS England (2016) National Maternity Review. Better Births; Improving Outcomes of
- 447 Maternity Services in England. London: NHS England.

- Nursing and Midwifery Council (2012) Freebirthing. Available at: http://www.nmc-
- 449 <u>uk.org/Nurses-and-midwives/Regulation-in-practice/Regulation-in-Practice-</u>
- 450 <u>Topics/Free-birthing1/</u> (Accessed 14th November 2014).
- Plested M and Kirkham M (2016) Risk and fear in the lived experience of birth without
- 452 a midwife. Midwifery 38: 29-34.
- Regan P (2012) Hans-Georg Gadamer's philosophical hermeneutics: Concepts of
- 454 reading, understanding and interpretation. Research in Hermeneutics,
- 455 Phenomenology and Practical Philosophy 4(2): 286-303.
- 456 Ricoeur P (1991) Life in Quest of Narrative. In: Wood D (ed) On Paul Ricoeur,
- 457 Narrative and Interpretation. London: Routledge, 20-33.
- Smith J, Flowers P and Larkin M (2010) Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis.
- 459 London: SAGE.
- Symon A, Winter C, Donnan P and Kirkham M (2010) Examining Autonomy's
- 461 Boundaries: A Follow-up Review of Perinatal Mortality Cases in UK Independent
- 462 Midwifery. Birth 37(4): 280-287.
- Thomson G (2007) A Hero's Tale of Childbirth: An Interpretive Phenomenological
- Study of Traumatic and Positive Childbirth. Preston: University of Central Lancashire.
- Thomson G, Dykes F and Downe S (2011) Authenticity and poetics: what is different
- about phenomenology. In: Thomson G, Dykes F and Downe S (eds) Qualitative
- Research in Midwifery and Childbirth. Abingdon: Routledge, 233-235.
- 468 University of York (2016) Routes to Impact. Available at:
- 469 https://www.york.ac.uk/staff/research/research-impact/impact-definition/ (Accessed
- 470 2nd Jan 2018).
- van Manen M (2014) Phenomenology of Practice; Meaning-Giving Methods in
- 472 Phenomenological Research and Writing. California: Left Coast Press Inc.

473

474