

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLOK)

Title	An exploration of normative values in New Zealand to inform the Targeted Interventions for Patellofemoral Pain approach
Type	Article
URL	https://clock.uclan.ac.uk/id/eprint/37831/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msksp.2021.102399
Date	2021
Citation	Hébert-Losier, Kim, Hanzlíková, Ivana, Ghadikolaei, Sana Oladi, Janssen, Jessie, Selfe, James and Richards, James (2021) An exploration of normative values in New Zealand to inform the Targeted Interventions for Patellofemoral Pain approach. Musculoskeletal Science and Practice, 54 (102399).
Creators	Hébert-Losier, Kim, Hanzlíková, Ivana, Ghadikolaei, Sana Oladi, Janssen, Jessie, Selfe, James and Richards, James

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msksp.2021.102399>

For information about Research at UCLan please go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/>

All outputs in CLOK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <http://clock.uclan.ac.uk/policies/>

An exploration of normative values in New Zealand to inform the Targeted Interventions for Patellofemoral Pain approach

Original research using quantitative data

Kim Hébert-Losier^{a*}, Ivana Hanzlíková^a, Sana Oladi Ghadikolaei^a, Jessie Janssen^b, James Selfe^c, Jim Richards^d

^a Division of Health, Engineering, Computing and Science

Te Huataki Waiora School of Health

University of Waikato, New Zealand

^b Department of Health Sciences

Institute Therapeutic Sciences

IMC University of Applied Sciences Krems, Austria

^c Department of Health Professions

Faculty of Health, Psychology and Social Care

Manchester Metropolitan University, United Kingdom

^d Allied Health Research unit,

Faculty of Allied Health and Well-being

University of Central Lancashire, United Kingdom

25 *Corresponding author: Kim Hébert-Losier
26 E-mail: kim.hebert-losier@waikato.ac.nz
27 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1087-4986>
28 Twitter: @KimHebertLosier
29 ResearcherID: P-6671-2016
30 Research Gate: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Kim_Hebert-Losier
31 LinkedIn: <https://www.linkedin.com/in/kim-hébert-losier-854794ab/>
32
33 University of Waikato Adams Centre for High Performance
34 52 Miro Street, Mount Maunganui
35 3116 Tauranga, New Zealand
36 Telephone: +64 7 837 9476
37 Fax: +64 7 838 4504
38
39 Ivana Hanzlíková
40 E-mail: ih27@students.waikato.ac.nz
41 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2259-9312>
42 Twitter: @HanzlikovaIvana
43
44 Sana Oladi Ghadikolaie
45 E-mail: ro59@students.waikato.ac.nz
46 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3844-3838>
47 Twitter: @OladiSana
48

49 Jessie Janssen

50 E-mail: jessie.janssen@fh-krems.ac.at

51 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5961-2736>

52 Twitter: @DrJessieJanssen

53 LinkedIn: <https://www.linkedin.com/in/jessie-janssen-2469909/>

54

55 James Selfe

56 E-mail: j.selfe@mmu.ac.uk

57 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9931-4998>

58

59 Jim Richards

60 E-mail: jrichards@uclan.ac.uk

61 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4004-3115>

62 Twitter: @ProfJimRichards

63

64 Word count: 3708 words

65

ABSTRACT

Background: The Targeted Interventions for Patellofemoral Pain studies (TIPPs) have identified three subgroups exist in UK and Turkish patellofemoral pain (PFP) populations: Strong; Weak and Tight; and Weak and Pronated, based on six clinical assessments. The thresholds used to develop the subgrouping algorithms were based on normative values sourced from various populations and countries.

Objectives: Explore normative scores from the clinical assessments in a singular non-PFP population whilst considering potential differences between ethnicities and sex (primary aim). Revisit inter-rater reliability of each assessment (secondary aim).

Design: Cross-sectional and test-retest.

Method: The six assessments; rectus femoris length, gastrocnemius length, patellar mobility, hip abductor strength, quadriceps strength, and Foot Posture index (FPI) were measured in 89 New Zealanders (34% Māori, 45% female). Two raters independently assessed 17 participants to examine inter-rater reliability.

Results: Significant interactions between ethnic group and sex were noted for rectus femoris length and patella mobility. Māori versus European males exhibited greater rectus femoris tightness ($p = 0.001$). Māori versus European females demonstrated greater patellar mobility ($p = 0.002$). Females were significantly weaker than males in normalised strength measures ($p < 0.001$), and had lower FPIs. Mean differences between testers for

all measures were small and not significant, except for FPI which had a 2.0 point median difference ($p = 0.021$).

Conclusions: Our results indicate that sex is an important factor worth considering within the TIPP's subgrouping approach, more than ethnicity, especially for the normalised strength measures. The sub-optimal reliability of FPI warrant reconsideration of its inclusion within TIPP's.

Keywords: ethnicity, knee, physiotherapy, normative data.

HIGHLIGHTS

- The Targeted Interventions for Patellofemoral Pain algorithm uses 6 clinical tests
- The algorithm identifies three patellofemoral pain (PFP) subgroups
- There was no main effect of ethnicity (Māori vs NZ European) on clinical scores
- Sex differences in the 2 strength tests warrant a sex-based stratification approach
- The suboptimal Foot Posture Index reliability queries its inclusion in the algorithm

INTRODUCTION

Patellofemoral pain (PFP) is a challenging clinical condition with a wide variety of theories postulated to explain casual mechanisms (Janssen 2017). Despite the publication of clinical practice guidelines (Willy et al. 2019) and consensus statements (Collins et al. 2018), no standardised treatment approach for PFP existed. Given that it takes on average 17 years for research to be translated into practice (Morris, Wooding, and Grant 2011), current physiotherapy management of PFP varies and frequently relies on a trial-and-error multimodal approach that includes exercise therapy, patellar taping, bracing, and foot orthoses (Smith et al. 2017; Collins et al. 2018). An international consensus has highlighted the need for musculoskeletal studies to adopt subgrouping approaches to improve our understanding of the underlying mechanisms and optimise patient management (Foster et al. 2009). This consensus highlighted that the heterogeneity of patient samples in previous studies has led to findings of small or no treatment effect, with inferences that non-pharmacological interventions in musculoskeletal conditions might lead to little patient benefit. Indeed, the majority of randomised control trials indicate no clinical benefit of conservative treatment over placebo, sham, or other approaches in reducing PFP symptomatology (Saltychev et al. 2018). However, such heterogeneity may be masking a range of individual responses and true treatment effects.

Subgrouping approaches have proved effective for optimising management in other musculoskeletal conditions, such as low back pain (Brennan et al. 2006; Hill et al. 2011), and there is growing agreement from academics and clinicians on the potential benefit of delivering tailored interventions to improve PFP outcomes (Lack et al. 2018). A recent

review summarises the range of PFP subgrouping approaches, and highlights that no consensus yet exists on the number or best classification approach to PFP subgroups (Selfe et al. 2018). The majority of classification systems are of limited use because they do not include clear diagnostic criteria for each subgroup, or they rely on imaging or surgical findings that may not always be available to clinicians (Willy et al. 2019).

Our research team commenced the **T**argeted **I**ntervention for **P**atellofemoral **P**ain studies (TIPPs) programme to investigate potential PFP subgroups that were: (1) identified by simple evidence-based clinical tests; (2) based on tests that clinicians could use routinely in a variety of settings, e.g., from primary care facilities to teaching hospitals; (3) based on assessments that required minimal expertise and training for competent performance, and involved no or low-cost equipment; (4) based on published thresholds for potentially important factors that could be used to assign patients to specific subgroups; and (5) matched to a specific and credible treatment intervention for each identified subgroup (Selfe et al. 2013). The thresholds used to develop the TIPPs algorithms (Selfe et al. 2013) were based on published normative values sourced from the literature, and were hence derived from a range of studies and populations from various countries (Maffiuletti 2010; Witvrouw et al. 2000; Redmond, Crane, and Menz 2008; Herrington, Malloy, and Richards 2005; Youdas et al. 2005). Normative data derive from a reference population and establish a baseline distribution for a score or measurement, and against which the score or measurement can be compared (Campbell 2013).

From this work, three hypothesis-driven subgroups emerged: (1) Strong; (2) Weak and Tight; and (3) Weak and Pronated (Selfe et al. 2016). Continued work in this area has led

to the development of a subgrouping algorithm based on objective data generated by six low-cost clinical tests to categorise PFP patients into one of these three subgroups. The algorithm and subgroup allocation are delivered to clinicians via the Appatella™ mobile application (DigitalLabs@MMU 2019). This approach to PFP subgrouping suggests that it is ecologically valid as it has high clinical value due to its low-cost and accessibility, making it potentially viable for widespread implementation in primary care and physiotherapy clinics internationally.

The Prognosis Research Strategy (PROGRESS) partnership (Hingorani et al. 2013a) and the Medical Research Council (2018) provide recommendations on development, design, and analysis in stratification research. Both frameworks suggest an initial hypothesis setting stage, which defines the problem and population. Thereafter, recommendations are to progress to identifying the variables that define subgroups and then gain an understanding of the properties of the tests. Researchers are encouraged to continue considering implementation of tests from both a patient and health professional perspective. These considerations help direct the choice of tests, number of subgroups, analytical approaches, and thresholds for patient subgroup allocation. Approaches based on targeted interventions, such as the TIPPs framework, require thorough evaluation and exploration of the potential mechanisms and biological reasons underpinning individualised treatment responses (Hingorani et al. 2013b).

In line with these recommendations, we embarked on the present study to understand further the properties of the clinical assessments used in the TIPPs algorithm, and notably to verify the normative values in a given population. Our primary aim was to explore the

clinical assessment scores from a non-PFP population outside of the United Kingdom (Selfe et al. 2016) and Turkey (Yosmaoglu et al. 2020), i.e., countries where previous work on TIPPs has been undertaken in PFP populations, whilst considering ethnicity and sex. Since the original TIPPs thresholds stem from various normative population groups, this exploration may help refine the approach by verifying the normative values of all the clinical assessments included in the TIPPs within a singular population of non-injured individuals. Given that reliability of measures depends on sample characteristics (Matheson 2019), our secondary aim was to revisit the inter-rater reliability of the individual clinical assessments of the TIPPs algorithm within this singular cohort of individuals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

Normative data characterise what is typical in a defined population. In the context of PFP, young active adults are a suitable population to define normative values for TIPPs benchmarking considering that PFP typically manifests in young physically active populations (Smith et al. 2018). Previous TIPPs research has involved 95 to 127 individuals (Yosmaoglu et al. 2020; Selfe et al. 2016), with normative reference data from clinical gait analysis services derived from 81 participants (Pinzone et al. 2014). With this in mind, we sought to recruit a minimum of 80 individuals to establish normative values from a university-aged population to reflect young active individuals.

Of the 196 undergraduate university students in the Health, Sport and Human Performance programme at the University of Waikato who were invited to participate via an online forum, 89 voluntarily accepted to participate and completed all TIPP assessments. All 89 participants were invited to participate in the inter-rater reliability component of this study, with a subgroup of 17 individuals agreeing to participate. All recruitment and data collection processes were undertaken within a 4-week period in the month of May 2019. For inclusion, participants needed to be free from injury, pain, or a medical contraindication to physical activity. All participants signed an informed consent document prior to participation. The study protocol was approved by the local University human ethics committee [UoW HREC(Health)#2017-54], which was conducted in accordance with international ethical standards (Harriss, Macsween, and Atkinson 2017), adhered to The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (*Declaration of Helsinki*), and prepared in accordance with the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) studies guidelines for cross-sectional studies (von Elm et al. 2007).

Study design

This cross-sectional study aimed to establish a dataset from a New Zealand (NZ) population and allowed the exploration of potential differences between the two main ethnic groups (Māori and NZ European) and sex. Data were collected across two sessions, 7 days apart, due to the availability of the participants and physiotherapists. We used a standard test-retest design to establish inter-rater reliability from $n = 17$ participants, with

two qualified physiotherapists with more than 3 years of experience assessing each participant 60 minutes apart. The physiotherapists were blinded to each other's measures to reduce bias. Assessments were conducted in a movement laboratory using medical plinths, standard universal goniometers, cloth metric tapes, stabilisation straps, and a Lafayette Hand-Held Dynamometer (Model 01165, range: 0 – 136.1 kg, resolution: 0.1 kg, Lafayette Instrument Company, IN, USA). We assessed the dominant leg only, defined as the preferred leg to kick a ball. Four of the 89 individuals were left-leg dominant. All test-retest participants were right-leg dominant, with test conducted in a random order between the two therapists.

Similar to previously conducted TIPP studies (Selfe et al. 2013; Selfe et al. 2016), the two qualified physiotherapists collecting the clinical measures undertook a series of comprehensive personalised training sessions. These sessions involved training on the research processes and on how to undertake the standardised clinical tests. Experts in the field led and observed the physiotherapists during these sessions and provided peer feedback. The physiotherapists were given a comprehensive manual outlining standard operating procedures and standardised data recording forms.

Clinical assessments

The TIPP algorithm includes six clinical assessments (Selfe et al. 2016): (1) passive prone knee flexion (rectus femoris length) (Witvrouw et al. 2000); (2) calf flexibility standing method (gastrocnemius length) (Witvrouw et al. 2000); (3) hip abductor strength (Maffiuletti 2010); (4) quadriceps (knee extension) strength (Maffiuletti 2010);

(5) patellar mobility (medial plus lateral glide) using the patellar glide test (Witvrouw et al. 2000; Janssen et al. 2019); and (6) foot pronation using the Foot Posture Index (FPI) (Redmond, Crane, and Menz 2008). The two physiotherapists conducting the clinical assessments attended three training sessions, conducted a series of practice assessments using the Appatella™ mobile application (DigitalLabs@MMU 2019), and were given a manual outlining the assessment procedures. A brief summary of each clinical assessment is provided (**Table 1**) given that these procedures are presented in detail elsewhere (Selfe et al. 2013).

Statistical analysis

All data were examined using Shapiro Wilk tests and found to be suitable for parametric analysis, except the FPI. For the muscle length, strength, and patellar mobility assessments, univariate analyses were performed to explore the effect of ethnicity (Māori, NZ European), sex (males, females), and their interaction on outcomes. Post-hoc pairwise comparisons were performed where significant main effects were observed, and mean differences with 95% confidence intervals [lower, upper] were calculated. For the FPI, Mann-Whitney U tests were performed between ethnic groups and sexes, and the median value with 25th and 75th percentiles was calculated. Data from all 89 and 17 participants were available for analysis from the normative and reliability samples (i.e., no missing data).

Table 1. Overview of the procedures for each of the six clinical assessments used in the TIPPs algorithm.

Name	Method	Equipment	Outcome	Summary of procedure
Rectus femoris length	Passive prone knee bend	Universal goniometer	Knee angle (°)	Patient prone on a plinth. Foot of non-tested leg on the floor with hip at 90°. Knee of tested leg passively flexed maximally (Norkin and White 2016; Witvrouw et al. 2000).
Gastrocnemius length	Calf flexibility standing method	Universal goniometer, tape measure	Ankle angle (°)	Patient faces wall with toes of tested leg 60 cm away and knee straight. Tested leg parallel with and behind non-tested leg (i.e., toes of tested leg level with heel of non-tested leg). Hands on wall for support. Keeping heel on floor, ankle of tested leg flexed maximally (Norkin and White 2016; Witvrouw et al. 2000).
Patellar mobility	Patellar glide (medial – lateral)	Tape measure, felt pen	Total displacement (cm)	Patient supine with quadriceps relaxed and knees straight. Medial force applied to lateral border of patella. Maximal displacement of patella pole marked. Lateral force then applied to medial border of patella. Maximal displacement of patella pole marked. Distance between maximal displacements recorded (Witvrouw et al. 2000; Janssen et al. 2019).
Hip abductor strength (normalised to body weight)	Maximum voluntary isometric strength test	HHD, tape measure	Moment (Nm/kg)	Patient side lying with legs in neutral position. Test leg on top with stabilising strap around test leg and plinth, adjusted to ensure neutral position during effort. HHD under strap. Patient abducts test leg towards ceiling, applying maximum force against HHD for 3 s. Foot remains parallel to ceiling (i.e., no hip rotation). Maximum from 3 tests done 20 s apart used. Moment arm measured as distance from hip-joint axis (i.e., proximal part of greater trochanter) to HHD (Selfe et al. 2016).
Quadriceps strength (normalised to body weight)	Maximum voluntary isometric strength test	HHD, tape measure	Moment (Nm/kg)	Patient seated with knee at 90° over edge of plinth. HHD under strap, perpendicular to tibia, proximal to the malleoli. Patient extends test knee, applying maximum force against HHD, holding sides of plinth for stability. Maximum from 3 tests done 20 s apart used. Moment arm measured as distance from knee-joint axis (i.e., lateral femoral epicondyle) to HHD (Maffiuletti 2010).
Foot pronation	Foot Posture Index	None	Number between -12 to +12	Patient relaxed standing, double limb support. Six items assessed: (1) talar head palpation; (2) lateral malleolar curvature; (3) calcaneal frontal plane position; (4) prominence in the region of the talonavicular joint; (5) congruence of the medial longitudinal arch; (6) abduction/adduction of forefoot on rearfoot. Each item scored from -2 to +2, with positive values indicating greater pronation (Redmond, Crosbie, and Ouvrier 2006).
Notes. Abbreviations: HHD, handheld dynamometer. TIPPs, Targeted Intervention for Patellofemoral Pain studies.				

RESULTS

Participants

The demographic characteristics of the 89 participants included in the normative database (35% Māori, 45% female) and subgroup of 17 participants included in the inter-rater reliability analysis (29% Māori, 29% female) are presented in **Table 2**.

Table 2. Demographic characteristics of participants contributing to the TIPPs normative database ($n = 89$) and inter-rater reliability analysis ($n = 17$).

Characteristic	Normative database		Inter-rater reliability	
	Male ($n = 49$)	Female ($n = 40$)	Male ($n = 12$)	Female ($n = 5$)
Age (years)	19.6 (2.1)	19.4 (1.7)	21.7 (4.3)	22.0 (4.0)
Height (cm)	180.7 (9.4)	167.9 (5.7)	173.3 (12.4)	166.8 (4.3)
Mass (kg)	84.7 (15.4)	67.7 (7.6)	78.4 (14.5)	69.6 (9.2)
Māori (n)	37% (18)	30% (12)	33% (4)	20% (1)

Notes. Values are mean (SD). Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; TIPPs, Targeted Intervention for Patellofemoral Pain studies.

Normative database

The univariate analyses showed significant interactions between sex and ethnic group for rectus femoris length and patellar mobility (**Table 3**). Post-hoc pairwise comparisons indicated significant differences in rectus femoris length in males only, with Māori showing greater muscle tightness (mean difference: 7.0° [2.9, 11.1], $p = 0.001$). For patellar mobility, a significant difference was seen within females only, with the Māori group showing greater patellar mobility (mean difference: 4.4 mm [1.6, 7.3], $p = 0.002$). No other differences were seen between ethnic groups for any of the clinical assessment

measures. In addition, females were significantly weaker than males in both normalised quadriceps (mean difference: -0.36 Nm/kg [-0.52, -0.20], $p < 0.001$) and hip abductor (mean difference: -0.425 Nm/kg [-0.62, -0.23], $p < 0.001$) strength measures, and demonstrated less pronated foot postures on the FPI (median difference: -2.0 points, z-score -2.277, $p = 0.023$), **Table 3**.

Inter-rater reliability

Descriptive statistics from the inter-rater reliability assessments are presented in **Table 4**. There were no significant differences between the testers for the clinical measures, except for the FPI (median difference: 2.0 points, **Table 4**). The muscle strength and rectus femoris length measures showed ‘excellent’ reliability between the two testers, whereas the gastrocnemius length and patellar mobility correlation metrics indicated ‘fair to good’ reliability (**Table 4**). The Bland-Altman plots showed that in all measures, excluding FPI, mean differences between the two testers were small (**Figure 1**). Noteworthy are the relatively wide limits of agreement for all measures, especially the patellar mobility (width of 2.1 cm), rectus femoris length (width of 28°), and gastrocnemius length (width of 14°).

Table 3. Descriptive statistics for each of the six clinical assessments used in the TIPP algorithm presented by sex and ethnic group. Results from univariate analyses, Mann-Whitney U tests, and post-hoc comparisons indicated.

	Females			Males		
	Māori (n = 12)	NZ European (n = 28)	All (n = 40)	Māori (n = 18)	NZ European (n = 31)	All (n = 49)
Rectus femoris length (°)†	132.2 (10.7)	130.0 (6.8)	130.6 (8.1)	123.6 (7.2)†	130.6 (8.1)†	128.0 (7.2)
Gastrocnemius length (°)	19.7 (2.9)	20.5 (4.7)	20.3 (4.2)	21.4 (4.3)	21.3 (3.9)	20.9 (4.1)
Patellar mobility (mm)†	24.1 (3.4)†	19.6 (4.4)†	21.0 (4.6)	19.1 (6.3)	21.7 (4.4)	21.0 (5.0)
Hip abductor strength (Nm/kg)*	1.75 (0.51)	1.49 (0.40)	1.57 (0.44)	2.07 (0.38)	2.03 (0.44)	2.04 (0.41)
Quadriceps strength (Nm/kg)*	1.95 (0.42)	1.99 (0.33)	1.98 (0.36)	2.27 (0.35)	2.34 (0.34)	2.40 (0.36)
Foot Posture Index*	2.00 (0.25, 4.75)	1.00 (-2.00, 4.00)	2.00 (0.00, 4.00)	5.00 (3.00, 6.00)	3.00 (1.00, 6.00)	4.00 (1.00, 6.00)


Notes. Values are mean (SD), except for the Foot Posture Index where values are displayed as median (25th, 75th percentiles). † Significant interaction between ethnic group and sex. * Significant difference between males and females. † Significant difference between Māori and NZ European during post-hoc comparisons. Significance set at $p \leq 0.05$. Abbreviations: NZ, New Zealand; SD, standard deviation.

Table 4. Inter-rater reliability descriptive statistics from 17 participants for each of the six clinical assessments used in the TIPPs algorithm presented for the two testers. Results from paired t-test and related samples Wilcoxon Signed Rank test comparisons between testers indicated.


Variable	Tester 1	Tester 2	Difference	<i>t</i> -statistic	<i>p</i> -value	ICC [lower, upper]
Rectus femoris length (°)	124.8 (7.5)	124.6 (9.1)	0.24 (7.24)	0.134	0.895	0.777 [0.368, 0.920]
Gastrocnemius length (°)	22.0 (3.3)	22.2 (3.4)	-0.12 (4.39)	-0.141	0.890	0.652 [0.001, 0.875]
Patella mobility (mm)	19.5 (6.3)	19.2 (5.4)	0.4 (5.4)	0.270	0.791	0.745 [0.280, 0.909]
Hip abductor strength (Nm/kg)	1.67 (0.51)	1.62 (0.54)	0.05 (0.37)	0.600	0.557	0.867 [0.635, 0.952]
Quadriceps strength (Nm/kg)	2.22 (0.46)	2.14 (0.51)	0.07 (0.36)	0.834	0.416	0.846 [0.581, 0.944]
Foot Posture Index †	5.00 (2.50, 7.50)	3.00 (-1.50, 5.50)	-2.0 (-1.0, 4.0)	-2.299	0.021*	nc


Notes. Values are mean (SD), 95% confidence intervals [lower, upper]. † For the Foot Posture Index, related samples Wilcoxon Signed Rank test was used for comparisons, values are displayed as median (25th, 75th percentiles). * Significant difference between testers. Abbreviations: ICC, intra-class correlation coefficient; nc, not calculated.

(A) Rectus femoris length (°)




(B) Gastrocnemius length (°)





C






Figure 1. Bland and Altman plots with limits of agreements for: (A) rectus femoris length ($^{\circ}$), (B) gastrocnemius length ($^{\circ}$), (C) patellar mobility (mm), (D) hip abductor strength (Nm/kg), and (E) quadriceps strength (Nm/kg).

DISCUSSION

New Zealand university students are culturally and ethnically diverse (Taylor et al. 2017). It was hypothesised that ethnic differences might be seen within this population in the TIPP's subscales, warranting population-specific cut-off scores within the TIPP's algorithm. In multi-ethnic countries, studies have identified differences in knee pain between ethnic groups and sex, highlighting the potential for population-specific risk factors (Veerapen, Wigley, and Valkenburg 2007). Māori versus NZ European males exhibited greater rectus femoris tightness, with Māori versus NZ European females demonstrating greater patellar mobility. Else, there were no main effects of ethnic group in the TIPP's subscales, which would agree with the similar articular mobility (Klemp, Williams, and Stansfield 2002) and grip strength (Teh et al. 2014) levels observed between these two ethnic groups. Using the same TIPP's assessment technique, Gichuru et al. (2020) found differences between UK and Turkish population groups related to foot pronation attributed to a highly supinated Turkish sample. Despite suggestions that healthy Māori may be predisposed to more pronated and flatter feet than NZ Europeans (Gurney et al. 2012) and different plantar loading patterns (Gurney, Kersting, and Rosenbaum 2009) based on Harris mat measures (static foot morphology) and pressure distribution during walking (dynamic foot function), FPI values were similar between groups. The similarities in FPI outcomes between groups might be in part due to the relatively poor reliability we observed in this measure between testers. These results suggest an alternative assessment method to identify foot pronation in the TIPP's and allocate individuals to the "Weak and Pronated" subgroup should be considered, such as Harris mat (Gurney et al. 2012) or midfoot mobility (McPoil et al. 2009) methods.

227

228 There are relatively few studies comparing physical fitness attributes between ethnic
229 groups within a NZ context (Quarrie and Williams 2002; Rush et al. 2007). Māori and
230 NZ European demonstrate different body composition and anthropometric
231 characteristics, with greater fat-free mass and lesser appendicular fat mass in Māori (Rush
232 et al. 2007). The normalisation of isometric strength measures to body mass accounted
233 for some of these distinct anthropometric characteristics, with no difference between
234 ethnic groups seen in normalised quadriceps and hip abductor strength. Females,
235 however, remained weaker compared to males despite normalisation. Males are overall
236 stronger (Courtright et al. 2013) and have proportionally larger muscles (Janssen et al.
237 2000) than females. A previous study on quadriceps muscle strength using a similar
238 methodology to the one presented here found ~20% lower strength in females than males
239 (Weng et al. 2015), consistent with the difference seen here. These findings suggest that
240 normalisation of lower body strength measures to body mass might be insufficient to
241 account for the established sex strength differences (Courtright et al. 2013), warranting
242 stratified algorithms based on sex within the TIPPs algorithm. The separate consideration
243 of sex is important given that the prevalence of PFP is greater in females than males across
244 a wide range of populations, from adolescents to military (Smith et al. 2018).

245

246 There is a paucity of reliability and validity data for a number of tests to assess passive
247 accessory motion of the patella relative to the femur (Willy et al. 2019). The data
248 generated in this study help to address this issue. For the patellar mobility test, the ICC
249 for inter-tester reliability was ‘fair to good’, and the Bland-Altman plots showed that the
250 mean difference between the two testers was small. The two testers recorded a mean

(SD) patellar mobility value of 19.5 (6.3) and 19.2 (5.4) mm. These values are consistent with a previous UK report using identical methodology where the mean patellar mobility of 44 knees in 22 healthy participants was 16.4 (5.3) mm (Janssen et al. 2019). Together, these findings indicate that measuring patellar mobility using the total medial-lateral patellar glide test is reliable and support its inclusion in the TIPPs subgrouping algorithm and use to guide clinical management.

One of the identified clinical challenges in the management of PFP is the identification of hip and thigh muscle weakness using accurate strength measures (Willy et al. 2019). Maximum voluntary isometric quadriceps strength quantified using an isokinetic dynamometer is highly reliable between testers (ICC = 0.97 to 0.98) (Chmielewski et al. 2004), but such a tool is not accessible to the majority of clinicians and researchers. Isometric muscle strength testing using a handheld dynamometer is less expensive and more accessible to practitioners, and has been the most widely used assessment tool for this purpose (Van Cant et al. 2014). The reliability and validity of measures from HHD rely on proper testing methods and stabilisation. HHD with belt stabilisation to assess knee and hip maximal isometric strength has been shown as reliable (Florencio et al. 2019; Hansen et al. 2015; Ishøi, Hölmich, and Thorborg 2019) and valid in comparison to isokinetic (Hansen et al. 2015) HHD without belt stabilisation (Florencio et al. 2019), albeit providing corresponding lower (Hansen et al. 2015) and higher (Florencio et al. 2019) values. Our reliability data align with previous reports, with ICC values of 0.85 to 0.87 and ‘excellent’ correlation between testers. These data suggest that muscle strength testing with an HHD with belt stabilisation is valid and reliable and supports its inclusion in the TIPPs subgrouping algorithm, although this is not necessarily interchangeable with

values collected using isokinetic dynamometers or HHD without stabilisation (Weng et al. 2015).

The rectus femoris (ICC 0.777) and gastrocnemius (ICC 0.652) muscle length tests demonstrated ‘fair to good’ inter-rater reliability based on ICCs. Our inter-tester reliability for rectus femoris appears low compared to other work using similar methodology (ICC 0.91) (Piva et al. 2006), and in the mid-range of the breadth of ICCs values reported for gastrocnemius muscle length testing (ICC 0.29 to 0.92) (Willy et al. 2019; Barton et al. 2010). The variability and differences in results seen here may be related to a number of factors, such as how much therapists ‘push’ at the end of range during rectus femoris length assessment, and on how participants interpret the instructions for both the gastrocnemius and rectus femoris length tests. For example, the perception of ‘pain’ or ‘discomfort’ may vary between individuals, and affect the outcomes of length assessments. These are issues that future studies using these assessments need to be aware of and determine if these can be mitigated through training. For the TIPP algorithm, the relatively lower reliability of the gastrocnemius muscle length test with a ‘fair to good’ inter-rater reliability compared to the strength measures with ‘excellent’ correlations indicates that the sensitivity to detect between the “Weak and Tight” and “Weak and Pronated” is not as robust as detecting between the “Strong” and both “Weak” groups.

The FPI is the only test that relies on subjective clinical observations in the TIPP, with the remainder resulting in a specific objective measurement. The FPI is an aggregate of 6 separate observations, which is reported to help overcome this subjectivity. Despite demonstrated validity against three-dimensional (Patel et al. 2020) and radiographic

(Hegazy et al. 2020) imaging, our results indicate a mean difference in FPI scores of around 2 points between testers, which suggest that the difference we observed in FPI between sex is within tester error. Cornwall et al. (2008) found similar between-tester differences and cautioned against using the FPI. In clinical practice, this variation in FPI might influence foot posture categorisation, as well as orthotic prescription and management. Although our two examiners were qualified physiotherapists with over 3 years of experience and were suitably trained in the use of the FPI, their relative inexperience with the FPI likely had a negative impact on the inter-tester reliability outcome (Cornwall et al. 2008). Preliminary evidence had suggested that midfoot mobility measures may predict PFP patients who respond favourably to foot orthoses (Vicenzino et al. 2010; Matthews et al. 2017; Mills et al. 2012), which could be a potential alternative to the FPI in the TIPPs. One method to calculate midfoot mobility involves recording the change in midfoot width measured at 50% of the total foot length between non-weight bearing and weight bearing. ‘Excellent’ inter-rater ($ICC > 0.83$) and intra-rater ($ICC > 0.97$) reliability has been reported for this measure (McPoil et al. 2009). A recent randomised clinical trial, however, reported no association between midfoot mobility and treatment outcomes from using foot orthoses versus hip exercise (Matthews et al. 2020), indicating that perhaps foot width measure might not necessarily be a better alternative to the FPI for subgroup allocation via the TIPPs algorithm.

We acknowledge that our sample examined a targeted subset of a NZ university population. These undergraduate students were all studying in the area of Health, Sport and Human Performance, and limit the external validity of our findings to other populations. It is reasonable to assume that these students may have additional knowledge

and a vested interest in maintaining a healthy lifestyle, potentially biasing their ‘normative’ values. We purposefully excluded individuals with PFP as the goal was to establish a normative baseline in individuals who not presented with pain. It is possible that differences between Māori and NZ European patients with PFP exist, but requires further research. In addition, the inter-rater reliability of measures was conducted 60-minutes apart in a non-injured population. It is possible that familiarisation or learning might have influenced the reliability outcomes, and worth highlighting that the reliability of measures in non-injured populations does not ensure that tests are reliable in presence of injury.

CONCLUSIONS

Overall, our study suggests that sex is an important factor worthy of further consideration within the TIPPs algorithm and subgrouping approaches, more so than ethnicity, although it is noteworthy that Māori males showed tighter rectus femoris and Māori females demonstrated greater patellar mobility. Based on this information, we recommend that a lower strength threshold be applied for the classification of females into the “strong” group for the allocation of individuals with PFP into subgroups. Overall, the different tests used to classify subgroups within TIPPs showed acceptable reliability, except for the FPI. This clinical measure relies on subjective interpretations to a greater extent than the others, and correspondingly was less reliable. This study provides direction to improve TIPPs, and warrants a sex-based stratified approach to PFP subgrouping. Ethnic differences have been reported to exist in patients presenting with musculoskeletal pain,

including to the knee (Veerapen, Wigley, and Valkenburg 2007); therefore studies examining ethnic differences within PFP cohorts should be further explored.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the participants for their time and voluntary participation in this study. The authors would also like to acknowledge Versus Arthritis for supporting the Appatella™ development in previous grant funding applications (POC21427).

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None.

REFERENCES

- Barton, C. J., D. Bonanno, P. Levinger, and H. B. Menz. 2010. 'Foot and ankle characteristics in patellofemoral pain syndrome: A case control and reliability study', *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, 40: 286-96.
- Brennan, G. P., J. M. Fritz, S. J. Hunter, A. Thackeray, A. Delitto, and R. E. Erhard. 2006. 'Identifying subgroups of patients with acute/subacute "nonspecific" low back pain: Results of a randomized clinical trial', *Spine*, 31: 623-31.
- Campbell, Daniel. 2013. 'Normative Data.' in Fred R. Volkmar (ed.), *Encyclopedia of Autism Spectrum Disorders* (Springer New York: New York, NY).

370 Chmielewski, T. L., S. Stackhouse, M. J. Axe, and L. Snyder-Mackler. 2004. 'A
371 prospective analysis of incidence and severity of quadriceps inhibition in a
372 consecutive sample of 100 patients with complete acute anterior cruciate
373 ligament rupture', *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*, 22: 925-30.

374 Collins, Natalie J, Christian J Barton, Marienke van Middelkoop, Michael J Callaghan,
375 Michael Skovdal Rathleff, Bill T Vicenzino, Irene S Davis, Christopher M
376 Powers, Erin M Macri, Harvi F Hart, Danilo de Oliveira Silva, and Kay M
377 Crossley. 2018. '2018 Consensus statement on exercise therapy and physical
378 interventions (orthoses, taping and manual therapy) to treat patellofemoral pain:
379 recommendations from the 5th International Patellofemoral Pain Research
380 Retreat, Gold Coast, Australia, 2017', *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 52:
381 1170-78.

382 Cornwall, Mark W., Thomas G. McPoil, Michael Lebec, Bill Vicenzino, and Jodi
383 Wilson. 2008. 'Reliability of the Modified Foot Posture Index', *Journal of the
384 American Podiatric Medical Association*, 98: 7-13.

385 Council, Medical Research. 2018. "The MRC framework for the development, design
386 and analysis of stratified medicine research." In, 1-57. Swindon, UK.

387 Courtright, S. H., B. W. McCormick, B. E. Postlethwaite, C. J. Reeves, and M. K.
388 Mount. 2013. 'A meta-analysis of sex differences in physical ability: revised
389 estimates and strategies for reducing differences in selection contexts', *Journal
390 of Applied Psychology*, 98: 623-41.

391 DigitalLabs@MMU. 2019. 'Appatella', Accessed 28 June 2019.
392 <https://digitallabs.mmu.ac.uk/what-we-do/products/appatella/>.

393 Florencio, L. L., J. Martins, M. R. B. da Silva, J. R. da Silva, G. L. Bellizzi, and D.
394 Bevilaqua-Grossi. 2019. 'Knee and hip strength measurements obtained by a
395 hand-held dynamometer stabilized by a belt and an examiner demonstrate
396 parallel reliability but not agreement', *Physical Therapy in Sport*, 38: 115-22.

397 Foster, Nadine E., Krysia S. Dziedzic, Danielle A. W. M. van der Windt, Julie M. Fritz,
398 and Elaine M. Hay. 2009. 'Research priorities for non-pharmacological therapies
399 for common musculoskeletal problems: nationally and internationally agreed
400 recommendations', *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, 10: 3.

401 Gichuru, Phillip , Jessie Janssen, Hayri Yosmaoglu, James Selfe, Paola Dey, and Emel
402 Sonmezer. 2020. 'Validation of presence of three main subgroups identified
403 within a UK patellofemoral pain population (PFP) using a Turkish data set',
404 *Physiotherapy*, 107: e140-e41.

405 Gurney, J. K., C. Kuch, D. Rosenbaum, and U. G. Kersting. 2012. 'The Māori foot
406 exhibits differences in plantar loading and midfoot morphology to the Caucasian
407 foot', *Gait & Posture*, 36: 157-9.

408 Gurney, Jason K., Uwe G. Kersting, and Dieter Rosenbaum. 2009. 'Dynamic foot
409 function and morphology in elite rugby league athletes of different ethnicity',
410 *Applied Ergonomics*, 40: 554-59.

411 Hansen, E. M., C. N. McCartney, R. S. Sweeney, M. R. Palimenio, and T. L. Grindstaff.
412 2015. 'Hand-held dynamometer positioning impacts discomfort during
413 quadriceps strength testing: A validity and reliability study', *International*
414 *Journal of Sports Physical Therapy*, 10: 62-8.

415 Harriss, D. J., A. Macsween, and G. Atkinson. 2017. 'Standards for ethics in sport and
416 exercise science research: 2018 update', *International Journal of Sports*
417 *Medicine*, 38: 1126-31.

418 Hegazy, F. A., E. A. Aboelnasr, Y. Salem, and A. A. Zaghloul. 2020. 'Validity and
419 diagnostic accuracy of foot posture Index-6 using radiographic findings as the
420 gold standard to determine paediatric flexible flatfoot between ages of 6-18
421 years: A cross-sectional study', *Musculoskeletal Science and Practice*, 46:
422 102107.

423 Herrington, L., S. Malloy, and J. Richards. 2005. 'The effect of patella taping on vastus
424 medialis oblique and vastus lateralis EMG activity and knee kinematic variables
425 during stair descent', *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*, 15: 604-7.

426 Hill, J. C., D. G. Whitehurst, M. Lewis, S. Bryan, K. M. Dunn, N. E. Foster, K.
427 Konstantinou, C. J. Main, E. Mason, S. Somerville, G. Sowden, K. Vohora, and
428 E. M. Hay. 2011. 'Comparison of stratified primary care management for low
429 back pain with current best practice (STarT Back): a randomised controlled
430 trial', *Lancet*, 378: 1560-71.

431 Hingorani, A. D., D. A. Windt, R. D. Riley, K. Abrams, K. G. Moons, E. W.
432 Steyerberg, S. Schroter, W. Sauerbrei, D. G. Altman, and H. Hemingway.
433 2013a. 'Prognosis research strategy (PROGRESS) 4: Stratified medicine
434 research', *British Medical Journal*, 346: e5793.

435 Hingorani, Aroon D, Daniëlle A van der Windt, Richard D Riley, Keith Abrams, Karel
436 G M Moons, Ewout W Steyerberg, Sara Schroter, Willi Sauerbrei, Douglas G
437 Altman, and Harry Hemingway. 2013b. 'Prognosis research strategy

438 (PROGRESS) 4: Stratified medicine research', *BMJ : British Medical Journal*,
439 346: e5793.

440 Ishøi, L., P. Hölmich, and K. Thorborg. 2019. 'Measures of hip muscle strength and rate
441 of force development using a fixated handheld dynamometer: Intra-tester intra-
442 day reliability of a clinical set-up', *International Journal of Sports Physical
443 Therapy*, 14: 715-23.

444 Janssen, Ian, Steven B. Heymsfield, ZiMian Wang, and Robert Ross. 2000. 'Skeletal
445 muscle mass and distribution in 468 men and women aged 18-88 yr', *Journal of
446 Applied Physiology*, 89: 81-8.

447 Janssen, J. 2017. 'Concepts of Patellofemoral Pain.' in J. Selfe, J. Janssen and M.
448 Callaghan (eds.), *Patellofemoral Pain: An Evidence-Based Clinical Guide*
449 (Nova Science Publishers: Hauppauge, NY).

450 Janssen, Jessie, Paola Dey, Canpolat Celik, Jim Richards, and James Selfe. 2019. 'How
451 useful is a single measurement of patellar mobility in the assessment of patients
452 with patellofemoral pain?', *Physiotherapy Practice and Research*, 40: 29-35.

453 Klemp, P, SM Williams, and SA Stansfield. 2002. 'Articular mobility in Maori and
454 European New Zealanders', *Rheumatology*, 41: 554-57.

455 Lack, S., B. Neal, D. De Oliveira Silva, and C. Barton. 2018. 'How to manage
456 patellofemoral pain - Understanding the multifactorial nature and treatment
457 options', *Physical Therapy in Sport*, 32: 155-66.

458 Maffiuletti, N. A. 2010. 'Assessment of hip and knee muscle function in orthopaedic
459 practice and research', *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. American
460 Volume*, 92: 220-9.

461 Matheson, G. J. 2019. 'We need to talk about reliability: making better use of test-retest
462 studies for study design and interpretation', *PeerJ*, 7: e6918.

463 Matthews, M., M. S. Rathleff, A. Claus, T. McPoil, R. Nee, K. Crossley, and B.
464 Vicenzino. 2017. 'Can we predict the outcome for people with patellofemoral
465 pain? A systematic review on prognostic factors and treatment effect modifiers',
466 *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 51: 1650-60.

467 Matthews, Mark, Michael Skovdal Rathleff, Andrew Claus, Tom McPoil, Robert Nee,
468 Kay M Crossley, Jessica Kasza, and Bill T Vicenzino. 2020. 'Does foot mobility
469 affect the outcome in the management of patellofemoral pain with foot orthoses
470 versus hip exercises? A randomised clinical trial', *British Journal of Sports*
471 *Medicine*, Online First

472 McPoil, T. G., B. Vicenzino, M. W. Cornwall, N. Collins, and M. Warren. 2009.
473 'Reliability and normative values for the foot mobility magnitude: a composite
474 measure of vertical and medial-lateral mobility of the midfoot', *Journal of Foot*
475 *and Ankle Research*, 2: 6.

476 Mills, K., P. Blanch, P. Dev, M. Martin, and B. Vicenzino. 2012. 'A randomised control
477 trial of short term efficacy of in-shoe foot orthoses compared with a wait and see
478 policy for anterior knee pain and the role of foot mobility', *British Journal of*
479 *Sports Medicine*, 46: 247-52.

480 Morris, Z. S., S. Wooding, and J. Grant. 2011. 'The answer is 17 years, what is the
481 question: understanding time lags in translational research', *Journal of the Royal*
482 *Society of Medicine*, 104: 510-20.

483 Norkin, C.C., and D.J. White. 2016. *Measurement Of Joint Motion: A Guide To*
484 *Goniometry* (F.A. Davis Company: Philadelphia, PA).

485 Patel, S., A. Bernasconi, J. Thornton, O. Buraimoh, N. P. Cullen, M. J. Welck, and D.
 486 Singh. 2020. 'Relationship between foot posture index and weight bearing
 487 computed tomography 3D biometrics to define foot alignment', *Gait & Posture*,
 488 80: 143-47.

489 Pinzone, O., M. H. Schwartz, P. Thomason, and R. Baker. 2014. 'The comparison of
 490 normative reference data from different gait analysis services', *Gait & Posture*,
 491 40: 286-90.

492 Piva, Sara, Kelley Fitzgerald, James Irrgang, Scott Jones, Benjamin Hando, David
 493 Browder, and John Childs. 2006. 'Reliability of measures of impairments
 494 associated with patellofemoral pain syndrome', *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*,
 495 7: 33.

496 Quarrie, K, and S Williams. 2002. 'Factors associated with pre-season fitness attributes
 497 of rugby players.' in W Spinks, T Reilly and A Murphy (eds.), *Science and*
 498 *Football IV* (Routledge: New York, NY).

499 Redmond, A. C., J. Crosbie, and R. A. Ouvrier. 2006. 'Development and validation of a
 500 novel rating system for scoring standing foot posture: The Foot Posture Index',
 501 *Clinical Biomechanics*, 21: 89 - 98.

502 Redmond, Anthony C., Yvonne Z. Crane, and Hylton B. Menz. 2008. 'Normative values
 503 for the Foot Posture Index', *Journal of Foot and Ankle Research*, 1: 6.

504 Rush, E. C., J. H. Goedecke, C. Jennings, L. Micklesfield, L. Dugas, E. V. Lambert, and
 505 L. D. Plank. 2007. 'BMI, fat and muscle differences in urban women of five
 506 ethnicities from two countries', *International Journal of Obesity*, 31: 1232-39.

507 Saltychev, M., R. A. Dutton, K. Laimi, G. S. Beaupre, P. Virolainen, and M.
 508 Fredericson. 2018. 'Effectiveness of conservative treatment for patellofemoral

509 pain syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis', *Journal of*
510 *Rehabilitation Medicine*, 8: 393-401.

511 Selfe, J., J. Janssen, M. Callaghan, E. Witvrouw, C. Sutton, J. Richards, M. Stokes, D.
512 Martin, J. Dixon, R. Hogarth, V. Baltzopoulos, E. Ritchie, N. Arden, and P.
513 Dey. 2016. 'Are there three main subgroups within the patellofemoral pain
514 population? A detailed characterisation study of 127 patients to help develop
515 targeted intervention (TIPPs)', *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 50: 873-80.

516 Selfe, James, Michael Callaghan, Erik Witvrouw, James Richards, Maria Paola Dey,
517 Chris Sutton, John Dixon, Denis Martin, Maria Stokes, Jessie Janssen, Elizabeth
518 Ritchie, and David Turner. 2013. 'Targeted interventions for patellofemoral pain
519 syndrome (TIPPS): Classification of clinical subgroups', *BMJ Open*, 3: e003795.

520 Selfe, James, Jessie Janssen, Benjamin Drew, and Paola Dey. 2018. 'Anterior knee pain
521 subgroups: The first step towards a personalized treatment', *Annals of Joint*, 3:
522 1-9.

523 Smith, B. E., J. Selfe, D. Thacker, P. Hendrick, M. Bateman, F. Moffatt, M. S. Rathleff,
524 T. O. Smith, and P. Logan. 2018. 'Incidence and prevalence of patellofemoral
525 pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis', *PLOS ONE*, 13: e0190892.

526 Smith, Benjamin E., Paul Hendrick, Marcus Bateman, Fiona Moffatt, Michael Skovdal
527 Rathleff, James Selfe, Toby O. Smith, and Pip Logan. 2017. 'Current
528 management strategies for patellofemoral pain: An online survey of 99
529 practising UK physiotherapists', *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, 18: 181.

530 Taylor, Lynne, Erik Brogt, Ursula Cheer, Natalie Baird, John Caldwell, and Debra
531 Wilson. 2017. 'Ethnicity and engagement in first-year New Zealand law
532 programmes', *Higher Education Research and Development*, 36: 1047-60.

533 Teh, R., N. Kerse, M. Kepa, R. N. Doughty, S. Moyes, J. Wiles, C. Wham, K. Hayman,
 534 T. Wilkinson, M. Connolly, C. Mace, and L. Dyll. 2014. 'Self-rated health,
 535 health-related behaviours and medical conditions of Maori and non-Maori in
 536 advanced age: LiLACS NZ', *The New Zealand medical journal*, 127: 13-29.

537 Van Cant, J., C. Pineux, L. Pitance, and V. Feipel. 2014. 'Hip muscle strength and
 538 endurance in females with patellofemoral pain: A systematic review with meta-
 539 analysis', *International Journal of Sports Physical Therapy*, 9: 564-82.

540 Veerapen, K., R. D. Wigley, and H. Valkenburg. 2007. 'Musculoskeletal pain in
 541 Malaysia: a COPCORD survey', *The Journal of rheumatology*, 34: 207-13.

542 Vicenzino, B., N. Collins, J. Cleland, and T. McPoil. 2010. 'A clinical prediction rule
 543 for identifying patients with patellofemoral pain who are likely to benefit from
 544 foot orthoses: a preliminary determination', *British Journal of Sports Medicine*,
 545 44: 862-6.

546 von Elm, Erik, Douglas G. Altman, Matthias Egger, Stuart J. Pocock, Peter C.
 547 Gøtzsche, Jan P. Vandenbroucke, and Strobe Initiative for the. 2007. 'The
 548 strengthening the Reporting of observational studies in epidemiology
 549 (STROBE) statement: Guidelines for reporting observational studies', *PLoS*
 550 *Medicine*, 4: e296.

551 Weng, Philipp, Jessie Janssen, Jim D. Richards, and James Selfe. 2015. 'Validity of two
 552 clinical knee strength assessments compared to the reference standard',
 553 *International Journal of Physiotherapy and Research*, 3: 1264-70.

554 Willy, Richard W., Lisa T. Hoglund, Christian J. Barton, Lori A. Bolgla, David A.
 555 Scalzitti, David S. Logerstedt, Andrew D. Lynch, Lynn Snyder-Mackler, and
 556 Christine M. McDonough. 2019. 'Clinical practice guidelines linked to the

557 international classification of functioning, disability and health from the
558 Academy of Orthopaedic Physical Therapy of the American Physical Therapy
559 Association', *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, 49: CPG1-
560 CPG95.

561 Witvrouw, E., R. Lysens, J. Bellemans, D. Cambier, and G. Vanderstraeten. 2000.
562 'Intrinsic risk factors for the development of anterior knee pain in an athletic
563 population. A two-year prospective study', *The American Journal of Sports*
564 *Medicine*, 28: 480-9.

565 Yosmaoğlu, H. B., J. Selfe, E. Sonmezer, E. Sahin İ, SÇ Duygu, M. Acar Ozkoslu, J.
566 Richards, and J. Janssen. 2020. 'Targeted treatment protocol in patellofemoral
567 Pain: Does treatment designed according to subgroups improve clinical
568 outcomes in patients unresponsive to multimodal treatment?', *Sports Health*, 12:
569 170-80.

570 Youdas, J. W., D. A. Krause, J. H. Hollman, W. S. Harmsen, and E. Laskowski. 2005.
571 'The influence of gender and age on hamstring muscle length in healthy adults',
572 *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, 35: 246-52.