

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLOK)

Title	Polymeric arsenicals as scaffolds for functional and responsive hydrogels
Type	Article
URL	https://clock.uclan.ac.uk/id/eprint/28417/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1039/C8TB02569J
Date	2019
Citation	Tanaka, Joji, Song, Ji-Inn, Lunn, Andrew, Hand, Rachel, Hakkinen, Satu, Schiller, Tara, Perrier, Sebastien, Davis, Tom and Wilson, Paul (2019) Polymeric arsenicals as scaffolds for functional and responsive hydrogels. <i>Journal of Materials Chemistry B</i> , 7. pp. 4263-4271. ISSN 2050-750X
Creators	Tanaka, Joji, Song, Ji-Inn, Lunn, Andrew, Hand, Rachel, Hakkinen, Satu, Schiller, Tara, Perrier, Sebastien, Davis, Tom and Wilson, Paul

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.
<https://doi.org/10.1039/C8TB02569J>

For information about Research at UCLan please go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/>

All outputs in CLOK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <http://clock.uclan.ac.uk/policies/>

Polymeric arsenicals as scaffolds for functional and responsive hydrogels

Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

Joji Tanaka,^a Ji-Inn Song,^a Andrew M. Lunn,^a Rachel Hand,^a Satu Häkkinen,^a Tara L. Schiller,^b Sébastien Perrier,^{a,c,d} Thomas P. Davis,^c Paul Wilson.^{a,c*}

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Here arsenohydrogels are introduced for the first time as functional, tuneable and responsive hydrogels. The distinctive redox reactivity of arsenic has been exploited to crosslink high molecular weight ($M_w > 300$ kDa) polymeric arsenical scaffolds (PDMAm_x-co-AsAm_y) via reductive coupling of As(V) to As(I) which proceeds with the formation of As-As in the form of As(I)_n homocycles. Soft arsenohydrogels ($G' \sim 400$ -1700 Pa) that failed in compression tests at low compression and loading are formed when the polymer weight fraction is 2.5 wt%. When the polymer weight fraction is increased to 10 wt% the mechanical properties (stiffness and relaxation) of the arsenohydrogels are significantly improved and correlate with the mole fraction of arsenic (AsAm, y) present in the copolymer scaffolds. Furthermore, increasing the mole fraction of AsAm, reduces the degree of swelling and increases the stability of the gels against hydrolysis and oxidation of the As-As crosslinks. The functionality of the polymeric arsenical scaffolds has also been exploited to load arsenohydrogels with a model organic arsenical drug. The rate and degree of release of the loaded organic arsenical under simulated oxidative stress (H₂O₂) is inversely proportional to the mole fraction of arsenic in the original polymer scaffold. Finally, the polymeric arsenical scaffolds and the resulting arsenohydrogels have been shown to be non-toxic to NIH/3T3 (mouse fibroblast) and PC3 (human prostate cancer) cell lines. The properties and versatility of the arsenohydrogels alludes to their potential as a functional platform for biomaterials.

Introduction

Synthetic polymer hydrogels are materials composed of networks of hydrophilic polymers, cross-linked via covalent bonding or physical interactions such as hydrogen bonding,¹ electrostatic interactions² and host-guest³ / metal-ligand⁴ complexation.^{5,6} They are defined by their durability and programmable physical and mechanical properties even at high water contents (> 90%), which lends itself to a number of emerging technologies, particularly in healthcare where they are being applied in areas such as wound dressings,⁷ diagnostics,⁸ drug delivery,⁹ 3D cell culture,¹⁰ tissue engineering¹¹ and wearable technologies.¹²

Hydrogels formed through covalent bonding can exhibit static or dynamic properties based on the nature of the cross-linking involved.¹³ For example, the archetypal polyacrylamide hydrogel employed in gel electrophoresis, is an aqueous network of acrylamide irreversibly cross-linked by a bisacrylamide co-monomer.¹⁴ Conversely, incorporation of functional groups capable of forming cleavable and/or dynamic covalent bonds into polymeric scaffolds has been employed to fabricate dynamic hydrogels which elicit spatial and temporal controlled bond formation and degradation, and in some cases self-healing properties.^{15,16} In this context Schiff bases (including hydrazone¹⁷ and oxime¹⁸), boronate

esters¹⁹ and disulfides²⁰ are amongst those most widely explored in such systems. These dynamic bonds are sensitive to changes in the local pH or redox environment, or are competitively exchanged with biologically relevant nucleophiles to stimulate material response.

Organic arsenicals have diverse and distinct chemical reactivity which is dependent upon the oxidation state of the As(X) (e.g. X = V, III, I) centres present. However, concerns about the toxicity of arsenic have limited the use to organic arsenicals in polymer and materials science. A clinical renaissance in the use of arsenic trioxide to treat blood born malignancies and the subsequent development of more potent organic arsenical analogues,²¹ has inspired investigations into the potential of organic and polymeric arsenicals as a platform for functional and responsive (bio)materials.²² To this end, pentavalent arsenic, As(V), has been incorporated into well-defined polymer chains at the chain-end and/or the side-chains of polymers synthesised by radical deactivation radical polymerisation (RDRP), employing As-functional initiators and monomers respectively. These polymers have been shown to be non-toxic *in vitro* and have been exploited as reactive scaffolds for post-polymerisation modification²³ and highly specific disulfide bond targeting linkers for protein/peptide-polymer conjugation²⁴ via sequential reduction, to trivalent As(III), and reaction with thiol/disulfide containing molecules.

Most recently, thermoresponsive block copolymers containing organic arsenicals have been synthesised and assembled into polymeric arsenical nanoparticles.^{25,26} One such approach adopts reductive conditions (H₃PO₂, KI) at elevated temperatures to generate nanoparticles stabilised as a result of reductive coupling from As(V) to As(I).²⁵ This has previously been reported to proceed with the formation As-As bonds in the form of As(I)_n homocyclic oligomers.²⁷ The stability of the resulting nanoparticles in aqueous and model biological solutions (5 mM glutathione) was dependent

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

^bWarwick Manufacturing Group, University of Warwick, CV4 7AL, Coventry, UK

^cARC Centre of Excellence in Convergent Bio-Nano Science and Technology, Monash Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Monash University (Parkville Campus), 399 Royal Parade, Parkville, Victoria 3152, Australia

^dWarwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

upon the mole ratio of As(V) in the initial monomer feed and all were responsive towards oxidation with hydrogen peroxide. To the best of our knowledge, this is the only example of cross-linking polymers using the redox chemistry of organic arsenicals. Herein, we demonstrate for the first time that reductive coupling of As(V) to As(I) in hydrophilic polymeric arsenicals can be used to furnish macroscopic arsenohydrogels. The resulting gels are non-toxic and their physical properties depend upon the As(V) mole fraction and the polymer loading in solution (wt%).

Experimental

Materials and instruments:

AsAm was synthesised according to the literature.²⁵ *N,N*-Dimethylacrylamide (DMA) were filtered through a basic aluminium oxide (activated, basic, Brockman I, standard grade) to remove the radical inhibitor. 2,2'-azobis[2-(2-imidazolin-2-yl)propane] dihydrochloride (VA-044, Wako), hypophosphoric acid and HPCL-grade H₂O (sigma-aldrich, 50%) were used without further purification. NIH/3T3 (ECACC catalogue no. 93061524) and PC3 (ECACC catalogue no. 90112714) cell-lines were obtained from the European collection of cell cultures (ECACC).

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy (¹H NMR spectra) were recorded on a Bruker HD 400 spectrometer (400 MHz) at 27 °C using D₂O as solvent and the residual proton signal of the solvent (δ H = 4.75 ppm) was used as internal reference. Chemical shift values (δ) are reported in ppm. ACDLABS software was used to analyse the data obtained. Number-average molar masses ($M_{n,SEC}$) and dispersity values ($\bar{D}$) were determined using Size exclusion Chromatography (SEC). Agilent PL50 instrument equipped with differential refractive index (DRI) detector. The system was equipped with Aquagel OH mixed M column (300 x 7.5 mm) was used alongside with an 8 μ m aquagel guard column. The mobile phase used was 80% NaNO₃ in water at 0.1 M and 20% methanol. Samples were run at 1ml/min at 35 °C. Poly(ethylene oxide) standards (Agilent EasyVials) were used for calibration. Analyte samples were filtered through a membrane with 0.45 μ m pore size before injection. FT-IR spectrometer using a Golden Gate diamond attenuated total reflection cell and OPUS software was used to analyse the data.

Rheology

The transition from solution phase to gel phase was monitored by following the storage moduli and loss moduli. Measurements were performed on an Anton Paar MCR 302 rheometer using a parallel plate with diameter of 50 mm and measuring gap of 1 mm. The normal force was kept constant at 0 N during measurements and all measurements were performed at 60 °C. Experiments were performed using freshly prepared gel formulations (2 mL, scale). Gel formation was monitored with constant frequency of 10 rad s⁻¹ and a constant strain of 1.0 %. When conducting the amplitude sweep, a constant frequency of 10 rad s⁻¹ was applied and strain was ramped logarithmically from 1 % to 500 %. For the frequency sweep, a

constant strain of 1.0 % was applied and frequency was ramped logarithmically from 0.1 to 100 rad s⁻¹. All the data was analysed using RheoCompass software.

Dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA)

Dynamic mechanical analysis was performed on a Perkin Elmer DMA8000 in tension mode. Frequency sweeps were performed from 1 to 25 Hz at room temperature (approximately 25 °C). Hydrogels were prepared in silicone moulds as standard and then cut to size before mounting in the DMA (approximately 13 mm width, 4 mm thickness).

Compression test

An Instron universal tester 3367 (calibrated both with tensile and compression mode) fitted with a 500N load cell and compression plates was used for compression testing of the arsenohydrogels. Hydrogels were prepared in moulds then cut to size before mounting (approximately 15 mm width, 5 mm thickness). To ensure moisture content was consistent, the samples were wrapped in cling film prior to testing, this was then opened on the compression plates for ease of collection of sample after testing (see Fig S11). The extension was zeroed prior to starting data collection when the top plate was starting to load the sample. All data was initially processed in Bluehill software and then plotted using graphical software. Each arsenohydrogel was compressed to a fixed load of 5 N and held for 30 secs to generate representative stress/strain curves, quantify gel stiffness (Young's Modulus, Y) and qualitatively investigate the relaxation properties. The 10wt% samples were retested three times on the same sample to check elasticity.

Reverse Phase High performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC)

Both sample analysis conducted from a RP-HPLC system (Agilent 1260 infinity series stack) equipped with a 1260 Quat Pump VL, a degasser and a fraction collector (FC-AS). Samples were injected using Agilent 1260 auto-sampler with 5 μ L injection (Draw and injection of 200 μ L/min). The RP-HPLC was fitted with Eclipse XDB-C18 column (150 x 4.6 mm) 5 micron packing (100Å). Detection was achieved using an Agilent 1260 variable wavelength detector connected in series with UV detection monitored at 280 nm. The total flow rate was set to 1.0 mL/min and the temperature of the column was set to 20 °C. The mobile phase condition is as follows. Mobile phase A: 100% water with 0.04%v/v TFA; Mobile phase B: 100% MeCN with 0.04%v/v TFA (see SI for gradient).

SEM and EDX analysis

SEM analysis together with EDX was performed to determine the pore structure and arsenic content of the gels. Freshly prepared arsenohydrogels (P1 – P4, 10 wt%) were initially dialysed against water to remove the reducing agents. Cross sections (3 mm²) for analysis were prepared by freezing the purified hydrogels with liquid N₂ before slicing across the bulk of the hydrogel using a scalpel to expose the internal structure. Samples were mounted onto SEM

stages using double sided conductive copper tape, which served as an adhesive and provided electrostatic screening. The samples were subsequently coated in gold for 15 seconds using a Polaron 5C 7640 sputter coater and the surface structure visualised using a Zeiss Gemini SEM- field emission scanning electron microscope at an accelerating voltage of either 10 or 15 keV. Elemental analysis was carried out during SEM imaging on the same samples using an Oxford instruments X-Max 150 large area SDD electron dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) detector.

Cell viability

NIH/3T3 (mouse embryonic fibroblasts) cells were cultured in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% bovine calf serum, 2 mM glutamine and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 310 K in a 5% CO₂. PC3 (human prostate cancer) cells were cultured in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum, 2 mM glutamine and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 310 K in a 5% CO₂. For cell viability evaluation, NIH/3T3 and PC3 cells were seeded in a 96 well plate at a density of 1×10^4 cells per well. After 16 hours, the culture medium was replaced by fresh media containing a series of dilution of the polymers (8, 4, 2, 1, 0.5, 0.25 mgml⁻¹), prepared from stock solutions in media at 8 mgml⁻¹. Following 24 hours incubation, the polymer containing medium was removed and each well was washed with fresh medium. The cells were incubated with a freshly prepared solution of XTT (0.25 mg/mL⁻¹) and N-methyl dibenzopyrazine methyl sulfate (6.25 μM) in medium for 16 hours. Absorbance of the samples was finally measured using a plate reader at 450 nm and 650 nm. The data presented are representative of a minimum of two independent experiments where each sample was measured in triplicate. Errors reported correspond to the standard deviation of the mean.

Fluorescent confocal imaging of cells seeded in arsenohydrogels

In each chamber slide, 30 000 cells of NIH/3T3 (mouse embryonic fibroblasts) or PC3 (prostate cancer cell-line) in 300 μl of DMEM media were seeded together with the dried hydrogels (*vide infra*). Also, the control cells without a hydrogel were prepared and incubated for 24 hours at 310 K in a 5% CO₂. The co-culture of NIH/3T3 or PC3 and hydrogel was stained by adding 12 ul dye Hoechst 33342 (0.1 mg/ml) which targets nucleus (30 min, 310 K). Samples were analysed using a Zeiss LSM710 confocal laser scanning microscope (Diode laser; excitation: 405 nm; blue emission: 410-585 nm). 12-bit images were obtained at room temperature using an EC Epiplan-Neofluar 20x /0.50HD M27 and a C-Apochromat 63X /1.20 W Korr M27 with ImmersolW immersion fluid on the objective lens on the microscope. The image stacks were projected along the z-axis using maximum-intensity projections. Data were processed using ZEN 2012 software for Windows (Zeiss).

Synthetic methods

General Procedure for polymer synthesis via Free Radical Polymerisation. AsAm was solubilised in water with 1 eq of Sodium Hydroxide and added into a cooled aqueous solution of DMA (1 M)

with VA-044 (0.01 eq with respect to total monomer content). The solution was degassed for 15 minutes before heating the solution at 90 °C for 2 hours. The full consumption of the monomer was confirmed by NMR before freeze drying directly to yield white solids (> 99 %).

General Procedure for arsenohydrogel synthesis. For 2.5 wt % gels 25 mg of AsAm bearing polymers (**P1-P4**) was initially dissolved in 800 μL of HPLC grade water before adding 200 μL of concentrated hypophosphoric acid solution (50%) and 10 μL of 3 wt % potassium iodide solution. The solution was heated at 60 °C for 30 minutes, this resulted in successful clear gel formation however **P3** and **P4** macroscopic gel contraction was observed. For 10.0 wt % gels 100 mg of AsAm bearing polymers (**P1-P4**) was initially dissolved in 800 μL of HPLC grade water before adding the 200 μL of concentrated hypophosphoric acid solution (50%) and 10 μL of 3 wt % potassium iodide solution. The solution was heated at 60 °C for 30 minutes, this resulted in formation of pale yellow gels, stable to syneresis.

Swelling test. Freshly prepared arsenohydrogels (10 wt%) were dried to constant mass then immersed in PBS (pH 7.4), glutathione (GSH, 5 mM) and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂, 5 mM) to investigate swelling in model biological media and under simulated reductive and oxidative stress respectively. The arsenohydrogels were periodically removed from solution, excess water was removed using tissue and the swelling ratio was determined using $(W_s - W_0)/W_0 \times 100$ (where W_s is the mass of the swollen gel and W_0 is the mass of dried gel).

Gel Prep for Cell seeding. 10 wt% arsenohydrogels were prepared as described above, in 2 ml solution on a 3 cm diameter silicon mould. The gels were then dialysed in 100 ml deionised water over 24 hours changing the water 6 to 8 times. Dried arsenohydrogels were obtained by lyophilisation.




Fig. 1. NMR (top) and FT-IR (bottom) of polymeric arsenical scaffolds PDAM_x-co-AsAm_y synthesised by free radical polymerisation.

Loading arsenohydrogels with model organic arsenical. For 2.5 wt % gels 250 mg of AsAm bearing polymers (**P1-P4**) was initially dissolved in 8 ml of HPLC grade water before adding the 2 mL arsenilic acid (380 mg) freshly dissolved in concentrated hypophosphoric acid solution (50%) and 100 μ L of 3 wt % potassium iodide solution. The solution was heated at 60 $^{\circ}$ C for 4 hrs, this resulted in dark red gels.

Release study of the arsenilic acid loaded arsenohydrogels with RP-HPLC. The 2.5 wt% gels described above were loaded with arsenilic acid in 50 ml falcon tubes in HPLC grade water, filling up to 50 ml line. The aqueous phase was stirred regularly without disturbing the gels, 200 μ L sample from aqueous phase was taken at 3 hr, 12 hr, 24 hr, 48 hr and 144 hr for HPLC analysis. The arsenilic acid released was identified by comparing the arsenilic acid (in hypophosphoric acid solution) to assign the retention time of the arsenilic acid released. The height of the intensity of the peak corresponding to arsenilic acid was measured and compared to the theoretical maximum concentration after release (7.6 mgml⁻¹).

Hydrogen peroxide mediated release study of the arsenilic acid loaded arsenohydrogels with RP-HPLC. The above procedure was repeated in parallel with addition of 200 μ L of 30 wt % H₂O₂ (approximately stoichiometrically equivalent to arsenilic acid).

Results and Discussion

Polymeric arsenical synthesis

For the preparation of high molecular weight hydrophilic As(V)-functional polymer scaffolds, the As(V)-functional acrylamide monomer (AsAm) reported in our previous work,²⁵ was copolymerised with hydrophilic acrylamide monomer dimethylacrylamide (DMAM) using free radical polymerisation. Water soluble initiator (VA0-44) was employed at 90 $^{\circ}$ C targeting high monomer conversions and high molecular weight polymers. The reactions reached full conversion with respect to both monomers within 2 hrs and the resulting polymers were directly lyophilised without further purification. The PDAM_x-co-AsAm_y polymers (**P1 - P4**, Table S1) were synthesised with increasing AsAm functionality as observed by NMR (Fig. 1, top). The percentage of AsAm was calculated by comparing either -CH₂- the backbone of DMAM and AsAm (1.0 – 1.8 ppm) or CH₃- side chain of DMAM with -CH- of the backbone (2.1 – 3.0 ppm) with aromatic AsAm protons (7.2 – 7.8 ppm). Increasing Arsenic content was also confirmed by the increase in ν = 854 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the As-O absorption in IR spectroscopy (Fig. 1, bottom). Molecular weight data was obtained by aqueous size exclusion chromatography (SEC) which revealed that each polymer had high molecular weight (M_w > 300 kDa, Fig. S1).

Hydrogel preparation and characterisation

The polymers were cross-linked *via* the reductive coupling method described in our previous work which was inspired by the synthesis and structural elucidation of Salvarsen (Fig. 2A).²⁸ Hydrogels were formed at 2.5 wt% and 10 wt% polymer after heating at 60 $^{\circ}$ C in the presence of aqueous H₃PO₂ and KI for 30 minutes, according to qualitative inversion tests (Fig. 2B).




Fig. 2. (A) General scheme for the formation of arsenohydrogels by reductive coupling of polymeric arsenical scaffolds; (B) Inverse test qualitatively demonstrating gelation at 2.5 wt% and 10 wt% with no gelation occurring at 1 wt%; (C) Rheology of **P4** (2.5 wt%) in the absence of reducing agents performed in oscillation mode with strain = 1.0 %, frequency = 10 rad.s^{-1} and temperature = 60 °C; (D) Rheology of **P4** (2.5 wt%) in the presence of reducing agents (H_3PO_2 , KI) performed in oscillation mode with strain = 1%, frequency = 10 rad.s^{-1} and temperature = 60 °C; (E) Plot of final storage modulus (G'_{end}) and gel time ($G' > G''$) for gels formulated using **P1** – **P4**.

Attempts to form gels at 1 wt% of the arsenical polymers were unsuccessful as the gels collapsed to a heterogeneous precipitate. The gels exhibit a pale yellow colour which is consistent with the formation of cyclo-oligoarsines derived from phenylarsine derivatives.²⁷ The intensity of the colouration qualitatively increases as a function of the cross-linking. Interestingly **P3** and **P4** with increased amounts of AsAm units underwent syneresis at 2.5 wt % within 2 hours of initial gel formation, expelling 40% of the aqueous solution (Fig. S2). This macroscopic contraction is typically observed with highly cross-linked polymeric gels at low polymer weight concentration.²⁹




Fig 3. (A) Frequency sweep of arsenohydrogels from DMA analysis. Storage moduli (filled in squares) and loss moduli (empty squares) of **P1** (black), **P2** (red), **P3** (blue) and **P4** (green). (B) Stress/strain curve of the arsenohydrogels derived from **P1** (black), **P2** (red), **P3** (blue) and **P4** (green).

The formation of the 2.5 wt % gels was followed by rheology in oscillation mode (1.0 % strain) at 60 °C. In the absence of reducing

agents, gelation did not occur, even when **P4** containing the largest mole fraction of the AsAm (8 mol%) was used (**Fig. 2C**). When rheology was repeated in the presence of H_3PO_2 and KI, transition from liquid to gel was observed at the cross over point between the storage (G') and loss (G'') moduli. The gel point was inversely proportional to the AsAm monomer feed (**Fig. 2E, S3-S5**). For example, **P1** (2 mol% AsAm) underwent gelation within 130 secs (**Fig. S3**), whilst **P4** (8 mol% AsAm) underwent gelation within 5 secs (**Fig. 2D**). Likewise, increasing the AsAm feed ratio increased the storage modulus obtained at the endpoint (G'_{end}) of the rheology experiments with **P1** and **P2** reaching G'_{end} values of 250 and 400 Pa respectively, whilst **P3** and **P4** had G'_{end} values of 1200 and 1700 Pa respectively (**Fig. 2E**). Rheology was also used to assess the self-healing ability of the arsenohydrogels. Despite the potentially dynamic nature of the arsine bonds,³⁰⁻³³ the gels were not self-healable as indicated by the loss of gel integrity observed in the 2nd cycle of the amplitude sweep during rheology of **P1** (2.5 wt%, **Fig. S6**). Compression tests were performed on arsenohydrogels (2.5 wt%) to generate stress/strain curves (**Fig. S7-S10**). Although the gels (**P1** – **P4**) at 2.5 wt% failed at low stress/strain, the amount of stress/strain required to reach failure increased as a function of the AsAm mole fraction. For example, **P1** failed at 0.6 kPa stress and 0.42 strain (**Fig. S7, S11**), whilst **P4** failed at 9 kPa stress and 0.5 strain (**Fig. S10**).

When arsenohydrogels were prepared at 10 wt% polymer the macroscopic structure was retained with no syneresis observed irrespective of the AsAm feed ratio. The viscoelastic properties of these hydrogels were determined by Dynamic Mechanical Analysis (DMA) in frequency sweep mode. The G' (33 – 81 kPa) was consistently higher than G'' across the range of frequency tested, indicating the hydrogels were stable and behaved as viscoelastic solids (**Fig. 3A**). Increasing the polymer weight fraction to 10 wt% improved the mechanical properties of the arsenohydrogels. Each gel was compressed to a fixed load of 5 N and held for 30 secs to generate representative stress/strain curves, quantify gel stiffness (Young's Modulus, Y) and qualitatively investigate the relaxation properties (**Fig. 3B**). Increasing the AsAm mole fraction increased the stiffness of the gels formed with the Young's modulus increasing from 193 kPa (**P1**) to 228 kPa (**P4**). With respect to relaxation, the trend was reserved with arsenohydrogels derived from **P1** and **P2** exhibiting greater stress dissipation whilst maintaining the 5 N load for 30 secs after compression. The elastic properties of the arsenohydrogels was exemplified by cycling the gels derived from **P3** and **P4** 3 times with little change in the mechanical properties (**Fig. S12, S13**).

The dry-state morphology of the purified arsenohydrogels (10 wt%) was investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) after dialysis and lyophilisation. The gels from **P1** and **P2** with 2 mol% and 4 mol% AsAm monomer feeds respectively showed no cross sectional microstructure (**Fig. S14**). The microstructure of these gels is compromised during the dialysis process due to partial hydrolysis of the As-As bonds (*vide infra*). Increasing the AsAm feed to 6 mol%

(**P3**) and 8 mol% (**P4**) was expected to afford a higher degree of cross-linking and pleasingly this resulted in retention of porous, cross-linked gel microstructures (**Fig. 4**). This qualitatively indicates that the hydrolytic stability of the arsenohydrogels is proportional to the degree of cross-linking which increases as a function of the AsAm monomer feed. The increasing AsAm feed in the polymers is reflected in the arsenic content present in the final arsenohydrogels **P1** (2.61 wt%) – **P4** (6.41 wt%), which was quantified by Energy-Dispersive X-ray (EDX) Spectroscopy (**Table S2**).




Fig 4. Scanning electron microscopy of dry 10 wt % arsenohydrogels after dialysis and lyophilisation. **P3** = 6 mol% AsAm; **P4** = 8 mol% AsAm (Scale bar = 2 μm).

The stability and swelling characteristics of the arsenohydrogels (10 wt %) was investigated in PBS buffer (pH 7.4), glutathione (GSH, 5 mM) and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2 , 5 mM). The swelling ratio was determined by the % swelling $(W_s - W_0)/W_0 \times 100$ (where W_s is the mass of the swollen gel and W_0 is the mass of dried gel). In PBS (pH 7.4), the swelling of the gel derived from **P1**, with the smallest AsAm mole fraction was 175% after 24 hours compared to 5% for **P4** with the highest AsAm mole fraction (**Fig. 5**). Swelling of **P1** and **P4** was measured every 24 hours 6 days reaching 2040% and 160% respectively. After each measurement fresh swelling solution was added to each of the swollen gels.




Fig 5. Swelling ratio of the arsenohydrogels derived from **P1-P4** calculated as $(W_s - W_0)/W_0 \times 100$ where W_0 is the weight of the hydrogel after drying in the oven and W_s is the weight of the swollen hydrogel at a definite time interval (**Table S3**). Swelling was performed in PBS (pH 7.4).

The gels derived from **P2** and **P3** followed the same trend, with **P2** reaching 880% and **P3** reaching 360% after 6 days of swelling. The

swelling properties of the arsenohydrogels in PBS (pH 7.4) can be rationalised by the degree of crosslinking and the relative susceptibility of the As-As bonds, that constitute the crosslinking, to hydrolysis and oxidation. Under the reductive conditions imposed during gelation, the formation of As-As bonds is favoured. However, during the swelling experiment, the reducing agent(s) are gradually removed which exposes the relatively weak As-As bonds to hydrolysis and re-oxidation, under ambient conditions, of As(I) to As(III) and As(V). The impact of this on the gel integrity is most prevalent at lower crosslinking densities (**P1**) which exhibit faster rates of swelling and a higher swelling ratio. At the highest crosslinking density (**P4**) the impact on the gel integrity was minimal. It should be noted that the arsenohydrogels do not reach an equilibrium swelling point, as As-As bond hydrolysis will continue until the gel completely degrades. In this respect the relative swelling ratio and rate can be used as a measure of the relative stability of the gels (*i.e.* **P1** < **P2** < **P3** < **P4**). The impact of hydrolysis on the gel integrity also supports the data obtained from SEM (**Fig. 4**), whereby partial hydrolysis of the As-As bonds in gels derived from **P1** and **P2** results in loss of the gel microstructure. The swelling rate and ratio of **P1** – **P4** (and therefore degradation) is attenuated in aqueous GSH (5 mM, **Fig. S15**, **Table S4**) which is attributed to a change in the overall redox environment imposed by the reductive nature of GSH which slows down oxidation of As(I). Conversely, under oxidative conditions (5 mM H₂O₂) the swelling rate and ratio is significantly accelerated (**Fig. S16**, **Table S5**), with **P4** reaching 170% swelling after 24 hours, compared to 5% in PBS (pH 7.4). This is also attributed to changes in the redox environment, which in the presence of H₂O₂ promotes oxidation of As(I) to As(III) and/or As(V).

Gel biocompatibility, loading and release

For polymeric arsenicals and arsenohydrogels to be considered for biological applications it is important to consider their cytotoxicity. Thus, polymer scaffolds **P1-P4** were investigated for toxicity *in vitro* using a standard XTT assay. NIH/3T3 (mouse embryonic fibroblasts) cells were selected as a model for healthy cells, whilst PC3 (human prostate cancer) cells were also screened for consistency with our previous work and with the long-term aim of developing systems with selective toxicity to malignant cells. In line with our previous results²³⁻²⁵ the scaffolds **P1-P4** were not toxic towards either NIH/3T3 (**Fig. 6A**) or PC3 (**Fig. 6B**) up to relatively high concentrations (0.25 - 8 mg.ml⁻¹). The potential for cell encapsulation was then examined using freshly prepared arsenohydrogels, thoroughly purified by dialysis to remove excess H₃PO₂ and KI. The lyophilised arsenohydrogels were then swollen in the cell growth media containing freshly trypsinized cells (NIH/3T3 or PC3), and imaged using nuclei active staining (Hoechst). Freshly trypsinised cells adopt a more spherical morphology compared to those attached to a surface (**Fig. 6C**). This spherical morphology was apparent for both NIH/3T3 and PC3 cells encapsulated within the arsenohydrogel matrices, suggesting that cells remain detached from the surface of the gel or culture plate and retain viability. This was confirmed by z-stack tomography (**Fig. 6D**) which shows that the cells interact with the gel matrix across the entire cell surface.




Fig 6. (A) XTT cytotoxicity assay of arsenic functional polymers (**P1-P4**) with PC3 cells; (B) XTT cytotoxicity assay of arsenic functional polymers (**P1-P4**) with NIH/3T3 cells; (C) Confocal microscopy of arsenohydrogels (**P1**, 10 wt%, after dialysis and lyophilisation) rehydrated with cell media containing PC3 cells: 20 x magnification with bright field and Hoechst stain; (D) z-stack tomography of the PC3 cells encapsulated an arsenohydrogel matrix, imaged with Hoechst dye.

The NIH/3T3 cells were found to be randomly distributed through the gel matrices irrespective of the parent polymer scaffold (**P1-P4**, **Fig S17A-S17D**). However, the PC3 cells showed a greater tendency to reside near the surface of the arsenohydrogels with the depth of penetration tentatively related the mole fraction of arsenic within the parent polymer scaffold. For example, the majority of the cells seeded into the gel from **P1** were found at a depth of 20-30 μM (**Fig 18A**), whereas cells seeded with **P4** were found to reside closer to the gel surface (< 20 μM , **Fig S18D**).

The principle of controlled drug release by environmental stimuli has become a central focus in the development of responsive materials for biomedical applications.³⁴ Considering the oxidative responsive nature of the arsine bonds, and the clinical relevance of organic arsenicals such as 4-(*N*-(*S*-penicillaminylacetyl)amino)

phenylarsonous acid (PENAO)³⁵ and 4-(*N*-(*S*-glutathionylacetyl)amino) phenylarsonous acid (GSAO),³⁶ the potential to incorporate an organic arsenical as a model therapeutic into the arsenohydrogels was investigated. Thus, it was hypothesised that this could be achieved by physical encapsulation into the gel matrix and/or *via* covalent incorporation into domains of As(III) cyclooligoarsine crosslinking. To investigate this, arsenohydrogels (2.5 wt%) were prepared in the presence of *p*-arsanilic acid as the model organic arsenical. In the presence of an excess of *p*-arsanilic acid (3.8 wt %), the initial gelation took longer due to incorporation of the monomeric organic arsenical competing with cross-linking between polymeric arsenical chains. Interestingly, this competition between cross-linking and incorporation of organic arsenical completely eliminated the syneresis observed previously for the gels derived from **P3** and **P4** at 2.5 wt % suggesting a lower overall degree of cross-linking present in each formulation (**Fig. S19**). The darker

appearance of the gels compared to those prepared in the absence of *p*-arsanilic acid is attributed to an increase in the formation of the As-As arising from the larger total concentration on As(V) in each formulation. The relative release of the *p*-arsanilic acid from the arsenohydrogels at room temperature in aqueous solution and under simulated oxidative stress (aqueous H₂O₂) was monitored by HPLC (Fig. 7). In pure aqueous solution, very little release was observed. Conversely, in an aqueous solution containing a stoichiometric amount (with respect to total arsenic content) of H₂O₂ evidence of release of *p*-arsanilic acid was detected over 48 hours until a plateau was reached. A trend in release with respect to copolymer composition was apparent, with the rate and degree of release being inversely proportional (**P1** > **P2** > **P3** > **P4**) to the AsAm mole fraction in the copolymer composition (**P1** < **P2** < **P3** < **P4**). This was attributed to increased covalent incorporation of the *p*-arsanilic acid into the domains of As(I)_n cyclooligoarsine crosslinking.

Conclusions

Arsenohydrogels have been successfully prepared for the first time. Reductive coupling of As(V) to As(I) has been exploited to crosslink high molecular weight ($M_w > 300$ kDa) polymeric arsenical scaffolds (PDMAm_x-co-AsAm_y). Arsenohydrogels were formed in the presence of H₃PO₂ and KI when the polymer weight fraction was ≥ 2.5 wt% with soft gels that failed under compressive loads at low stress/strain being formed at 2.5 wt%. The mechanical properties of the arsenohydrogels improved when the polymer weight fraction was increased to 10 wt%. Increasing the AsAm mole fraction (**P1** – **P4**) resulted in arsenohydrogels with increased stiffness ($Y = 193 - 228$ kPa). Moreover, increasing the AsAm mole fraction reduced swelling capacity of the arsenohydrogels and increased their resistance to hydrolysis and oxidation of the As-As crosslinks. The polymeric arsenical scaffolds and the resulting arsenohydrogels were found to be non-toxic *in vitro* and the gels were capable of encapsulating NIH/3T3 and PC3 cells within a 3D arsenohydrogel matrix. Finally, the arsenohydrogels have been shown to be functional through the loading of *p*-arsanilic acid as model arsenical drug. The relative release of model arsenical drug in aqueous solution and under simulated oxidative stress demonstrates the redox responsive characteristics of systems containing organic arsenicals. This investigation further demonstrates the distinctive reactivity and versatility of organic and polymeric arsenicals, and the properties of this first generation of arsenohydrogels are promising for biomaterials applications in the future.




Fig. 7. Release of *p*-arsanilic acid from arsenohydrogels from **P1** (black), **P2** (red), **P3** (blue) and **P4** (green) in aqueous solution (empty) and under simulated oxidative stress using stoichiometric H₂O₂ (filled).

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) under grant EP/F500378/1 through the Molecular Organisation and Assembly in Cells Doctoral Training Centre(MOAC-DTC). The authors also wish to acknowledge the facilities and personnel (S.P., T.P.D., P.W.) enabled by the Monash-Warwick Alliance. This work was carried out in conjunction with the Australian Research Council (ARC) Centre of Excellence in Convergent Bio-NanoScience and Technology (CE140100036). T.P.D. gratefully acknowledges support from the ARC in the form of an Australian Laureate Fellowship. S.P. acknowledges a Royal Society Wolfson Merit Award (WM130055). P.W. is a Royal Society Tata University Research Fellow (URF\R1\180274) and acknowledges the Leverhulme Trust (ECF/2015-075) for prior funding for this work. S.H. acknowledges financial support from Lubrizol. The authors would like to thank Dr Daniel Lester and Dr Steve York, managers of the Polymer Characterisation and electron microscopy Research Technology Platforms for access and maintenance of their facilities.

Notes and references

1. Z. Yinyu, L. Yongmao and L. Wenguang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, **25**, 471-480.
2. A. Erbas and M. O. de la Cruz, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2015, **4**, 857-861.
3. E. A. Appel, J. del Barrio, X. J. Loh and O. A. Scherman, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 6195-6214.
4. A. Gutierrez, S. Pascual, L. Fontaine, S. Pioge and L. Benyahia, *Polym. Chem.*, 2018, **9**, 2494-2504.
5. M. J. Webber, E. A. Appel, E. W. Meijer and R. Langer, *Nat. Mater.*, 2016, **15**, 13-26.
6. Y. S. Zhang and A. Khademhosseini, *Science*, 2017, **356**.
7. C. Zhu, J. Zhao, K. Kempe, P. Wilson, J. Wang, T. Velkov, J. Li, T. P. Davis, M. R. Whittaker and D. M. Haddleton, *Macromol. Biosci.*, 2017, **17**, 1600320.
8. I. Y. Jung, J. S. Kim, B. R. Choi, K. Lee and H. Lee, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2017, **6**.
9. B. Yang, Y. Zhang, X. Zhang, L. Tao, S. Li and Y. Wei, *Polym. Chem.*, 2012, **3**, 3235-3238.
10. J. Carthew, J. E. Frith, J. S. Forsythe and V. X. Truong, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2018, **6**, 1394-1401.
11. M. Liu, X. Zeng, C. Ma, H. Yi, Z. Ali, X. B. Mou, S. Li, Y. Deng and N. Y. He, *Bone Res.*, 2017, **5**.
12. H. Kai, T. Yamauchi, Y. Ogawa, A. Tsubota, T. Magome, T. Miyake, K. Yamasaki and M. Nishizawa, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2017, **6**, DOI: 10.1002/adhm.201700465.
13. J. J. Cash, T. Kubo, D. J. Dobbins and B. S. Sumerlin, *Polym. Chem.*, 2018, **9**, 2011-2020.
14. H. Towbin, T. Staehelin and J. Gordon, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 1979, **76**, 4350-4354.
15. D. Roy, J. N. Cambre and B. S. Sumerlin, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2010, **35**, 278-301.
16. Y. Yang and M. W. Urban, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 7446-7467.
17. H. Qian and I. Aprahamian, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 11158-11161.
18. F. Karimi, J. Collins, D. E. Heath and L. A. Connal, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2017, **28**, 2235-2240.
19. J. J. Cash, T. Kubo, A. P. Bapat and B. S. Sumerlin, *Macromolecules*, 2015, **48**, 2098-2106.
20. H. S. Yu, Y. A. Wang, H. Y. Yang, K. Peng and X. Y. Zhang, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2017, **5**, 4121-4127.
21. P. J. Dilda and P. J. Hogg, *Cancer Treat. Rev.*, 2007, **33**, 542-564.
22. J. Tanaka, T. P. Davis and P. Wilson, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2018, DOI: doi:10.1002/marc.201800205.
23. C. Footman, P. A. J. M. de Jongh, J. Tanaka, R. Peltier, K. Kempe, T. P. Davis and P. Wilson, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 8447-8450.
24. P. Wilson, A. Anastasaki, M. R. Owen, K. Kempe, D. M. Haddleton, S. K. Mann, A. P. R. Johnston, J. F. Quinn, M. R. Whittaker, P. J. Hogg and T. P. Davis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 4215-4222.
25. J. Tanaka, S. Tani, R. Peltier, E. H. Pilkington, A. Kerr, T. P. Davis and P. Wilson, *Polym. Chem.*, 2018, **9**, 1551-1556.
26. J. Tanaka, G. Moriceau, A. Cook, A. Kerr, J. Zhang, R. Peltier, S. Perrier, T. P. Davis and P. Wilson, *Macromolecules*, 2019, DOI: 10.1021/acs.macromol.8b02459.
27. K. Naka, T. Umeyama and Y. Chujo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 6600-6603.
28. N. C. Lloyd, H. W. Morgan, B. K. Nicholson and R. S. Ronimus, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 941-944.
29. A. Morales-Burgos, E. Carvajal-Millan, Y. López-Franco, A. Rascón-Chu, J. Lizardi-Mendoza, N. Sotelo-Cruz, F. Brown-Bojórquez, A. Burgara-Estrella and M. Pedroza-Montero, *Polymers*, 2017, **9**, 164.
30. U. Tomokazu, N. Kensuke and C. Yoshiki, *J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2004, **42**, 3023-3028.
31. U. Tomokazu, N. Kensuke and C. Yoshiki, *J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2004, **42**, 3604-3611.
32. T. Umeyama, K. Naka and Y. Chujo, *Macromolecules*, 2004, **37**, 5952-5958.
33. T. Umeyama, K. Naka, A. Nakahashi and Y. Chujo, *Macromolecules*, 2004, **37**, 1271-1275.
34. J. Y. Li and D. J. Mooney, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2016, **1**.
35. P. J. Dilda, S. Decollogne, L. Weerakoon, M. D. Norris, M. Haber, J. D. Allen and P. J. Hogg, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2009, **52**, 6209-6216.
36. L. Horsley, J. Cummings, M. Middleton, T. Ward, A. Backen, A. Clamp, M. Dawson, H. Farmer, N. Fisher, G. Halbert, S. Halford, A. Harris, J. Hasan, P. Hogg, G. Kumaran, R. Little, G. J. M. Parker, P. Potter, M. Saunders, C. Roberts, D. Shaw, N. Smith, J. Smythe, A. Taylor, H. Turner, Y. Watson, C. Dive and G. C. Jayson, *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.*, 2013, **72**, 1343-1352.