

Developing anti-counterfeiting measures: the role of smart packaging

Abstract

Counterfeiting of food and beverage products is rife and premium brands are often targeted by fraudsters. Such is the case with Scotch whisky, a global, reputable brand revered for its heritage and tradition. Using Scotch whisky as a case study, the aim of this paper is to review existing literature and industry information to determine the market and personal consequences of counterfeiting activities and consider the packaging related anti-counterfeiting measures that can be employed within a wider anti-counterfeiting strategy. A typology of counterfeiting activities is developed including: tear-down counterfeiting, product overruns, malicious activities and document counterfeiting. Anti-counterfeiting measures are used to deter, detect and control counterfeiting activities and different packaging related approaches include the use of smart covert and overt technology. Most smart packaging-related anti-counterfeit technologies are stand-alone systems and this presents a vulnerability. An integrated anti-counterfeiting measures strategy, employed by business, the supply chain and the government is required to reduce the risk of the sale of counterfeit food and beverage products.

Keywords: counterfeit; fraud; packaging; smart technology, Scotch whisky

Highlights:

- Counterfeiting is a major concern in the food and beverage industry.
- Branded products are at risk of counterfeiting.
- Smart packaging solutions reduce the risk of counterfeiting.
- Packaging related anti-counterfeiting measures are essential for crime reduction.

1. Introduction

Counterfeiting activities are ubiquitous in industry affecting products from pharmaceuticals and medical equipment through to machine, electrical, automotive and aircraft parts, clothing, fashion items, movies, computer software and cigarettes (Berman, 2008). The Global Brand Counterfeiting Report (2018) estimates that the value of global counterfeiting is \$1.2 trillion per annum and will reach

30 \$1.82 trillion by 2020 with online distribution of counterfeit products being in the region of \$323 billion
31 annually. Counterfeiting of food and beverage is an age-old problem. Examples go back to ancient
32 times. A stopper for a wine amphora dated 27 BC shows an attempt to replace Roman wine with
33 cheaper French wine and in the 14th century the Elector Palatine in the Holy Roman Empire sentenced
34 a wine retailer to death for attempting to sell counterfeit product (Phillips, 2007). Recognized examples
35 of counterfeit food and beverage products include wine, baby formula milk (Berman, 2008); and alcohol
36 (Kuballa et al., 2018). Ten percent of bottles or cans of beer sold in the United Kingdom (UK) is said to
37 be counterfeit (Snowdon, 2012). Trading counterfeit alcohol is opportunistic and there are links
38 between the sale and production of counterfeit alcohol and Eastern European criminal groups based in
39 the UK, the products e.g. vodka are often being sold through small retailers or private networks (FCASA,
40 2016). Examples of UK seizures of counterfeit alcohol show the types of problems identified (Table 1).

41 **Take in Table 1**

42

43 Smuggling is not considered in depth in this paper, but there is widespread evidence of smuggling
44 of counterfeit goods (Soon & Manning, 2018). Counterfeiting activities include the sale and manufacture
45 of products using a trademark without the brand owner's permission (Yoo & Lee, 2005). Counterfeiting
46 activities are economically motivated often substituting an inferior product that is inexpensive relative
47 to the cost of production of the genuine article (Bodner, 2014). Counterfeiting is associated with goods
48 that possess high brand value (Wilcox, Kim & Sen, 2009). The practice leads to tangible losses such as
49 reduced sales and sales revenue, reduced profit, loss of development costs, employment, income and
50 sales tax revenue and increased legal fees, trade deficit, verification and detection costs (Gentry,
51 Putrevu & Shultz, 2006; Berman, 2008; Phau, Sequeira & Dix, 2009; Kaufmann, Petrovici, Gonçalves
52 Filho & Ayres, 2016; Ting, Goh & Isa, 2016). Ultimately, counterfeiting undermines brand value,
53 goodwill, consumer confidence, brand reputation and associated intellectual property rights and
54 trademarks and, if the counterfeit goods are sub-standard and it is difficult for consumers to
55 differentiate them from the legitimate product, can lead to liability claims (Yao, 2005; Bian & Moutinho,
56 2009; Staake, Thiesse & Fleisch, 2012; Bodner, 2014). The Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual
57 Property Rights (TRIPS) Agreement defines counterfeit trademark goods as:

58 “any goods, including packaging, bearing without authorisation a trademark that is identical to
59 the trademark validly registered in respect of such goods or that cannot be distinguished in its
60 essential aspects from such a trademark, which thereby infringes the rights of the owner of the
61 trademark in question under the law of the country of importation” (WTO, 1994, Article 51).

62 Emotional brand attachment will reduce the likelihood that consumers will willingly purchase an
63 alternative counterfeit product (Kaufmann, Petrovici, Gonçalves Filho & Ayres, 2016). However, there
64 is a tipping point where the purchase of counterfeit products may be seen as acceptable, and this
65 challenge is considered here using whisky as an example product.

66 Scotch whisky represents around one quarter of the UK’s total food and beverages exports to 200
67 global markets and supports 40,000 jobs and is worth an estimated £4 billion in annual exports (Scotch
68 Whisky Association, n.d.; Shand et al., 2017). Scotch whisky is a luxury food item making it a target
69 for counterfeiters to produce illicit alcohol under its protected name (Stupak, Goodall, Tomaniova,
70 Pulkrabova & Hajslova, 2018). Scotch whisky is made in Scotland from three raw materials: cereals,
71 yeast and water and this process is currently set out in the Scotch Whisky Regulations (2009). Malt
72 whiskies are made from malted barley (Stupak, Goodall, Tomaniova, Pulkrabova & Hajslova, 2018).
73 However, it is the process by which Scotch whisky is made that is defined and not the analytical
74 properties of the finished product (Aylott & MacKenzie, 2010; Scotch Whisky Regulations, 2009).

75 In 2015-2016, seizures of “fake” famous alcohol brands (including whisky and vodka) were made
76 in Greece. Genuine empty bottles were smuggled from Bulgaria and the counterfeits were produced in
77 underground laboratories (Interpol-Europol, 2016). Meanwhile, in another incident in Zambia, stolen
78 branded whisky was sold to illicit alcohol producers. Inspections and closure of other underground
79 factories was carried out in Operation Opson VII (Interpol, 2018). In another case, more than 1.6
80 million litres of illegally produced alcohol was seized in Russia. Indeed, recent tests revealed that more
81 than a third of vintage Scotch whiskies could be counterfeit at a value of £41 million (BBC, 2018).
82 Whisky is produced in other parts of the world but different ingredients are often used. US whiskey is
83 made in Kentucky, Tennessee and other locations from a range of cereals including rye, corn, barley
84 and wheat and differing maturation processes (Aylott & MacKenzie, 2010). Jack Daniel’s Tennessee
85 Whiskey is the largest volume selling US whiskey with 10% of market share and annual sales of \$233

86 million dollars (Statista, 2019). The length of time that the whiskey is stored will vary by production
87 method and in order to give a “peaty” quality to some Scotch whiskies, damp malted barley is subjected
88 to peat smoke giving it a unique taste.

89 In 2011, a gang of men were found guilty of producing illegal vodka in the UK, with one man
90 receiving a suspended sentence, one received an eighteen month prison sentence and two more
91 sentences of seven years (BBC, 2011), being the maximum jail sentence for fraudulent evasion of duty
92 as laid down by the UK Customs and Excise Management Act 1979. The financial penalty on summary
93 conviction is £20,000 or three times the value of the goods whichever is the greater. The aim of this
94 research has been to consider the types of counterfeiting that can occur in the food and beverage
95 supply chain and the approaches to developing effective anti-counterfeiting strategies, including the
96 use of smart packaging related technologies. The lens through which counterfeiting is considered here
97 is Scotch whisky. It is not the aim of this research to review the analytical techniques (e.g. chemical,
98 biomolecular, spectroscopic or isotopic) used to detect counterfeit whisky as this has been reviewed
99 elsewhere (Kamiloglu, 2019; Urickova & Sadecka, 2015), and the focus is on the use of smart
100 technologies to reduce the risk of counterfeiting. This paper introduces the concept of counterfeiting
101 and then considers the types of counterfeiting activities and potential anti-counterfeiting strategies and
102 measures that can be used in order to provide recommendations for the use of smart technologies as
103 part of a wider business strategy.

104 **2. Typology of counterfeiting**

105 Counterfeiting involves either *substitution*, the placing of inferior products in authentic or reused
106 packaging; *duplication*, the direct copying of packaging, products, and/or instructions; *tampering*
107 through interfering with packages or labels and replacing the real product with spiked, pilfered, or
108 stolen goods; and *returns and warranty fraud* (Zadbuke, Shahi, Gulecha, Padalkar & Thube, 2013).

109 When critiquing the typology of counterfeiting it is important to consider the innate nature of
110 branded goods i.e. those goods or products that bear a registered trademark with associated intellectual
111 property rights and how these can be copied by others. Whereas a counterfeit is an exact copy of the
112 original food item, “imitation, knock-off or copycat goods” look similar to the branded goods but are
113 not identical and often lack the same level of quality or performance (Le Roux, Bobrie & Thébault,

114 2016; Wimmer & Yoon, 2017). Imitations or copy-cat products do not bear a counterfeit copy of the
115 trade-mark. *Shanzhai imitation* represents a type of imitation that mimics the original brand through
116 surface or functional similarities, but often provides enhanced or innovative features adapted to local
117 market needs (Qin, Shi, Song, Stöttinger & Tan, [p2292018](#)) i.e. they build in enhancements or features
118 that the original product does not include, thus it is not a direct copy.

119 Whilst counterfeiting is illegal, in some cases imitation, although unauthorized by the brand owner,
120 may be legitimate and not infringe any copyright legislation. This activity, as seen with private label
121 imitation of branded products is undertaken to make products "look like" the original. Kapferer (1995)
122 describes this imitation as a "halo of resemblance" for consumers who can make inference from the
123 similarity of the visuals and context of the branded product. Similarity with the original brand can
124 confuse consumers and can fall into two types: firstly *literal* where a product name can have common
125 letters or a similar sequence of letters and semantic meaning i.e. the name is different but the extrinsic
126 attributes of the product are imitated (Van Horen & Pieters 2012; Le Roux, Bobrie & Thébault, 2016).
127 However product imitation may lead consumers to believe there is a link or affiliation between the
128 imitation product and the imitated brand (Zaichkowsky, 2006). This confusion can lead to a reduction
129 in brand preference and a consumer trend towards buying the lower price alternative (Aribarg, Arora,
130 Henderson & Kim, 2014). With imitation products, there is no intention to deceive the consumer, but
131 there is an implicit, if not explicit, driver to use similarity to drive sales of the imitation version.

132 **2.1 Non-deceptive counterfeit goods**

133 Non-deceptive counterfeiting can only exist if there is demand from consumers for the items as
134 well as the supply from fraudsters (Cesareo & Stöttinger, 2015). Le Roux, Bobrie & Thébault (2016)
135 differentiate between deceptive and non-deceptive counterfeiting the former where the consumer is
136 unaware that the product is counterfeit and the latter where the consumer purchases the product
137 knowing that it is counterfeit. Non-deceptive counterfeit goods are distinguishable either visually or by
138 the type of sales and distribution channels through which it is sold from the branded products they are
139 designed to represent (Grossman & Shapiro, 1988; Berman, 2008; Yao, 2015; Wu, Gong & Chiu, 2016).
140 Consumers may willingly choose to buy non-deceptive counterfeit goods as they see them as a bargain
141 (Thaichon & Quach, 2016). It is difficult here with the terminology in the literature to clearly differentiate

142 between what the sources state as being an imitation product or what are indeed non-deceptive
143 counterfeit goods. In this paper, imitation is an alternative clearly distinct “look-alike” rather than a
144 “direct-copy” but still distinguishable product.

145 **2.2 “Tear-down” counterfeiting**

146 Tear-down goods are designed in a process of exact duplication to deceive the unsuspecting
147 consumer (Berman, 2008). This means that the product is reverse engineered by breaking down the
148 genuine product layer by layer to determine how the product can be rebuilt to appear to be the branded
149 product. This approach may involve analysis and testing of the product itself or the theft of
150 specifications, blueprints or other intellectual property.

151 **2.3 “Third shift” or product overruns**

152 Product overruns occur when an outsourced manufacturing supplier continues production after
153 termination of the contract, or outside the hours or volumes agreed for manufacture, the so-called
154 “third-shift” (Berman, 2008; Wimmer & Yoon, 2017). The additional production may be covered up by
155 false declarations of production wastage or instances of non-conforming material. These products are
156 difficult to distinguish from legitimate product especially where authentic ingredients or packaging have
157 been used (Berman, 2008). A further form of counterfeit goods are seconds or rejects that are not
158 destroyed as the brand owner requested but are instead sold on by the outsourcer as “first-quality” in
159 grey, illicit channels of distribution (Fogel, 1986; Berman, 2008 Cesareo, 2016).

160 **2.4 Malicious counterfeiting**

161 Malicious counterfeits are designed to appear to perform correctly, but then malfunction at critical
162 times or open security breaches so that adversaries gain advantage (Bodner, 2014:427). Malicious
163 counterfeiting can also be a problem with digital systems that can lead to intentional hardware failure
164 (Takahashi, Nagata, & Miura, 2018). Fake hardware can make organizations vulnerable to cyber-
165 security risks and the introduction of malware at a later date. Therefore, it is essential that there are
166 effective mitigation strategies in place.

167 **2.5 Document and packaging counterfeiting**

168 Counterfeit documents are documents that are reproductions of the original valid document (Vieira,
169 Silva, Antunes & Assis, 2016). It is important for organizations to consider how they will ensure the
170 integrity of documentation they use or receive. Anti-counterfeiting elements in documents or packaging
171 include: watermarks, fluorescent fibres and planchettes, guilloche patterns, fluorescent and magnetic
172 inks, optically variable inks, rainbow printing, microprinting, latent images, scrambled indicia, laser
173 printing, photos, signatures, embossing stamps, optically variable devices, protective films,
174 perforations, machine readable security, and retro-reflective patterns” (Vieira, Silva, Antunes & Assis,
175 [p4232016](#)). Planchettes are small flat components (1-5mm) added to paper during the production
176 process that carry visible or invisible security features such as ink, microprinted text or symbols,
177 chemically reactive substances or thermochromatic inks that change color under different temperatures
178 (Nanomatrixsecure, nd). A guilloche pattern is a decorative interlaced pattern that is embedded within
179 official documents and bank notes. Scrambled indicia are formed though a patented process that uses
180 a scrambled image or stamp to encode text or graphics within the design so it is unreadable without
181 specific equipment.

182 **2.6 Summary**

183 A typology of imitators and counterfeiters has been drawn together from the literature (Table 2).
184 The table uses factors such as capabilities, business model, strategic focus, and functionality and
185 potential countermeasures to mitigate the risk of counterfeiting. These countermeasures focus in part
186 on supplier and procurement management procedures. There are multiple socio-economic factors that
187 frame counterfeiting activities (Table 3). Increased systems complexity and globalization of supply
188 chains, greater outsourcing of design and manufacture, and weak governance and surveillance of
189 intellectual property rights across national boundaries between one legal jurisdiction and another
190 increase the risk of counterfeiting (Bodner, 2014). All these factors need to be taken into consideration
191 when developing anti-counterfeiting measures.

192 **Take in Tables 2 and 3**

193 Anti-counterfeiting technologies are used to deter, detect and control counterfeiting. They should
194 allow customers and/or individual consumers to examine the product and verify that the product is not
195 a counterfeit. However the anti-counterfeit features used on packaging must be difficult to replicate

196 (Hopkins, Kontnik & Turnage, 2003). The range of anti-counterfeiting measures including smart
197 packaging technologies are now considered.

198 **3. Anti-counterfeiting measures**

199 Brand owners need to address the risk of counterfeiting and develop systems to track, trace, detect
200 and take action on what they believe to be counterfeit products (Ting, Goh & Isa, 2016). Two elements
201 of traceability are of interest as anti-counterfeiting measures: logistics traceability and qualitative
202 traceability (Folinas, Manikas & Manos, 2006; Ringsberg, 2014). Logistics traceability has three
203 elements tracking, tracing and logging. *Tracking* is forward traceability from ingredient to finished
204 product; *tracing* is reverse traceability from finished product to ingredient and *logging* is the details of
205 the physical movement of the product e.g. quantity, origin, destination, dispatch date. Qualitative
206 traceability links additional information to the product e.g. pre-harvest and post-harvest techniques,
207 storage and distribution conditions. It is this information that underpins the brand value of the product.

208 Secondly, brand owners need to provide information to consumers to increase awareness of the
209 risk of counterfeit product especially through the role of Government and/or celebrity endorsed
210 information campaigns (Ting, Goh & Isa, 2016). These Government driven media campaigns should
211 promote ethical purchasing and usage standards especially the safety implications of counterfeit goods
212 and the impact on legitimate business of such behavior (Thaichon & Quach, 2016). Regulatory controls
213 for reducing on-line sales of counterfeit products should set standards and strengthen the penalties for
214 sellers and buyers deviating from legitimate practice and also strengthen enforcement activities
215 (Thaichon & Quach, 2016). Berman (2008) suggests that to detect and reduce counterfeiting activity,
216 protocols need to be put in place that encompass four steps:

217 (1) *develop early warning signals of counterfeiting activity*. These include: a sudden decrease
218 in sales or increased grey market activity e.g. a large volume of product being sold in discounters, e-
219 stores or internet sites, or an increase in product failure rates, returns and claims especially if those
220 products are difficult to trace to legitimate production records;

221 (2) *invest in management systems to monitor, deter, and remove counterfeit products and*
222 *mitigate wider counterfeiting activity*. These costs include the hiring of internal investigators or private
223 investigators or setting up false companies to purchase the potentially counterfeit products. Investing

224 in *communication strategies* with consumers about the danger of purchasing counterfeit products is
225 also crucial so they are aware of the problems that can occur.

226 (3) *using demand-side strategies to deter counterfeiting activities in the first place.* These
227 include: taking legal action where required, improving control of outsourced suppliers and building trust
228 based relationships and implementing verification activities. Another strategy is to outsource parts
229 production only and then to assemble the finished product within the brand owner's own business so
230 potential counterfeiters cannot use "third-shift" techniques. Embedding track and trace and/or
231 authentication smart and databased technologies will also deter counterfeiting; and

232 (4) *using supply-side strategies to deter counterfeiting organisations e.g. the use of software*
233 *to monitor websites that use key terms associated with the branded products especially those terms*
234 *subject to intellectual property rights and restrictions.*

235 Another element of these protocols is anti-counterfeiting *hurdles*. Hurdles are the formal
236 system components that reduce opportunity for counterfeiting by either as a deterrent or by assisting
237 in detection of activity (Spink et al. 2015; Soon, Manning, & Smith, 2019). Hurdles can be *physical* in
238 terms of protecting structural assets (barriers, enclosed production systems), or *artefact-based* such as
239 procedures and protocols or cyber-protection via firewalls and virus software (Manning, 2019). Anti-
240 counterfeiting measures are therefore hurdles developed as online or off- line measures that are
241 intended to dissuade consumers from buying counterfeit products and instead designed to encourage
242 them to become advocates against fakes and imitations (Cesareo & Stöttinger, 2015). Anti-
243 counterfeiting measures identified in the literature have been categorized according to their mode of
244 operation: communication related, management related, distribution related, product related, process
245 related, and social value related (Table 4).

246 **Take in Table 4**

247

248 The rise of the use of the Internet, with limited governance around anti-counterfeiting
249 measures, has allowed a global distribution channel to develop for counterfeit goods to billions of people
250 (Berman, 2008; Cesareo & Stöttinger, 2015). Counterfeit operations can set up multiple websites that
251 are visually similar to the authentic web presence often hiding behind the anonymity of the international

252 scope of operation and the limited hurdles that are in place to prevent their activities (Yao, 2015). Some
253 counterfeiters in the physical world too set up front companies or front personnel to register businesses
254 and pass money through third parties and also forge production, sales and stock records, and use real
255 food product names so forensic accounting may be limited in how it identifies evidence of counterfeiting
256 (Berman, 2008). However, there is an increasing emphasis on the use of smart technologies embedded
257 into packaging that can reduce the risk of counterfeiting. The role of packaging related anti-
258 counterfeiting measures is now considered in more detail, with a focus on their application in the Scotch
259 whisky industry.

260 **4. Packaging related anti-counterfeiting measures**

261 **4.1 Control of used packaging**

262 According to SafeProof (2018), refilling and reusing spirit alcohol and wine bottles is one of the
263 most common counterfeiting practices. Selling empty and labelled alcohol and wine bottles drives a
264 return (Tobiassen, 2014) and so trading empty bottles is undertaken and for excellent wines the bottles
265 may be resold for as much as £300, so producers request that the empty bottles are destroyed at the
266 table at restaurants (Lecat, Brouard & Chapuis, 2016). Refilling empty bottles is the preferred method
267 among counterfeiters (Przyswa, 2014a) especially in China (Lavin, 2013), indeed a network for the
268 recovery of empty bottles were set up by Chinese counterfeiters. Counterfeiters are also able to
269 purchase online replicas of bottles, caps, labels and boxes to allow them to produce counterfeit product
270 with lower grade alcohol (SafeProof, 2017).

271 **4.2 Traceability anti-counterfeiting measures (Track and Trace Technologies)**

272 A barcode is an optical machine-readable symbol consisting of a pattern of bars and spaces to
273 represent the product and the manufacturer via an identification number. Barcodes remain the most
274 commonly used symbology to identify product and facilitate inventory control. Machine readable devices
275 e.g. barcodes or quick response (QR) codes, and allow enhanced data checking and sharing of
276 electronic data (Dabbene, Gay & Tortia, ~~2013~~2014). Over time, barcodes have evolved from the
277 Universal Product Code (1D) to a 2D Quick Response (QR) code with high data storage capacity (Fang,
278 Zhao, Warner & Johnson, 2017; Yam, Takhistov & Miltz, 20015). 1D barcodes are of value in terms of
279 identifying the origin of the food and enable tracking and tracing (Table 5). 2D barcodes also allow

280 consumers to use smart phone applications to determine product authenticity (Vukatana, Sevrani &
281 Hoxha, 2016). However, Lecat, Brouard & Chapuis (~~2016~~2017) argue that whilst the ease of integration,
282 readability and direct marketing opportunities are high, conversely batch identification and security is
283 low and a weakness of this technology.

284 **Take in Table 5**

285

286 Radio frequency identification (RFID) microchips are a more advanced data carrier compared
287 to barcodes and have higher data storage capacity. RFID is used for product identification and
288 traceability (Meraviglia, 2018) and information can be gathered automatically, without the need for
289 visual scanning as with barcodes (Kumari, Narsaiah, Grewal & Anurag, 2015; Bibi, Guillaume, Gontard
290 & Sorli, 2017). RFID technology uses radio waves in close proximity, to collect, store and manage
291 information between the tag, reader and associated software. RFID is versatile as the tag can be
292 incorporated into the packaging and allows reading through multiple materials (e.g. paper, plastic), is
293 non-invasive and allows traceability over the whole distribution chain (Bibi, Guillaume, Gontard & Sorli,
294 2017). Previous studies have utilized RFID in combination with Global Positioning System (GPS) and
295 time-temperature indicators to monitor vehicles' location, temperature and unauthorized opening of
296 vehicles' doors for food items served during the 2008 Beijing Olympics (Wu et al. 2010). However, the
297 embedding of RFID tags in every product is expensive and impacts too on the ability to recycle
298 packaging, although current research is seeking to reduce that cost (Aliaga et al. 2011; Bonaccorsi et
299 al. 2017; Feng, Xie, Chen, & Zheng, 2015; Wittkopf, Ge, Ionescu, Staehler, Pederson, & Holder, 2018;
300 Liegeard & Manning, 2019). Barcodes and RFID are two of the most commonly used technologies in
301 traceability and tracking. In fact, the application of RFID has extended from traceability to identification
302 of individual units as counterfeiting risks can arise from perpetrators within the supply chain e.g.
303 transporter, or importer (Przyswa, 2014b). Specific anti-counterfeiting technologies are now
304 considered.

305 **4.3 Anti-counterfeiting technologies**

306 Anti-counterfeiting technologies are used to identify authentic products from fraudulent items. The
307 technologies need to be difficult to duplicate, hard to re-use and yet easily applied and to identify

308 visually, and easily noticeable when tampered with (Li, 2013). Anti-counterfeiting technologies for
309 packaging can be divided into direct or *overt technologies* i.e. clearly visible to the consumer or indirect
310 or *covert technologies* that are not visible to the naked eye (Meraviglia, 2018). Direct or overt
311 technology enables end users to visually verify the originality of the packaging such as the use of
312 holograms, watermarks, barcodes, RFID, and tamper-evident seals. Packaging technologies can be
313 designed to be business-to-business (B2B) or business-to-consumer (B2C) anti-counterfeiting
314 measures. At their simplest, packaging designs can incorporate tamper proof or tamper evident systems
315 such as film wrappers, shrink seals and bands, breakable or single use caps (Zadbuke, Shahi, Gulecha,
316 Padalkar & Thube, 2013).

317 Whisky brands are using Near Field Communication (NFC) technology to ensure product integrity
318 and maximize customers' satisfaction. The NFC tag is integrated with the label and consumers can
319 simply tap their phone to the bottle's label to access product and brand information. The tag is applied
320 in such a way that it will tear if the bottle's seal is broken (Connolly, 2015).

321 **Holograms** are often used as the first line of authentication in food products. A hologram
322 generates rainbow-like radiance by diffracting white light into the spectrum of visible light and allow
323 end users to view the holographic images directly (Lancaster, 2008). Gander (2015) suggests that
324 holograms offer an essential layer of visible, overt brand protection and should not be overlooked by
325 the food industry. One example of their use is Macallan Highland Single Malt Scotch Whisky where in
326 the past fraudsters were re-using authentic whiskey bottles with intact labels and then selling the
327 counterfeit product under their brand name. This type of fraud led to the utilization of a tamper evident,
328 3D holographic security label that sealed the capsule to the bottle. Once the cap is removed, the
329 holographic security label would be destroyed, i.e. the label has tamper evident properties (Zadbuke,
330 Shahi, Gulecha, Padalkar & Thube, 2013), and so consumers can use this label to readily identify
331 whether the whisky they are buying is authentic or not (DeLaRue, 2017). Holograms are cost-effective
332 and cannot be copied easily. However, fraudsters have been known to manufacture their own
333 holograms to use with counterfeit product (Kramer, 2006). Whilst RFID tags are hard to counterfeit,
334 barcodes are not, so barcodes are often combined in anti-counterfeiting measures with technologies
335 such as holograms or watermarks and sometimes with a covert technology too (Vukatana, Sevrani &
336 Hoxha, 2016).

337 **Watermarks** are images or patterns that are embedded into packaging design and are visible
338 when packaging is held up to light. Watermarks are often integrated into packaging to combat
339 counterfeit products (Li, 2013). Food manufacturers can customise watermarks by using logos or brand
340 names to authenticate their products (Consolidated Label, 2018). Visual watermarks are inexpensive,
341 but business or consumer end-users must be aware of the watermark and know where to look in order
342 to check that the product is authentic (Kramer, 2006).

343 Indirect or covert technology requires a certain level of expertise and dedicated equipment and the
344 technology is often invisible e.g. ultraviolet (UV) inks (Cozzella, Simonetti, & Spagnolo, 2012) or UV
345 security threads (Zadbuke, Shahi, Gulecha, Padalkar & Thube, 2013). A security thread is a plastic or
346 metal ribbon that is embedded into paper fibre during the production process. The security thread is
347 only visible in transmitted light and is a difficult feature to duplicate (Baldini, Fovino, Satta, Tsois &
348 Checchi, 2015; Li, 2013). Colorless fluorescence fibres are added during production process and the
349 fluorescence artefact can then only be viewed under UV light (Baldini, Fovino, Satta, Tsois & Checchi,
350 2015).

351 Covert technologies include special inks or chemical or mechanical methods (Li, 2013; Meraviglia,
352 2018). One example of covert technologies is invisible digital watermarks and microtext. The invisible
353 digital watermark developed by FiliGrade can be embedded onto packaging and provide B2C product
354 information via a mobile app which also verifies the accuracy of the watermark, thus the authenticity
355 of the product (FiliGrade, n.d.). Microtext is extremely small texts or codes that is inserted into larger
356 text, an overt image or another design and is not visible to the naked eye. This technique is very difficult
357 to replicate as fraudsters are unaware that it exists and it requires advanced detection and printing
358 technology to be used (Consolidated Label, 2018).

359 Thermochromatic ink changes color in response to changes in temperature. It is not only a useful
360 anti-counterfeiting measure, but also it is important in indicating correct temperature storage and/or
361 cumulative temperature abuse (Thermometer, 2018). The packaging is covered with heat-activated ink
362 that irreversibly change from colorless to strong color alert such as blue, green, black or red (New Food,
363 2017). A color change on the packaging can identify if external logistics packaging had been tampered
364 with or if the product has undergone temperature changes that affect product quality. The advantage
365 of thermochromic ink is that it is safe to apply to food packaging and provides a strong visual cue to

366 the consumer. However, fraudsters may have access to colour printing technology, hence
367 manufacturers should not rely on color change as a sole anti-counterfeit strategy (Kramer, 2006).

368 Anti-counterfeiting technologies such as intaglio printing, security threads (described above) and
369 fluorescence artifacts are often used for food products. Intaglio printing uses exceptionally fine lines
370 and dots on flexible packaging and is one of the most difficult printing process to counterfeit (G + D
371 Currency Technology, n.d.; Kenny, 2015; Bautista et al., 2017). These packaging technologies can also
372 be combined in an anti-counterfeiting measures strategy with other forms of authentication in a
373 concerted effort to minimize the risk of counterfeiting.

374 Knowledgeable and experienced consumers may be able to discern a fake from an authentic
375 product. Whisky connoisseurs and experienced collectors can assess the label including the details it
376 contains, and the condition of the cork (Woodward, 2017). Consumers are willing to use technology to
377 self-authenticate food and beverage products (Charlebois, Schwab, Henn & Huck, 2016). The use of
378 digital technologies such as predictive computing and Internet of Things (IoT) applications give
379 consumers a way to detect fraud in food stores, and this provide the consumer with greater personal
380 agency. Most current anti-counterfeiting authentication techniques are designed for industrial and
381 laboratory applications (Urickova & Sadecka, 2015; Stupak, Goodall, Tomaniova, Pulkrabova &
382 Hajslova, 2018; Kamiloglu, 2019). Fixed or benchtop analytical devices could be based at major ports,
383 distribution centres and transport hubs to test products to verify the risk of counterfeiting. The use of
384 rapid, user-friendly handheld detection devices based on Raman spectroscopy (point-and-shoot) to
385 detect food fraud (Ellis, Muhamadali, Haughey, Elliott, & Goodacre, 2015) and Raman spectroscopy has
386 been used to determine the properties of alcoholic beverages (Yang & Ying, 2011) making the technique
387 of interest in determining product authenticity (Manning & Soon, 2014). Further options for developing
388 integrity based techniques include: the use of isotope markers (Zadbuke, Shahi, Gulecha, Padalkar &
389 Thube, 2013); or biological and chemical markers known as "taggants" (Lecat, Brouard & Chapuis,
390 2016). Chemical taggants are trace chemicals that are usually detected by highly specific reagent
391 system rather than conventional analysis (Zadbuke, Shahi, Gulecha, Padalkar & Thube, 2013) making
392 them difficult to replicate by the food criminal. Biological taggants are incorporated at extremely low
393 levels in products, coatings, or are applied to packaging components and identification again requires
394 a highly specific reagent kit to authenticate the product (Zadbuke, Shahi, Gulecha, Padalkar & Thube,

395 2013). Thus combined with other smart IoT-based applications they can provide an effective anti-
396 counterfeiting measures that are bespoke to the product concerned.

397 **5. Discussion**

398 Food counterfeiting has a long history and has turned into a global, multi-million industry for
399 fraudsters costing food and beverage supply chains dearly in terms of lost revenue, brand reputation,
400 and in some cases causing fatalities to those who consume fake products. Recent fatal incidents
401 associated with methanol and other toxic materials being used in counterfeit alcohol include 102 people
402 dying in India in 2015 (BBC, 2015) and 86 dying in Indonesia in 2018 (Faridz & Griffiths, 2018).

403 The Scotch whisky industry presents a reputable, world-famous product, often revered as one of
404 the premium global spirits. As a premium product, it is also very attractive to counterfeiters. The
405 industry, public authorities and researchers are working hard to mitigate against such activities through
406 the use of anti-counterfeiting measures and also to detect counterfeit products should they occur.
407 Similarly, fraudsters are thinking of new, innovative ways to avoid detection. Wilcock and Boys (2014)
408 suggested an integrated approach to reduce counterfeits by adopting the following: (i) improved
409 collaboration and sharing of intelligence within the food and beverage industry; (ii) involvement in anti-
410 counterfeiting measures by all members of the value chain from employees, suppliers to consumers
411 and public authorities, and (iii) continuous improvement in product and packaging design. These
412 measures are already adopted within the Scotch whisky industry. Intelligence sharing between the
413 industry, whisky auctioneers and police successfully exposed and shutdown an illegal alcohol bottling
414 operation (Paskin, 2017). Improved collaboration across the supply chain can help to detect early cases
415 of counterfeiting and the sharing of intelligence between the industry and Europol has led to successful
416 international raids such as those conducted during Operation Opson. These initiatives in the food and
417 beverage industry should be strengthened further.

418 Counterfeiting can arise as a result of misrepresentation associated with firstly the product e.g.
419 illegally produced and/or sub-standard alcoholic beverages being used to substitute for the premium
420 product, secondly, process misrepresentation associated with the place or country of origin or the
421 development of illicit supply networks, thirdly packaging misrepresentation with counterfeit packaging
422 or the illicit use of recycled genuine liquor bottles and finally data misrepresentation through
423 intentionally providing false information to accompany the batch of product (Manning, 2016).

424 Continuous improvement in the type and confidence limits of analytical detection will make it more
425 difficult for perpetrators to produce and sell counterfeit whiskies without discovery. Packaging, and
426 smart technology in particular, plays a major role in combating food counterfeiting. Packaging
427 technologies are becoming more sophisticated and anti-counterfeit technologies are being designed so
428 that they are difficult to replicate (Vavra, 2015). Authentication and traceability systems underpin
429 product. In order to improve product safety and quality, and to protect brand value, food and beverage
430 companies should be prepared to invest more in monitoring, investigating and investing in intellectual
431 property registrations and protections and public relations that promote the consumers' role in tackling
432 counterfeit products (Berman, 2005). Thus smart packaging technologies have a key role to play in
433 wider anti-counterfeiting measures strategies.

434 **6. Conclusion**

435 This review has considered the typologies of counterfeiters and imitators and the opportunities for
436 authenticating food products via either the product, the packaging or dual verification tools. Scotch
437 whisky is used a case study, to demonstrate the significance and extent of global counterfeiting
438 activities, their health risks and how the industry and public authorities have to improve their anti-
439 counterfeiting strategies. Although, there are various anti-counterfeiting approaches in place,
440 counterfeiters are continuously finding new ways to replicate products and avoid detection. Most
441 packaging-related anti-counterfeit technologies are stand-alone systems. A more holistic approach of
442 designing physical hurdles to reduce the opportunity for counterfeiting and then developing artefact-
443 based authentication systems that are coupled with traceability and tracking systems is essential. Thus,
444 individual businesses, supply chains and regulators need to consider the kinds of integrated anti-
445 counterfeiting systems that are required to reduce counterfeiting and ultimately to protect food supply
446 chains.

447

448 **7. References**

- 449 Aliaga, C., B. M. Ferreira, M. Hortal, M., Pancorbo, Á., López, J.M. & F.J. Navas, (2011). Influence of
450 RFID tags on recyclability of plastic packaging. *Waste management*, 31(6), 1133-1138.
- 451 Aribarg, A., Arora, N., Henderson, T., & Kim, Y. (2014). Private label imitation of a national brand:
452 Implications for consumer choice and law. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 51(6), 657-675.
- 453
454 Aylott, R.I., & MacKenzie, W.M. (2010). Analytical strategies to confirm the generic authenticity of
455 Scotch Whisky. *Journal of the Institute of Brewing*, 116(3), 215-229.
- 456
457 Baldini, G., Fovino, I. N., Satta, R., Tsois, A. & Checchi, E. (2015). Survey of techniques for the fight
458 against counterfeit goods and IntellectualProperty Rights (IPR) infringement. European Commission
459 JCR Technical Report, Eur 27688. Available at: <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/38631802.pdf>
460 [Accessed 25 November 2018]
- 461
462 Bautista, L., Molina, L., Niembro, S., Garcia, J. M., Lopez, J. & Vilchez, A. (2017). Coatings and inks
463 for food packaging including nanomaterials. *Emerging Nanotechnologies in Food Science: Micro and*
464 *Nano Technologies*, 149-173.
- 465
466 BBC (2018). Third of rare Scotch whiskies found to be fake. BBC News, 20 December. Available at:
467 <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-scotland-scotland-business-46566703> [Accessed 22 December 2018]
- 468
469 BBC (2015). India alcohol poisoning: Mumbai death toll tops 100. Available at:
470 <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-asia-india-33224514> [Accessed 22 December 2018]
- 471
472 BBC (2011). Leicestershire fake vodka gang jailed. Available at: [https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-](https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-leicestershire-15888342)
473 [england-leicestershire-15888342](https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-leicestershire-15888342) [Accessed 9 February 2019]
- 474
475 Berman, B. (2008). Strategies to detect and reduce counterfeiting activity. *Business Horizons*, 51(3),
476 191-199.
- 477
478 Bian, X., & Moutinho, L. (2009). An investigation of determinant of purchase consideration. *Journal of*
479 *Business Research*, 62, 368–378.
- 480
481 Bibi, F., Guillaume, C., Gontard, N. & Sorli, B. (2017). A review: RFID technology having sensing
482 aptitudes for food industry and their contribution to tracking and monitoring of food products. *Trends*
483 *in Food Science & Technology*, 62, 91-103.
- 484
485 Bodner, D. A. (2014). Enterprise modeling framework for counterfeit parts in defense systems.
Procedia Computer Science, 36, 425-431.
- 486
487 Bonaccorsi, M., S. Betti, G. Rateni, D. Esposito, A. Brischetto, M. Marseglia, P. Dario, & F. Cavallo,
488 (2017). 'HighChest': An Augmented Freezer Designed for Smart Food Management and Promotion of
Eco-Efficient Behaviour. *Sensors*, 17(6), 1357-78
- 489
490 Cesareo, L. (2016). Counterfeiting and piracy. A comprehensive literature review. New York, NY:
Springer.
- 491
492 Cesareo, L., & Stöttinger, B. (2015). United we stand, divided we fall: How firms can engage
consumers in their fight against counterfeits. *Business Horizons*, 58(5), 527-537.
- 493
494 Charlebois, S., Schwab, A., Henn, R., & Huck, C.W. (2016). Food fraud: An exploratory study for
495 measuring consumer perception towards mislabelled food products and influence on self-
496 authentication intentions. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 50, 211-218.
- 497
498 Connolly, K. B. (2015). Johnnie Walker 'smart bottle' performs for consumers and supply chain.
Packaging Digest. Available at: [https://www.packagingdigest.com/beverage-packaging/johnnie-](https://www.packagingdigest.com/beverage-packaging/johnnie-walker-smart-bottle-performs-for-consumers-and-supply-chain150306)
499 [walker-smart-bottle-performs-for-consumers-and-supply-chain150306](https://www.packagingdigest.com/beverage-packaging/johnnie-walker-smart-bottle-performs-for-consumers-and-supply-chain150306) [Accessed 22 December 2018]

500
501 Consolidated Label (2018). Anti-counterfeit labels and packaging are key to brand protection.
502 Available at: <https://www.consolidatedlabel.com/label-articles/anti-counterfeit-labels/> [Accessed 15
503 November 2018]
504
505 Cozzella, L., Simonetti, C. & Spagnolo, G. S. (2012). Drug packaging security by means of white-light
506 speckle. *Optics and Lasers in Engineering*, 50(10), 1359-1371.
507
508 Dabbene, F., Gay, P., & Tortia, C. (2014). Traceability issues in food supply chain management: A
509 review. *Biosystems engineering*, 120, 65-80.
510
511 DeLaRue (2017). Case study. Macallan Whiskey picks Izon® Technology as part of a comprehensive
512 anti-counterfeit solution. Available at:
513 [https://cdn2.hubspot.net/hubfs/2752422/Adwords%20Landing%20Pages/DLR_Izon_Macallan_Whisky](https://cdn2.hubspot.net/hubfs/2752422/Adwords%20Landing%20Pages/DLR_Izon_Macallan_Whisky_case_study_v5.pdf?t=1542991180152)
514 [_case_study_v5.pdf?t=1542991180152](https://cdn2.hubspot.net/hubfs/2752422/Adwords%20Landing%20Pages/DLR_Izon_Macallan_Whisky_case_study_v5.pdf?t=1542991180152) [Accessed 25 November 2018]
515
516 Ellis, D. I., Muhamadali, H., Haughey, S. A., Elliott, C. T., & Goodacre, R. (2015). Point-and-shoot:
517 rapid quantitative detection methods for on-site food fraud analysis – moving out of the laboratory
518 and into the food supply chain. *Analytical Methods*, 7(22), 9375-9716.
519
520 Fang, Z., Zhao, Y., Warner, R. D. & Johnson, S. K. (2017). Active and intelligent packaging in meat
521 industry. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 61, 60-71.
522
523 Faridz, D. & Griffiths, J. (2018), More than 80 dead from drinking bootleg alcohol in Indonesia,
524 Available at: <https://edition.cnn.com/2018/04/12/health/indonesia-fake-alcohol-intl/index.html>
525 [Accessed 22 December 2018].
526
527 Feng, Y., Xie, L., Chen, Q., & Zheng, L. R. (2015). Low-cost printed chipless RFID humidity sensor tag
528 for intelligent packaging. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 15(6), 3201-3208.
529
530 FiliGrade (n.d.). Invisible watermarks is a solution. Available at: [http://www.filigrade.com/watermark-](http://www.filigrade.com/watermark-solutions/product-info)
531 [solutions/product-info](http://www.filigrade.com/watermark-solutions/product-info) [Accessed 25 November 2018]
532
533 Fogel, R.A. (1986). Grey market goods and modern international commerce: a question of free trade.
534 *Fordham Int'l LJ*, 10, 308-337
535
536 Folinas, D., Manikas, I., & Manos, B. (2006), 'Traceability data management for food chains', *British*
537 *Food Journal*, 108(8), 622-633
538
539 Food Crime Annual Strategic Assessment (FCASA, 2016). Available at:
540 <https://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/media/document/fsa-food-crime-assessment-2016.pdf>
541 [Accessed on 10 December 2018]
542
543 G+D Currency Technology (n.d.) Print effects to improve aesthetics and security. Available at:
544 [https://www.gi-de.com/en/gb/currency-technology/solutions/banknote-security/printed-security-](https://www.gi-de.com/en/gb/currency-technology/solutions/banknote-security/printed-security-features/)
545 [features/](https://www.gi-de.com/en/gb/currency-technology/solutions/banknote-security/printed-security-features/) [Accessed 25 November 2018]
546
547 Gander, P. (2015). Holograms overlooked for security. Food Manufacture, available at:
548 <https://www.foodmanufacture.co.uk/Article/2015/06/25/Food-firms-shouldn-t-overlook-holograms>
549 [Accessed 25 November 2018]
550
551 Gentry, J. W., Putrevu, S., & Shultz, C. J., II (2006). The effects of counterfeiting on consumer
552 search. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 5(3), 245-256.
553
554 Grossman, G. M., & Shapiro, C. (1988). Foreign counterfeiting of status goods. *The Quarterly Journal*
555 *of Economics*, 103(1), 79-100.

553 Hopkins, D. M., Kontnik, L. T., & Turnage, M. T. (2003). Chapter 12. Anticounterfeiting technology
554 solutions. In, Counterfeiting Exposed: Protecting your Brand and Customers. New Jersey: John Wiley
555 & Sons, pp. 249-262.

556 Interpol (2018). Deadly and dangerous fake food and drink seized in global operation. Available at:
557 <https://www.interpol.int/en/News-and-media/News/2018/N2018-033> [Accessed 18 December 2018]
558

559 Interpol-Europol (2016). Report Operation OPSON V. Available at:
560 https://www.europol.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/report_opson_v.pdf [Accessed 18
561 December 2018]
562

563 Kamiloglu, S. (2019). Authenticity and traceability in beverages. *Food Chemistry*, 277, 12-24.
564

565 Kapferer, J. N. (1995). Brand confusion: empirical study of a legal concept. *Psychology & Marketing*,
566 12(6), 551-568.
567

568 Kaufmann, H. R., Petrovici, D. A., Gonçalves Filho, C., & Ayres, A. (2016). Identifying moderators of
569 brand attachment for driving customer purchase intention of original vs counterfeits of luxury brands.
570 *Journal of Business Research*, 69(12), 5735-5747.

571 Kenny, J. (2015). The pursuit of security packaging. Available at:
572 [https://www.labelandnarrowweb.com/issues/2016-07-01/view_front_row/the-pursuit-of-security-](https://www.labelandnarrowweb.com/issues/2016-07-01/view_front_row/the-pursuit-of-security-packaging)
573 [packaging](https://www.labelandnarrowweb.com/issues/2016-07-01/view_front_row/the-pursuit-of-security-packaging) [Accessed 25 November 2018]
574

575 Kim, Y. G. & Woo, E. (2016). Consumer acceptance of a quick response (QR) code for the food
576 traceability system: Application of an extended technology acceptance model (TAM). *Food Research*
577 *International*, 85, 266-272.
578

579 Kramer, U. (2006). Counterfeiting in the People's Republic of China. Analysis of Impact, Drivers and
580 Containment Options concerning increased Counterfeiting. Diploma Thesis. Diplomatica Verlag, GmbH
581

582 Kuballa, T., Hausler, T., Okaru, A.O., Neufeld, M., Abuga, K.O., Kibwage, I.O., Rehm, J., Luy, B.,
583 Walch, S.G. & Lachenmeier, D.W., (2018). Detection of counterfeit brand spirits using 1H NMR
584 fingerprints in comparison to sensory analysis. *Food chemistry*, 245, 112-118.
585

586 Kumari, L., Narsaiah, K., Grewal, M. K. & Anurag, R. K. (2015). Application of RFID in agri-food
587 sector. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 43(2), 144-161.
588

589 Lancaster, I. (2008). Trends: Holograms and anticounterfeiting. *Pharmaceutical Technology*, 32(4),
590 available at: <http://www.pharmtech.com/trends-holograms-and-anticounterfeiting> [Accessed 20
591 November 2018]
592

593 Lavin, K. (2013). Protecting your wine – and your winery's reputation. *Wines & Vines*. Available at:
594 [https://www.winesandvines.com/news/article/120550/Protecting-Your-Wine-And-Your-Winerys-](https://www.winesandvines.com/news/article/120550/Protecting-Your-Wine-And-Your-Winerys-Reputation)
595 [Reputation](https://www.winesandvines.com/news/article/120550/Protecting-Your-Wine-And-Your-Winerys-Reputation) [Accessed 4 December 2018]

596 Lecat, B., Brouard, J., & Chapuis, C. (2017). Fraud and counterfeit wines in France: an overview and
597 perspectives. *British Food Journal*, 119(1), 84-104.

598 Le Roux, A., Bobrie, F., & Thébault, M. (2016). A typology of brand counterfeiting and imitation based
599 on a semiotic approach. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(1), 349-356.

600 Li, L. (2013). Technology designed to combat fakes in the global supply chain. *Business Horizons*,
601 56(2), 167-177.
602

603 Liegeard, J. & Manning, L. (2019) Using intelligent applications to reduce consumer food waste,
604 *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*,
605 <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/10408398.2018>.

606
607 Manning L. (2019), Food defence: refining the taxonomy of food defence threats, *Trends in Food*
608 *Science and Technology*, 85, 107-115,
609
610 Manning, L. (2016). Food fraud: policy and food chain. *Current Opinion in Food Science*, 10, 16-21.
611
612 Manning, L & Soon, J.M, (2014) Developing systems to control food adulteration, *Food Policy*, 49 (1),
613 23-32
614
615 Meraviglia, L. (2018). Technology and counterfeiting in the fashion industry: Friends or foes? *Business*
616 *Horizons*, 61(3), 467-475
617 Nanomatrixsecure.com (nd) Security Planchettes. Available at:
618 [https://www.nanomatrixsecure.com/en/security-products/security-features/security-paper-](https://www.nanomatrixsecure.com/en/security-products/security-features/security-paper-consumables/security-planchettes)
619 [consumables/security-planchettes](https://www.nanomatrixsecure.com/en/security-products/security-features/security-paper-consumables/security-planchettes) [Accessed on 10 December 2018]
620
621 New Food (2017). American firm turns up the dial on heat-activated ink. New Food, available at:
622 <https://www.newfoodmagazine.com/news/44106/heat-activated-ink/> [Accessed 25 November 2018]
623
624 Paskin, B. (2017). 'Sophisticated' fake whisky setup exposed. Available at:
625 <https://scotchwhisky.com/magazine/latest-news/12984/sophisticated-fake-whisky-setup-exposed/>
626 [Accessed 18 December 2018]
627
628 Phau, I., Sequeira, M., & Dix, S. (2009). Consumers' willingness to knowingly purchase counterfeit
629 products. *Direct Marketing: An International Journal*, 3(4), 262-281.
630
631 Phillips, T. (2007). *Knockoff: The Deadly Trade in Counterfeit Goods: The True Story of the World's*
632 *Fastest Growing Crimewave*. Kogan Page Publishers.
633
634 Przyśwa, E. (2014a). Counterfeiting in the wines and spirits market: Key issues and presentation of
635 anti-counterfeiting technologies. Available at:
636 [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Eric_Przyśwa/publication/263016723_Counterfeiting_in_the_wi](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Eric_Przyśwa/publication/263016723_Counterfeiting_in_the_wines_and_spirits_market_Key_issues_and_presentation_of_anti-counterfeiting_technologies/links/00b49539976b432ac7000000/Counterfeiting-in-the-wines-and-spirits-market-Key-issues-and-presentation-of-anti-counterfeiting-technologies.pdf)
637 [nes_and_spirits_market_Key_issues_and_presentation_of_anti-](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Eric_Przyśwa/publication/263016723_Counterfeiting_in_the_wines_and_spirits_market_Key_issues_and_presentation_of_anti-counterfeiting_technologies/links/00b49539976b432ac7000000/Counterfeiting-in-the-wines-and-spirits-market-Key-issues-and-presentation-of-anti-counterfeiting-technologies.pdf)
638 [counterfeiting_technologies/links/00b49539976b432ac7000000/Counterfeiting-in-the-wines-and-](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Eric_Przyśwa/publication/263016723_Counterfeiting_in_the_wines_and_spirits_market_Key_issues_and_presentation_of_anti-counterfeiting_technologies/links/00b49539976b432ac7000000/Counterfeiting-in-the-wines-and-spirits-market-Key-issues-and-presentation-of-anti-counterfeiting-technologies.pdf)
639 [spirits-market-Key-issues-and-presentation-of-anti-counterfeiting-technologies.pdf](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Eric_Przyśwa/publication/263016723_Counterfeiting_in_the_wines_and_spirits_market_Key_issues_and_presentation_of_anti-counterfeiting_technologies/links/00b49539976b432ac7000000/Counterfeiting-in-the-wines-and-spirits-market-Key-issues-and-presentation-of-anti-counterfeiting-technologies.pdf) [Accessed 4
640 December 2018]
641
642 Przyśwa, E. (2014b). Protecting your wine: Stop counterfeiters from selling cheap imitations of your
643 premium brand. Available at: *Wines & Vines*, August 2014, 38-42. Available at:
644 <https://www.winesandvines.com/digitaledition/> [Accessed 4 December 2018]
645
646 Qin, Y., Shi, L. H., Song, L., Stöttinger, B., & Tan, K. F. (2018). Integrating consumers' motives with
647 suppliers' solutions to combat Shanzhai: A phenomenon beyond counterfeit. *Business Horizons*, 61(2),
648 229-237.
649
650 Ringsberg, H. (2014), Perspectives on food traceability: a systematic literature review, *Supply Chain*
651 *Management: An International Journal*, 19(5/6), 558-576.
652
653 SafeProof (2018a), Refilling Liquor Bottles, Available at: [https://www.safeproof.org/refilling-liquor-](https://www.safeproof.org/refilling-liquor-bottles/)
654 [bottles/](https://www.safeproof.org/refilling-liquor-bottles/) [Accessed 9 February 2019]
655
656 SafeProof (2017) Liquor in Counterfeit Bottles, Available at: [https://www.safeproof.org/counterfeit-](https://www.safeproof.org/counterfeit-liquor-bottles/)
657 [liquor-bottles/](https://www.safeproof.org/counterfeit-liquor-bottles/) [Accessed 9 February 2019]
658
659 Scotch Whisky Association (n.d.). About the SWA. Available at: [http://www.scotch-](http://www.scotch-whisky.org.uk/what-we-do/)
660 [whisky.org.uk/what-we-do/](http://www.scotch-whisky.org.uk/what-we-do/) [Accessed 18 December 2018]

652 Scotch Whisky Regulations (2009). Definition of "Scotch Whisky" and categories of Scotch Whisky.
653 2009 No. 2980, Regulation 3. Available at:
654 <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2009/2890/regulation/3/made> [Accessed 18 December 2018]

655 Shand, C. A., Wendler, R., Dawson, L., Yates, K., & Stephenson, H. (2017). Multivariate analysis of
656 Scotch whisky by total reflection x-ray fluorescence and chemometric methods: A potential tool in the
657 identification of counterfeits. *Analytica chimica acta*, 976, 14-24.

658 Snowdon, C. (2012). Drinking in the shadow economy. Available at: [https://iea.org.uk/wp-](https://iea.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/drinking%20in%20the%20Shadow%20Economy_0.pdf)
659 [content/uploads/2016/07/drinking%20in%20the%20Shadow%20Economy_0.pdf](https://iea.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/drinking%20in%20the%20Shadow%20Economy_0.pdf) (Accessed 5
660 December 2018)

661 Soon, J.M., Manning, L. & Smith, R. (2019) Advancing understanding of pinchpoints and crime
662 prevention in the food supply chain, *Crime Prevention and Community Safety*, 21(1), 1-19

663 Soon, J.M & Manning, L. (2018), Food smuggling and trafficking: The key factors of influence, *Trends*
664 *in Food Science and Technology*, 81, 132-138

665 Soon, T. J. (2008). QR code. *Synthesis Journal*, 2008, 59-78.
666

667 Spink, J., Moyer, D.C. Park, H. Wu, Y. Fersht, V. Shao, B., Hong, M., Paek, S.Y., & Edelev, D. (2015),
668 Introduction to Food Fraud including translation and interpretation to Russian, Korean and Chinese
669 languages. *Food Chemistry*. 189, 102-107.

670 Staake, T., Thiesse, F., & Fleisch, E. (2012). Business strategies in the counterfeit market. *Journal of*
671 *Business Research*, 65(5), 658-665.

672 Statista (2019), Whiskey Industry – Statistics & Facts, Available from:
673 <https://www.statista.com/topics/3770/whiskey-industry/> [Accessed: 9 February 2019]

674 Stupak, M., Goodall, I., Tomaniova, M., Pulkrabova, J., & Hajslova, J. (2018). A novel approach to
675 assess the quality and authenticity of Scotch Whisky based on gas chromatography coupled to high
676 resolution mass spectrometry. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 1042, 60-70.

677 Takahashi, M., Nagata, M., & Miura, N. (2018). Supply-Chain Security Enhancement by Chaotic
678 Wireless Chip-Package-Board Interactive PUF. In *2018 IEEE 68th Electronic Components and*
679 *Technology Conference (ECTC)*, 521-526.

680 Thaichon, P., & Quach, S. (2016). Dark motives-counterfeit purchase framework: Internal and
681 external motives behind counterfeit purchase via digital platforms. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer*
682 *Services*, 33, 82-91.

683 The Global Brand Counterfeiting Report (2018). Available at:
684 https://www.researchandmarkets.com/research/7j7l2n/global_brand?w=4 [Accessed 09 December
685 2018]

686 Thermometer (2018). Color change inks. Available at: [https://www.thermometersite.com/color-](https://www.thermometersite.com/color-change-inks)
687 [change-inks](https://www.thermometersite.com/color-change-inks) [Accessed 25 November 2018]
688

689 Ting, M. S., Goh, Y. N., & Isa, S. M. (2016). Determining consumer purchase intentions toward
690 counterfeit luxury goods in Malaysia. *Asia Pacific Management Review*, 21(4), 219-230.

691 Tobiassen, R.M. (2014) The "Fake Alcohol" Situation in the United States: The Impact of Culture,
692 Market Economics, and the Current Regulatory Systems. Available at:
693 [https://www.centerforalcoholpolicy.org/wp-](https://www.centerforalcoholpolicy.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/The_Fake_Alcohol_Situation_in_the_United-States_compressed.pdf)
694 [content/uploads/2015/04/The Fake Alcohol Situation in the United-States compressed.pdf](https://www.centerforalcoholpolicy.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/The_Fake_Alcohol_Situation_in_the_United-States_compressed.pdf)
695 [Accessed 20 December 2018]

696 UK Customs and Excise Management Act (1979) Available at:
697 <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1979/2/section/170> [Accessed 9 February 2019]

698 Urickova, V. & Sadecka, J. (2015). Determination of geographical origin of alcoholic beverages using
699 ultraviolet, visible and infrared spectroscopy: A review. *Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and*
700 *Biomolecular Spectroscopy*, 148, 131-137.

701 Van Horen, F., & Pieters, R. (2012). Consumer evaluation of copycat brands: The effect of imitation
702 type. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 29(3), 246–255.

703 Vavra, C. (2015). Packaging’s role in food fraud prevention. Control Engineering. Available at:
704 <https://www.controleng.com/articles/packagings-role-in-food-fraud-prevention/> [Accessed 22
705 December 2018]

706 Vieira, R., Silva, C., Antunes, M., & Assis, A. (2016). Information system for automation of
707 counterfeited documents images correlation. *Procedia Computer Science*, 100, 421-428.

708 Vukatana, K., Sevrani, K., & Hoxha, E. (2016). Anti-Counterfeiting Technologies in Albanian Food
709 Industry, *Review of Business and Finance Studies* 7(2), 101-106

710 Wikimedia commons (2015). Aztec. Available at:
711 https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Aztec_wikipedia.png [Accessed 15 November 2018]
712

713 Wikimedia commons (2016a). PDF 417. Available at:
714 https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:PDF417_Example.svg [Accessed 15 November 2018]
715

716 Wikimedia commons (2016b). QR Code. Available at:
717 https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:QR_Code_Model_1_Example.svg [Accessed 15 November
718 2018]
719

720 Wikimedia commons (2017). UPC barcode. Available at:
721 https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:UPC-A_Barcode.svg [Accessed 15 November 2018]
722

723 Wikimedia commons (2018). Databar. Available at:
724 https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Databar_14_00075678164125.png [Accessed 15 November
725 2018]
726

727 Wilcock, A. E., & Boys, K. A. (2014). Reduce product counterfeiting: An integrated approach. *Business*
728 *horizons*, 57(2), 279-288.
729

730 Wilcox, K., Kim, H. M., & Sen, S. (2009). Why do consumers buy counterfeit luxury brands? *Journal of*
731 *Marketing Research*, 46, 247–259

732 Wimmer, H., & Yoon, V. Y. (2017). Counterfeit product detection: Bridging the gap between design
733 science and behavioral science in information systems research. *Decision Support Systems*, 104, 1-12.

734 Wittkopf, J., Ge, N., Ionescu, R., Staehler, W., Pederson, D., & Holder, H. (2018). Chipless RFID with
735 fully inkjet printed tags: A practical case study for low cost smart packaging applications. In *2018*
736 *IEEE 68th electronic components and technology conference (ECTC)*, 940-947
737

738 Woodward, R. (2017). \$10,000 glass of Macallan ‘is a fake’. Available at:
739 <https://scotchwhisky.com/magazine/latest-news/15511/10-000-glass-of-macallan-is-a-fake/>
740 [Accessed 18 December 2018]
741

742 WTO (1994), Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights, Annex 1C of the
743 Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization, Available at
744 http://www.wto.org/english/docs_e/legal_e/27-trips.pdf. [Accessed on 28 December 2018]
745

746 Wu, X., Wu, H., Xia, L., Ji, K., Liu, Z., Chen, J. Hu, D. Gao, C. & Wu, Y. (2010). Socio-technical
747 innovations for total food chain safety during the 2008 Beijing Olympics and Paralympics and beyond.
748 *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 21(1), 44-51.
749
750 Wu, C. W., Gong, J. C., & Chiu, H. H. (2016). Duopoly competition with non-deceptive counterfeiters.
751 *International Review of Law and Economics*, 47, 33-40.

752 Yam, K. L., Takhistov, P. T. & Miltz, J. (2005). Intelligent packaging: Concepts and applications.
753 *Journal of Food Science*, 70(1), R1-R10.
754
755 Yang, D., & Ying, Y. (2011). Applications of Raman spectroscopy in agricultural products and food
756 analysis: A review. *Journal of Applied Spectroscopy Reviews*, 46(7), 539-560.
757
758 Yao J. T. (2005) Counterfeiting and an optimal monitoring policy. *Eur J Law Econ European Journal of*
759 *Law and Economics* 19(1), 95-114.
760
761 Yao, J. T. (2015). The impact of counterfeit-purchase penalties on anti-counterfeiting under deceptive
762 counterfeiting. *Journal of Economics and Business*, 80, 51-61.
763
764 Yoo, B., & Lee, S. H. (2005). Do counterfeits promote original products? Hempstead, NY: Hofstra
765 University.
766
767 Zadbuke, N., Shahi, S., Gulecha, B., Padalkar, A., & Thube, M. (2013). Recent trends and future of
768 pharmaceutical packaging technology. *Journal of pharmacy & bioallied sciences*, 5(2), 98.

769 Zaichkowsky, J.L. (2006), *The Psychology Behind Trademark Infringement and Counterfeiting*.
770 Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

771

772 **Table 1.** Recent UK counterfeiting incidents associated with alcohol (Adapted from: FCASA, 2016;
773 Paskin, 2017)

Recent UK counterfeiting incidents associated with alcohol	
•	Over 35,000 counterfeit bottles of a vodka brand, made in Ukraine, seized at Dover in April 2014;
•	Over 20,000 counterfeit bottles for a vodka brand seized from premises in Derbyshire in November 2014, alongside material suggesting adulteration with antifreeze;
•	The seizure in Harlow of nearly 8,000 litres of vodka from Lithuania with forged duty stamps in June 2015;
•	130,000 litres of potentially toxic spirits found in Cheshire in July/August 2015, alongside material to facilitate its bottling and packaging; and
•	A fake whisky bottling operation uncovered in London in 2017 where hundreds of old bottles of whisky, rum and other spirits were refilled with cheaper liquids

774

775 **Table 2.** Typology of imitators and counterfeiters (Adapted from Staake et al. 2012; Vimmer and
776 Yoon, 2017)

	Imitators or copycats	Fraudster	Desperados	Disaggregators	Smugglers
Capabilities	Solid re-engineering and engineering skills.	Some production capabilities	Ability to conceal illicit activities	Established production network for counterfeit products or can develop own production skill.	Manage network of criminal actors Money laundering

Business model	Extended production capability Brand imitation as accelerator. Compatible products at low price for functionality. Intent to engage the consumer in terms of the association between brand and imitation product. This can lead to confusion.	Brand counterfeiting as enabler of illicit goods. Deceit of customer.	Brand counterfeiting as enabler for selling dangerous goods. Target expensive but easily mimicked product. Deceit of customer.	Flexibility – able to follow new trends quickly Brand counterfeiting as dominant source of income Serve customers' desire to signal wealth and status.	Brand counterfeiting to improve market access. Evading taxes or levies or selling stolen items.
Strategic focus	Competitive advantage. Entrepreneurship	Profit orientation opportunism –	Maximum profit orientation with an absence of ethical standards	Flexibility Focus on goods with high demand	Extend power in criminal network Established structures, long term orientation Cigarettes
Typical products	Fast moving consumer goods	Perfume and cosmetics	Pharmaceutical products	Watches and jewellery	
Functionality	High visual and functional quality – fulfil needs of the user	High visual but low functional quality i.e. not functionally equivalent.	Quality low and difficult to evaluate by consumer before purchase. May be harmful to the consumer.	Average quality Low to average complexity	
Countermeasures	Produced on large scale so vulnerable to product seizure because they have high levels of capital involved. Often sold in legitimate chains so supply chain governance can	Prevent access to legitimate supply chains	Produce on small scale. Improve consumer awareness of counterfeit products.	Improve consumer awareness of counterfeit products	Often have an illicit supply chain that can be difficult to infiltrate

be introduced to prevent deception.

Many brand owners may not be prepared to engage with private label retailers via litigation.

777

778 **Table 3.** Socio-economic factors that influence counterfeiting (Adapted from Bodner, 2014)

Factors	Countermeasures
Increased systems complexity	Legislation and law enforcement that addresses counterfeiting.
Weak governance of intellectual property (IP) Globalisation of supply chains	Supplier procurement procedures that address intellectual property management and counterfeiting.
Outsourcing of services including design and manufacturing	Obsolescence management and re-alignment of new processes and products to reduce the risk of counterfeiting. Risk assess and mitigate the outsourcing of critical processes or critical part-product or finished product manufacture. Traceability procedures for components and products including the use of smart packaging technologies.
Use of internet as a purchasing platform	Testing programmes using analysis methods that can detect counterfeiting. Cyber management protocols and training.
Decreased cost of counterfeits versus the genuine article	Horizon scan for potential counterfeits and the methods that are being used to produce them. Develop and implement an anti-counterfeiting strategy.

779

780 **Table 4.** Different types of ACMs. Adapted from (Cesareo and Stöttinger, 2015; Wilcox and Boys, 2014; Qin et al. 2018).






ACM Type	Examples of countermeasure
Communication-related ACMs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communications that focus on the quality and appearance of the original to make consumers aware of the difference between the original and fraudulent versions i.e. how to spot fakes. • Drive word of mouth (WoM) communication about original features. • Communications that focus on why the original product commands the price that it does. • Promote the relationship between the brand-firm and the consumer. • Communications should reinforce that purchasing counterfeits is unlawful and unethical, the role of criminal and criminal gangs, and its impact on society (poor health and welfare of workers, human slavery, bonded labour) and with food the potential health and safety risks e.g.

	death from consuming counterfeit alcohol containing methanol) and the consequences to legitimate companies (bankruptcy, job losses) and the loss of tax revenue and its impact on hospitals, schools etc.
Distribution related ACMS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide consumers with warranties and after sales service if they purchase the original. • Provide information on the authorised retailers that sell the original and also implement a seller verification programme so that they can be checked for compliance. • Introduce traceability systems and a loss prevention programme that operates at supply chain level. This should include a supplier auditing programme. • Develop a product disposal procedure that limits the potential for sub-standard products to be sold in grey networks. • Display certification within authorized retailers. • Limit sales or if operating in those environments develop specific integrity protocols for regions or supply chains known to be corrupt.
Price related ACMS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce price gaps by introducing lower price product entry lines. • Review and reduce market, transaction and production costs to minimize risk of others undercutting the cost of the product.
Product related ACMS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Differentiate authentic products as much as possible and stress genuineness e.g. using distinct labelling, serial numbers, codes and packaging features. • Differentiate between tangible product quality benefits (labour, taste, durability) and intangible product quality benefits (prestige, image, social acceptance) • Authentication certificates and technologies that are difficult to replicate. • Ensure authentic product purchase allows access to additional consumer benefits e.g. lower prices. • Provide functional benefits that are not easily reproduced and drive product innovation to limit the ability of others to produce Shanzhai products. • Protect products by protecting core technology and not outsource the entire manufacturing process.
Social value related ACMS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create a discourse that considers buying imitations as harmful. • Promote the intangible benefits of the brand through building exclusive communities.
Management system related ACMS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure that the organisation's quality policy and quality objectives refer to and integrate an anti-counterfeiting strategy. • Establish clear leadership and senior management commitment both within and external to the business that addresses anti-counterfeiting protocols. • Ensure product development strategies recognise the need to develop anti-counterfeiting measures and ensure there is a continuous product evolution process to make counterfeiting more difficult. • Develop employee awareness and training programmes that focus on IP rights, and how to tell legitimate and counterfeit products the value (economic and social) of anti-counterfeiting strategies to the business and consumers . • Ensure employees are aware of reporting structures for identifying and addressing counterfeiting activity.

782

783 **Table 5.** Examples of barcodes

Barcodes	Names	Characteristics	Potential as anti-	References
----------	-------	-----------------	--------------------	------------

			counterfeit label	
	Universal Product Code (UPC) barcode ¹	Limited information e.g. manufacturer identification number and item number	Identify origin of food product	Fang et al. 2017; Yam et al. 2015
	GS1 Databar ² (formerly known as Reduced Space Symbology)	Encodes more data in a smaller space and can be used on loose fresh produce such as apples and oranges	Ability to track and trace loose food items	Yam et al. 2015
2-dimensional symbols				
	PDF 417 ³	Stacked barcode that encodes extra information e.g. nutrition, cooking instructions, link to food manufacturer		Yam et al. 2015
	Aztec code ⁴	2-D symbol and encodes extra information as above. Can be read by smartphones	Consumers have more control over packaging and allow them to determine product authenticity	Fang et al. 2017; Yam et al. 2015
	Quick Response (QR) code ⁵	High data storing capacity including video, reduce space printing and allows high speed reading from all direction	Ability to trace food information back to the farm;	Soon, 2008; Kim and Woo, 2016

784 Note: ¹⁻⁵Wikimedia commons 2015, 2016a, 2016b, 2017, 2018

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

794 Graphical abstract

795

Typology of counterfeiting

- Substitution (of product or packaging)
- Over-run (Third shift illicit Production)
- Duplication (Tear-down)
- Tampering (interfering with packaging)
- Imitation (non-deceptive or Deceptive)
- Malicious counterfeiting (forces product failure)
- Document counterfeiting

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

Key anti-counterfeiting measures (ACMs)

- Legislation and law enforcement that addresses counterfeiting.
- Supplier procurement procedures that address intellectual property management and counterfeiting.
- Obsolescence management and re-alignment of new processes and products to reduce the risk of counterfeiting.
- Risk assess and mitigate the outsourcing of critical processes or critical part-product or finished product manufacture.
- Traceability procedures for components and products including the use of smart packaging technologies
- Testing programmes using analysis methods that can detect counterfeiting.
- Cyber management protocols and training
- Horizon scan for potential counterfeits and the methods that are being used to produce them. Develop and implement an anti-counterfeiting strategy.

804

805

Communications related
ACMs

Price related ACMs

Distribution related
ACMs

Social value related ACMs

Management system
related ACMs

