

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Out-patient physiotherapy service delivery post COVID-19: opportunity for a
	re-set and a new normal?
Type	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/id/eprint/37224/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2021.02.001
Date	2021
Citation	Rawlinson, Gillian and Connell, Louise Anne (2021) Out-patient
	physiotherapy service delivery post COVID-19: opportunity for a re-set and
	a new normal? Physiotherapy. ISSN 0031-9406
Creators	Rawlinson, Gillian and Connell, Louise Anne

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2021.02.001

For information about Research at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/

- 1 Editorial
- 2 <u>Title</u>
- 3 Out-patient physiotherapy service delivery post COVID-19: opportunity for a re-set and new normal?
- 4 Authors
- 5 Gillian Rawlinson¹ Dr Rachel Tarling² Dr Prof Louise Connell³1.2,
- 6 ¹University of Central Lancashire (UCLan), Preston, PR1 2HE PHD candidate @GillRPhysio
- 7 (corresponding author) Present address; Chartered Society of Physiotherapy, 14 Bedford Row,
- 8 London WC1R 4ED telephone 020 7306 1119
- 9 ² East Lancashire Hospitals NHS Trust, Burnley, UK.
- 10 Email correspondence; rawlinsong@csp.org.uk
- 11 Reflecting back; the 'old normal'
- 12 Since the COVID-19 pandemic was declared by the World Health Organization (WHO) in March 2020,
- there has been seismic shift in healthcare delivery including physiotherapy [1 2]. The COVID-19
- 14 pandemic has brought challenges but also opportunities. There have been calls for the profession to
- maximise opportunities to transform and adapt itself to better meet the needs of populations. This
- not only relates to tackling the impact of COVID-19 and infectious diseases [3 4 5], but also the
- increasing burden of non-communicable diseases and long term conditions (LTC) [6 7].
- 18 Traditionally many out-patient services were based on post-war models of service delivery where
- patients are seen face to face, usually individually, for an initial longer appointment and followed by
- shorter appointments over the subsequent weeks. This model was designed in a pre-digital era when
- 21 physical hands on and electrotherapeutic interventions prevailed. The first appointment to follow up
- 22 appointment ratio in musculoskeletal (MSK) out-patients has reduced over time and in 2012 was just
- an average of 3.14 follow ups per patient [8]. This reduction appears to have been driven by capacity
- 24 and demand responses, as well as an increased emphasis on self-management and less guidance for
- 25 'hands on' therapies [9]. Less overall time is spent with individuals. The need to deliver quality,
- 26 person centred care arguably increases the demand on concentration, and emotional investment
- 27 from physiotherapists (as well as expert clinical knowledge) [10].
- 28 With rising prevalence of long term conditions there has also been an increased focus on supporting
- 29 patients to self-care through shared decision making (SDM) and personalised care (PC) approaches
- 30 [11 12 13]. Supporting self-management not only includes the provision of information but also

31 enabling motivation and self-efficacy to help people achieve greater control and take appropriate 32 action to manage their condition [11]. Physiotherapy self-management usually requires adherence 33 to some form of behaviour change such as undertaking a home exercise programme or lifestyle 34 adaptations [14 15]. 35 Adherence to physiotherapy self-management programmes is suboptimal [16]. Literature supports 36 the notion that adherence is a multi-dimensional construct, with a range of barriers and facilitators 37 being identified [17 18 19 20 21]. No single interventions have been identified as the panacea for 38 increasing adherence to self-management programmes in physiotherapy [6 22 23]. 39 Pre-covid-19 we undertook an observational study (in press) based on the behaviour change wheel 40 [24], to explore self-management programmes in MSK outpatient physiotherapy. Video recordings 41 of face-to-face consultations and interviews with patients highlighted that physiotherapists focussed 42 on ensuring patients had the practical capability to undertake the programmes but did not address 43 opportunity or motivational components of adherence. Contextual factors including the 44 physiotherapists' environment and service delivery structure for appointments affected the 45 provision of programmes and patients' adherence which is in keeping with other studies [10 20 25]. Patients' also reported valuing the therapeutic relationship and expressed a desire for social support 46 47 and group exercises. None of the patients in our study were offered group exercises despite 48 evidence supporting their cost effectiveness [6 26]. 49 The new normal COVID-19 has increased the use of digital telehealth [27 28 29] which has accelerated digital 50 51 ambitions [30]. However, we must be careful not to just replace the existing appointments with 52 remote consultations but instead consider how we use resources including time, the physical 53 environment and digital technologies to optimise the delivery of evidence based, personalised care 54 [31]. Pugliese (2020) highlights how telehealth has enabled physiotherapists to re-focus on the 55 interpersonal interactions and communication with patients. Post COVID-19 we have the 56 opportunity to consider how we use face-to-face contacts and blend these with technologies 57 including video or telephone communications, short messaging services (SMS) and online resources 58 [32 33]. 59 This blended approach could be personalised, as we know this is not addressed by a one-size-fits-all 60 approach [24]. Supporting long term self-care, behaviour change and physical activity participation is complex [15]. It requires physiotherapists to have the appropriate time and skills to develop a 61 62 strong therapeutic relationship, to explore patients' capability, opportunities and motivations to

change their behaviour [13 24]. Providing appropriate time for patient interaction is necessary to build successful therapeutic relationship and engage in shared decision making which are critical in achieving optimal outcomes and adherence [13 20]. The mode of service delivery is also important. Provision of group delivery provides opportunities for peer support and can help patients transition to long term physical activity participation [34]. Group exercise opportunities should be consistent, accessible and underpinned by evidence based practice. Delivering quality, person centred physiotherapy interactions within reducing episodes of care also potentially risks physiotherapist burnout which has been shown to be a problem particularly when managing patients with chronic conditions [35].

Time to re-set

If we were starting from the beginning how would we design out-patient physiotherapy services for now and for the future? How can we support physiotherapists to ensure they deliver safe and effective assessment, whilst utilising technologies to engage patients in the ways they prefer? How can we provide patients with peer support and build transition into longer term physical activity in their communities?

We propose that physiotherapy service structure should enable physiotherapists to have adequate time for debriefing and reflection to support their wellbeing and learning. Our study utilised video observation which, with current use of video consultations, provides an effective tool to record consultations (in line with consent and information governance policies) and could allow easy opportunity for self-reflection and peer review for physiotherapists [36].

O'Caithain et al (2019) sets out 5 principles in their guidance on developing healthcare interventions which provide a sound basis for us to consider as we re-set; being dynamic, being iterative, being creative, being open and looking ahead [37]. Tack et al (2020) also remind us of the need for the post COVID-19 service delivery era to be determined as a result of careful and robust evaluation that is built around service user views and staff wellbeing [31].

The COVID-19 pandemic provides our profession with unique opportunities to re-design physiotherapy services to better support personalised care and patients' long term adherence to self-management. This should build on behavioural science theory and adherence research to maximise the physiotherapist's contribution and ensures their health and wellbeing. We must seize the opportunity to review the evidence base, engage with service users, transform and evaluate outpatient physiotherapy care for the future. A new normal for physiotherapy care is within all of our gift.

95 Keywords 96• COVID-19 97∙ Physiotherapy 98• Adherence 99• **Out-patients** 100• Service delivery models References 101 102 1. Chartered Society of Physiotherapy. Remote physiotherapy delivery options. The Chartered 103 Society of Physiotherapy (csp.org.uk).2020 accessed 24/01/2021 104 105 2. Keesara S, Jonas A, Schulman K. Covid-19 and health care's digital revolution. N Engl J Med. 2020 106 107 108 3. Landry MD, Geddes L, Park Moseman A, Lefler JP, Raman SR, van Wijchen J. Early reflection 109 on the global impact of COVID19, and implications for physiotherapy, Physiotherapy 2020; 110 107, A1-A3, 111 4. Lee AJY, Chung CLH, Young BE, Ling LM, Ho BCH, Puah SH, Iqbal SR, Tan GP. Clinical course 112 113 and physiotherapy intervention in 9 patients with COVID-19. Physiotherapy. 2020 Dec; 109:1-3. 114 115 116 5. Abdullahi, A. Covid-19 pandemic experience: can it serve as a clarion call to establish or 117 revamp a specialty known as 'Infectious Diseases Physiotherapy'? Physiotherapy 2020; 108, 118 1. 119 120 6. Dean E, Alice Jones, Homer Peng-Ming Yu, Rik Gosselink, Margot Skinner, Translating COVID-121 19 Evidence to Maximize Physical Therapists' Impact and Public Health Response, Physical Therapy, Volume 100, Issue 9, September 2020, Pages 1458–1464 122 123 7. James SL, Abate D, Abate KH, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and 124 125 years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 126 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet 2018; 127 392: 1789-858 128 129 8. Chartered Society of Physiotherapy. Physiotherapy outpatient services survey 2012. London 130 2013. 131 9. Lin I, Wiles L, Waller R, Goucke R, Nagree Y, Gibberd M, Straker L, Maher CG, O'Sullivan PPB. 132 133 What does best practice care for musculoskeletal pain look like? Eleven consistent

4

recommendations from high-quality clinical practice guidelines: systematic review. Br J

Sports Med. 2020 Jan;54(2):79-86

134

135

136137

138 10. Hall MS, Podlog L, Newton M, Galli N, Fritz J, Butner J, Greviskes L, Hammer C. Patient and practitioner perspectives of psychological need support in physical therapy, Physiotherapy 139 140 Theory and Practice, 2020 DOI: 10.1080/09593985.2020.1780654 141 142 11. de Silva, D. Helping people help themselves: A review of the evidence considering whether it 143 is worthwhile to support self-management. The Health Foundation. London. 2011 144 145 12. Hutting N, Johnston V, J. Staal B, Heerkens YF. Promoting the Use of Self-management 146 Strategies for People With Persistent Musculoskeletal Disorders: The Role of Physical 147 Therapists Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy 2019; 49:4, 212-215 148 149 13. Babatunde F, MacDermid, J, MacIntyre N. Characteristics of therapeutic alliance in 150 musculoskeletal physiotherapy and occupational therapy practice: a scoping review of the 151 literature. BMC Health Services Research, 2017; 17(1), 375. 152 153 14. Novak I. Effective home programme intervention for adults: a systematic review. Clinical 154 Rehabilitation. 2011; 25(12):1066-1085 155 15. Söderlund A, von Heideken Wågert P. Adherence to and the Maintenance of Self-156 157 Management Behaviour in Older People with Musculoskeletal Pain-A Scoping Review and Theoretical Models. J Clin Med. 2021 15; 10 (2):303. 158 159 16. Peek K, Sanson-Fisher R, Mackenzie L, Carey M. Patient adherence to physiotherapist 160 161 prescribed self-management strategies: a critical review. Int J Ther Rehabil.2015; 162 22(11):535-543. 163 164 17. Peek K, Sanson-Fisher R, Mackenzie L, Carey M. Interventions to aid patient adherence to 165 physiotherapist prescribed self-management strategies: a systematic review. Physiotherapy. 102(2):127-135. 166 167 168 18. Jack K, McLean SM, Moffett, JK, Gardiner E. Barriers to treatment adherence in 169 physiotherapy outpatient clinics: A systematic review. Manual Therapy, 2010; 15(3), 220-170 228. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.math.2009.12.004 171 19. Essery R, Geraghty AW, Kirby S, et al. Predictors of adherence to home-based physical 172 therapies: a systematic review. Disability Rehabilitation 2017; 39:519-34. 173 174 20. Moore AJ. Therapeutic alliance facilitates adherence to physiotherapy-led exercise and 175 physical activity for older adults with knee pain: a longitudinal qualitative study. Journal of Physiotherapy. 2020; 66(1), pp. 45-53. 176 177 178 21. Peek K, Carey M, Mackenzie L, Sanson-Fisher R. Characteristics associated with high levels

physiotherapists. International Journal of Therapy and Rehabilitation 2020; 27:1, pages 1-15.

of patient-reported adherence to self-management strategies prescribed by

179

180

181

182 22. 183 184	McLean SM, Burton M, Bradley L, et al. Interventions for enhancing adherence with physiotherapy: a systematic review. Man Ther 2010;15:514–21.
	Room J, Hannink E, Dawes H, Barker K. What interventions are used to improve exercise adherence in older people and what behavioural techniques are they based on? A systematic review. BMJ Open. 2017 Dec 14;7(12)
	Michie S, van Stralen MM, West R. The behaviour change wheel: a new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. Implement Sci 2011;6:42
192 25 193 194 195	Meade LB, Bearne LM, Godfrey EL. "It's important to buy in to the new lifestyle": barriers and facilitators of exercise adherence in a population with persistent musculoskeletal pain. Disability Rehabilitation. 2019 Jun 26:1-11.
	O'Keeffe M, Hayes A, McCreesh K, et al Are group-based and individual physiotherapy exercise programmes equally effective for musculoskeletal conditions? A systematic review and meta-analysis British Journal of Sports Medicine 2017; 51:126-132.
	Pugliese M, Wolff A. The Value of Communication, Education, and Self-Management in Providing Guideline-Based Care: Lessons Learned from Musculoskeletal Telerehabilitation During the COVID-19 Crisis. HSS J. 2020 Aug 3:1-4.
205 28. 206 207 208 209	Turolla A, Rossettini G, Viceconti A, Palese A, Geri T. Musculoskeletal Physical Therapy During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Is Telerehabilitation the Answer? Physical Therapy, 2020; 100: 8, 1260–1264
	Bokolo, A.J. Application of telemedicine and eHealth technology for clinical services in response to COVID-19 pandemic. <i>Health Technol.</i> 2021. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12553-020-00516-4
	Greenhalgh T, Shaw S, Wherton J, Vijayaraghavan S, Morris J, Bhattacharya S, Hanson P, Campbell-Richards D, Ramoutar S, Collard A, Hodkinson I. <i>Real-World Implementation of Video Outpatient Consultations at Macro, Meso, and Micro Levels: Mixed-Method Study</i> . J Med Internet Res 2018;20(4)
	Tack C, Grodon J, Shorthouse F, Spahr N. "Physio anywhere": digitally-enhanced outpatient care as a legacy of coronavirus Physiotherapy 2020
	Bennell, K.L., Campbell, P.K., Egerton, T., Metcalf, B. et al. Telephone Coaching to Enhance a Home-Based Physical Activity Program for Knee Osteoarthritis: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Arthritis Care & Research. 2017 69: 84-94.

226	
227	33. Bunting JW, Withers TM, Heneghan NR, Greaves CJ Digital interventions for promoting
228	exercise adherence in chronic musculoskeletal pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis
229	Physiotherapy, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.
230	
231	34. Hurley MV, Walsh NE, Mitchell HL, Pimm TJ, Patel A, Williamson E, Jones RH, Dieppe PA,
232	Reeves BC. Clinical effectiveness of a rehabilitation program integrating exercise, self-
233	management, and active coping strategies for chronic knee pain: a cluster randomized trial
234	Arthritis Rheum. 2007 Oct 15; 57(7):1211-9.
235	
236	35. Rogan S, Verhavert Y, Zinzen E, Rey F, Scherer A, Luijckx E. Risk factor and symptoms of
237	burnout in physiotherapists in the canton of Bern. Arch Physiotherapy 2019 Dec 21; 9:19.
238	
239	36. Fukkink RG, Trienekens N, Kramer LJC. Video feedback in education and training: putting
240	learning in the picture. Education Psychol Rev. 2011; 23:45–63.
241	
242	37. O'Cathain A, Croot L, Duncan E, Rousseau N, Sworn K, Turner KM, Yardley L & Hoddinott P
243	Guidance on how to develop complex interventions to improve health and healthcare. BMJ
244	2019 Open, 9, https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029954
245	
246	
247	