

Upper-Body Isometric Strength Qualities are Associated with Faster High-Intensity Running Performances in Professional Soccer Players: A Cross-Sectional Study

Abstract

Despite being highlighted as potentially important, the relationship between greater upper body (UB) strength and lower body-dominant high-intensity actions (HIA) in professional soccer players remains unknown. The aim of this study was to examine the associations between relative UB isometric strength qualities and HIA [i.e., sprinting, repeated sprint ability (RSA), change of direction (COD), vertical jumping] while controlling for leg power influence in 63 male professional players. Statistical significance was set at $p \leq 0.05$. Results identified that handgrip strength (HGS), particularly rate of force development (RFD), demonstrated large significant correlations with faster 30-m sprint ($r \approx -0.52$, $p < 0.001$) and RSA ($r \approx -0.55$, $p < 0.001$). UB maximal strength capacity (push-pull composite score) exhibited moderate significant correlations with faster RSA ($r = -0.36$, $p = 0.004$) and COD 505 test ($r = -0.30$, $p = 0.019$). Hierarchical regression analysis showed that, after controlling for leg power, UB strength accounted for an additional 12.1% of the variance in RSA performance ($\Delta R^2 = 0.121$, $p = 0.004$), while HGS added a further 12.4% ($\Delta R^2 = 0.124$, $p = 0.002$), with the full model explaining 29.3% of total variance. In conclusion, greater relative UB strength appears to be linked to superior high-intensity running performances in professional soccer players, especially during RSA tasks. Future research should investigate the effects of UB strength training through longitudinal interventions and further explore the role of UB-derived RFD metrics for HIA while accounting for horizontal lower-body force-generating capacities.

Key words: handgrip strength, sprinting, acceleration, repeated sprint ability, change of direction, speed

INTRODUCTION

Soccer is a sport in which linear sprinting speed, jumping, change of direction (COD), and repeated sprint ability (RSA) are essential contributors to success (31,37). The rising tactical demands and fixture congestion in modern soccer make enhancing and maintaining the capacity to repeat these specific high-intensity actions (HIA) a priority for training practices (36). Numerous studies have shown that leg muscle strength can enhance HIA performances (38,76,95,112). However, there is a paucity of research investigating how various upper body (UB) strength qualities could influence these actions (21), and no studies have investigated this link specifically for soccer players. That said, soccer strength and conditioning coaches have expressed a strong belief that UB strength plays an important role in supporting the performance of HIA in professional soccer players (22).

The potential for a stronger and more powerful UB to improve HIA may lie in its ability to augment kinetic energy transfer and impulse generation (49,70,81,89,111). In jumping, arm swing contributes to performance by increasing the center of mass velocity through additional work performed by the shoulder and trunk extensors (14,53). This action enhances force production around the hip joint and improves stretch-shortening cycle utilization via greater downward momentum and more effective proximal-to-distal sequencing for generating force (i.e., reduced antagonist co-contraction) (18,34). In sprinting, the contribution of the upper limbs appears more indirect, as opposing arm movements do not directly increase forward momentum during the upward running phases (33,45). During acceleration, however, forward body lean alters the orientation of force application, enabling the vertical component of arm swing to contribute to horizontal propulsion through a forward shift of the center of mass (4). In this phase, coordinated rapid actions in shoulder and humerothoracic joints (71,94) assist lower-limb force production and sprint acceleration (94). In COD performance, UB musculature may contribute by supporting force transmission and trunk control across key movement sub-phases (i.e., acceleration, deceleration, and re-acceleration), where rapid upper limb actions and trunk positioning assist lower body in maintaining balance and initiating momentum in the desired direction (32,39). These effects are likely mediated by coordinated trunk–pelvic interactions and may be influenced by neuromuscular adaptations such as enhanced rate of force development (RFD) and central neural drive (43,60), which could facilitate whole-body power expression (25).

The extent to which UB strength contributes to HIA performances remains unclear. Research has showed moderate-to-large positive associations between UB strength measured by one-repetition maximum (1-RM) bench press and sprinting speed (i.e., 30-m flying times) in Australian footballers (116), as well as

improved RSA in various athletes (6,27,47), although the relationship to accelerative abilities and jumping appeared insignificant (47,109,116). Soccer players frequently execute HIA in rapid succession (31,78), and evidenced potential of nonspecific UB strength to mitigate fatigue associated with these actions (6,27,47) could become important for overall on-field performance. Notably, most studies have predominantly utilized dynamic 1-RM bench press testing (6,47,116), neglecting other muscle groups and critical strength qualities like the RFD, which may be more relevant for the rapid force-generating requirements of HIA (52,60,65,93). Furthermore, pulling strength may represent a more specific contributor than pushing patterns due to the activation of the latissimus dorsi and posterior deltoid muscles, which exhibit high activation during submaximal running (44) and predominantly consist of fast-twitch muscle fibers optimized for powerful, explosive movements (72). Their positive contribution to whole-body displacements might be mediated through powerful contractions of trunk extensors during activities such as sprinting (4) and jumping (13,74). This link is explained by the posterior oblique sling system involving the interaction between latissimus dorsi and the opposite gluteus maximus (90,108), optimizing power transfer between the upper and lower extremities (44,72,90,108).

Isometric strength testing offers a practical and sensitive alternative for assessing maximal and explosive strength qualities, demonstrating strong correlations with dynamic athletic performance including jumping (35), sprinting (101,115), COD (63,100) and kayaking (105). Furthermore, UB strength assessments in an isometric regime have demonstrated high validity when compared to dynamic UB strength tests (7,58,91), thereby eliminating potential confounding variables associated with technique and range of motion. Likewise, the reliability of UB isometric push and pull tests that measure peak force have been consistently reported as excellent across multiple studies (1–3,7,58,75,77,79,114). Similarly, handgrip strength (HGS) assessment is established as a reliable and practical isometric tool (9,65) frequently used as a proxy for general UB strength (29,82,99). Force-generating metrics obtained from HGS tests, including maximal force and RFD, have been found to significantly correlate with HIA, including jumping and reactive COD (i.e., agility) performances (64,65,74,84,88). For example, Schulz et al. (88) investigated the HGS of elite youth soccer players and observed a strong association with sprinting ($r = -0.62$ to 0.73) and vertical jumping ability ($r = 0.69$ to 0.75) (88). This relationship may be explained by the emerging concept of vertical strength transfer, whereby strength training for one body region can enhance performance in another body region through neurophysiological adaptations, including increased central neural drive, and systemic circulating factors that facilitate anabolic adaptations at the whole-body level (25).

The potential for UB strength to enhance performance of HIA has been highlighted in a scoping review (21). This review emphasized methodological shortcomings of current research such as the predominant use of dynamic 1-RM bench press tests, inconsistent body mass standardization, and a neglect of UB explosive strength qualities such as the RFD. The authors suggested for future studies to address these gaps, thereby highlighting that UB strength could be particularly important for HIA in sports such as soccer, where players appear to lack significant neuromuscular adaptations from UB strength training plans (42,83). From a strength and conditioning perspective, establishing whether UB strength is associated with HIA represents an important preliminary step before longitudinal intervention-based studies are recommended, to inform more targeted training strategies and monitoring approaches in professional players. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate associations between UB strength qualities and HIA in professional male soccer players. We hypothesized that UB strength would exhibit moderate positive influence on HIA performances with stronger relationships expected for the measures of explosive strength (i.e., RFD).

METHODS

Experimental Approach to the Problem

A cross-sectional study design was used to examine the relationship between isometric UB strength qualities and HIA performances in professional male soccer players. Testing was divided into two parts on the same day. In the first part, participants performed isometric seated push and pull strength tests followed by the maximal HGS test. The second part assessed performance of HIA in the following order: 1) maximal concentric-only vertical squat jump (SJ) with restricted arms, 2) maximal vertical countermovement jump (CMJ) and free arms, 3) 505 COD test, and 4) 30-m RSA test. To minimize potential performance decrements associated with fatigue, participants were instructed to abstain from strenuous physical activity for at least 48 hours prior to testing, and researchers coordinated with the teams' strength and conditioning coaches to ensure that no intense training sessions occurred within this period.

Subjects

Sixty-three male professional soccer players (age: 22 ± 3.2 years; height = 181 ± 6.3 cm; body mass = 75 ± 7 kg) from three First Serbian League (2nd tier) clubs participated in this study. Participants were all outfield players aged 18 years or older (range 18–25), with no injuries and fully engaged in training. Goalkeepers

were excluded due to distinct movement patterns (51). Before data collection, a power analysis (HHU, Düsseldorf, Germany) was conducted for a correlation and regression model (16,46,54). It was determined that at least 23 participants for correlation and 18 participants for regression (3 predictors) were required to achieve a statistical power of 0.80 with an alpha level of 0.05. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board/Ethics Committee of the University of Lancashire (HEALTH 0330 Phase_5) and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants were informed of the risks and benefits of the study prior to data collection and provided written informed consent in accordance with institutional guidelines.

Procedures

Participants were familiarized with all testing protocols immediately before testing to ensure proper execution and maximal effort. This included familiarization with the isometric push and pull exercises, during which they performed two submaximal practice efforts (approximately 50% and 75% of perceived maximum (58)) for each movement, focusing on correct posture and bracing, followed by a single maximal effort to establish a movement-specific reference. A rest period of 15 minutes was provided between this final familiarization trial and the commencement of the experimental trials to ensure full recovery.

The testing occurred in an indoor athletic arena on a rubber track surface to ensure all conditions were the same for each participant thereby avoiding potential interference by external weather conditions. The subjects were accustomed to the field testing protocols, as they were part of the clubs' routine performance assessments. Before data collection, each participant's age, height, and body mass were recorded. Height was measured barefoot using a portable stadiometer (Seca 213, Seca GmbH & Co. KG., Hamburg, Germany), and body mass with a body composition analyzer (InBody 270, Biospace Co., Ltd., Seoul, Republic of Korea). Participants first completed the UB isometric strength assessments before proceeding to the HIA tests. This consisted of two trials on seated UB push and pull tests using a custom rig with an attached dynamometer (DynaMo Max, VALD, Queensland, Australia) sampled at 1,200 Hz (23). Handgrip force data were collected using a wireless K-Grip dynamometer (Kinvent Biomechanics, Montpellier, France; 1,000 Hz) with data visualized and exported via the Kinvent application (version 2.23.0) (24).

Testing of HIA progressed from the least to the most fatiguing, starting with the vertical jumps, followed by the 505 test, and concluding with RSA. Prior to all testing, participants completed a standardized RAMP-based warm-up (i.e., raise, activate, mobilize, potentiate), starting with five minutes of jogging, followed

by five minutes of dynamic activities such as high knees and jumping jacks, and five minutes of mobility exercises (e.g., calf walks, lunges). Subsequently, they progressed to five minutes of plyometric drills such as single-leg hops and linear/lateral runs over 20 m with increasing intensity. This warm-up type has been shown to effectively prepare for sprinting and agility-based actions in soccer players (106), and has been previously used in similar testing studies (54,56). If the wait time was longer than 15 min, participants engaged in a two-minute active re-warm-up involving brief sprints and jumps 2-3 min before their turn (92). They rotated by the numbers assigned beforehand, ensuring recovery periods of at least three minutes between tests (54).

Isometric upper body push and pull strength tests

The setting for the isometric UB push and pull test was identical to the formerly described protocol (Figure 1) (23). It has been proposed that the optimal joint angle for accurately assessing maximal isometric push and pull strength is the one where peak force is generated at 90° (59,69,91). Furthermore, both the isometric bench press and bench pull tests have demonstrated high reliability in previous studies for measuring peak force at 90° angles (1,23,58,69,114). Each player therefore performed two trials with three seconds of maximal effort in both the push and pull tests, respectively, with the elbow angles set at 90°. At least two minutes of rest was allowed between attempts (58). Peak force, defined as the maximum force value achieved (F_{max}), was chosen based on its previously demonstrated high test–retest reliability using the same instrument ($ICC = 0.97–0.99$; $CV < 6\%$) (23).

<Insert Figure 1 here>

Force signals were baseline-corrected using the mean force over a 500 ms pre-initiation period (23). Contraction onset was defined as the first sample exceeding 30 N above baseline (23). No additional filtering was applied to the force-time data (23).

To derive a single indicator of UB strength capacity (UBSC), peak force values from the UB push and UB pull tests were averaged to form a composite score. This UBSC variable was used in all subsequent analyses alongside the individual push and pull metrics, providing an overall representation of UB strength that was independent of the intended movement pattern.

Handgrip strength test

The setting for the HGS test was identical to the formerly described protocol (Figure 2) (24). Each player performed two maximal three-second trials with one minute rest between attempts (58). Only variables previously shown to demonstrate acceptable test-retest reliability from the same instrument (ICC = 0.88–0.99; CV = 4–14%) (24) were extracted:

- Peak Force (N), defined as the maximum force value achieved (F_{max}).
- Time-specific RFD values (N/s^{-1}):
 - RFD_{0-150}
 - RFD_{0-200}

<Insert Figure 2 here>

Force-time data were processed within the Kinvent software environment using a low-pass Butterworth filter. The cutoff frequency was scaled relative to the device sampling frequency as part of the software's internal signal-processing procedure (24). Contraction onset was subsequently identified automatically from the processed force-time signal, after which time-specific RFD variables were extracted over fixed epochs relative to contraction onset (RFD_{0-150} and RFD_{0-200}).

As with the push and pull scores, left- and right-hand values for all handgrip force metrics (i.e., F_{max} , RFD_{0-150} , RFD_{0-200}) were averaged to create bilateral measures for the subsequent analyses. Hence, maximal force from HGS tests is presented as (bilateral) handgrip strength capacity (HGSC).

Force-time data and allometric scaling

The selected force-time data were chosen for their relationship to dynamic athletic performance (60,107), offering a greater likelihood of higher reliability compared to earlier time windows (1,20,58,69,114). Previously, both UB push and UB pull tests using the same instrument exhibited excellent reliability for peak force (ICC = 0.97–0.99; CV < 6%) (23). Similarly, the HGS assessment with the Kinvent device demonstrated excellent reliability for peak force (ICC > 0.97; CV < 6%) and good-to-excellent reliability for later-phase RFD measures (ICC = 0.88–0.99; CV = 4–14%) (24), justifying their selection as the most robust and reliable metrics for correlation analyses. Importantly, all force variables were normalized to body mass using allometric scaling ($F/Body\ Mass^{0.67}$), in accordance with Jaric's recommendations (48), reflecting the non-linear relationship between body size and force production.

This approach is considered appropriate for force-based measures obtained via dynamometry, as opposed to ratio scaling, which is more suitable for torque-based variables (48). While it is recognized that population-specific exponents may vary (98), a standardized exponent was applied to ensure consistency with established theoretical models and previous literature. This method has been utilized in research examining isometric muscle strength in elite athletes (10).

High-intensity action tests

Vertical jumps were performed in two different ways. First, to account for the leg muscle contribution to HIA, an arm-restricted concentric-only SJ was used to isolate vertical leg power from any influence of the arm swing-facilitated back extension muscle contribution (13,21). Participants were required to establish their optimal squat depth, and then perform three jumps with 1-min rest between trials (Figure 3a). Secondly, three maximal CMJs with arm swing were performed from an extended leg position (62), with participants instructed to jump as high as possible with one-min rest between trials (Figure 3b) (62). All trials were video-recorded to verify correct technique. For both vertical jumps, the highest jump height (cm) and peak power (W) were measured using force plates (K-Deltas, Kinvent, Montpellier, France) sampling at 1,000 Hz.

<Insert Figure 3 here>

The 505 test measuring COD ability was administered following established protocols (55,56). Participants were instructed to use their preferred foot and turning technique to complete the test as fast as possible. They sprinted through a timing gate (Witty, Microgate, Bolzano, Italy) positioned 5 m before a marked 15-m turning point, planting either foot on or behind this line before turning 180° and sprinting back through the gate (Figure 4). Any trial with premature turns or incorrect foot placement was repeated. The best 505 time was retained for analysis, measured to the nearest hundredth of a second.

<Insert Figure 4 here>

The RSA test utilized in this study consisted of seven 30-m sprints with 20-second recovery periods between each sprint, similar to protocols previously used with male soccer players (54,55). Sprint times were recorded to the nearest hundredth of a second using timing gates (Witty, Microgate, Bolzano, Italy) (41). After each sprint, participants jogged back to the start line for the next sprint. They were required to maintain a stationary position for five seconds before starting each sprint and received feedback on the recovery period. Constant verbal encouragement was provided to help participants maximize their effort on each sprint. Two performance metrics were calculated for the RSA test: 1) total time (RSAtt), which was a measure of cumulative time (s) for all seven sprints (54,55), and 2) performance decrement (fatigue index; RSAf) calculated as $[(\text{mean sprint time} - \text{best sprint time}) / \text{best sprint time}] \times 100$, as described by Impellizzeri et al. (12,26).

From the RSA test, 10-m and 30-m sprint times were recorded using gates positioned at 0, 10, and 30 m, measuring 0-10 m and 0-30 m intervals. These sprint distances have been previously employed in the assessment of soccer players (54,61) revealing their acceleration performance (15) and sprinting velocity specific to this sport (96).

Statistical Analyses

All statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics (version 25.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Statistical significance was set *a priori* at $p < 0.05$. The assumption of normality for all variables was confirmed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Pearson's bivariate correlations were first used to examine the relationships between relative SJ peak power and all performance outcomes. Subsequently, partial correlations were used to examine the relationships between UB strength qualities and all HIA outcomes with relative SJ power ($\text{W/kg}^{0.67}$) included as a covariate to control for the influence of lower body (LB) power capacity. The UB metrics included peak force (i.e., F_{max}) for both UB push and UB pull. Handgrip force metrics included peak force (i.e., F_{max}), and time-specific RFD (i.e., RFD_{0-150} , RFD_{0-200}). For all correlation analyses, the magnitude of associations was interpreted using the scale from Hopkins' (46): trivial (<0.10), small ($0.10-0.29$), moderate ($0.30-0.49$), large ($0.50-0.69$), very large ($0.70-0.89$), and nearly perfect (≥ 0.90). Given the exploratory nature of this study, we initially report uncorrected p-values to minimize Type II error. However, to address concerns regarding multiple comparisons, we additionally apply the Benjamini-Hochberg False Discovery Rate (FDR) procedure with $q = 0.05$ across all partial correlations (8). Although the a-priori sample size calculation was based on $\alpha = 0.05$, the FDR correction effectively required a per-test significance level of $p \leq 0.02$ for the partial correlations to remain significant. To confirm that the obtained sample of 63 participants provided sufficient statistical power

under this more stringent threshold, a post-hoc sensitivity analysis was conducted using G*Power (28), showing that the required sample size was calculated to be 49 participants.

Hierarchical multiple regression analysis was used to evaluate the influence of UBSC and HGSC on RSA performance. The sequence of entry included three steps:

- Step 1: SJ power.
- Step 2: UBSC.
- Step 3: HGSC.

This approach allowed us to quantify the incremental variance explained by UBSC and HGSC beyond the primary contribution of leg power for each variable. RSA outcome was chosen for its most consistent positive relationship with greater UB strength (21). The order of entry was determined based on theoretical considerations. Leg power was entered first because SJ performance is a significant correlator with sprinting (110). UBSC (push-pull composite peak force) was entered second as the primary measure of UB strength capacity with a noted potential to enhance RSA (21). HGSC (HGS bilateral peak force) was entered last as a secondary, more distal measure of general neural drive (88), to assess whether it adds explanatory power beyond the UBSC.

To provide an exploratory illustration of the differences in HIA between players with very high versus very low UB strength, we conducted an extreme-groups comparison as a supplementary descriptive analysis. The top 10% ($n = 6$) with the highest UBSC were compared against the bottom 10% ($n = 6$). Given the small sample sizes, normality was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test and visual inspection of distributions. Independent samples t-tests were used to compare groups on key performance variables. The primary focus of this analysis was on the magnitude of the differences, which was quantified using effect sizes (Cohen's d) interpreted according to the expanded thresholds proposed by Sawilowsky (87), where $d \approx 0.01$ = very small, 0.20 = small, 0.50 = medium, 0.80 = large, 1.20 = very large, and ≥ 2.00 = huge. The alpha level for statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Importantly, this analysis is presented only as hypothesis-generating and should not be overinterpreted due to very small subsamples, which can produce unstable estimates, inflated effect sizes, and dichotomization bias.

RESULTS

Descriptive statistics for all UB strength metrics and HIA performance variables are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Pearson's correlation analysis revealed that SJ peak power had large significant associations with CMJ-A height ($r = 0.60, p < 0.001$), small significant associations with 10-m sprint acceleration ($r = -0.29, p = 0.02$), and moderate significant associations with 30-m sprint performance ($r = -0.34, p = 0.007$). Notably, SJ peak power demonstrated no significant correlations with COD ability ($r = 0.01, p = 0.94$) nor RSAtt ($r = -0.22, p = 0.08$).

<Insert Table 1 here>

<Insert Table 2 here>

After controlling for SJ peak power using partial correlations, multiple UB strength metrics demonstrated significant associations with improved HIA performance (Table 3). UBSC showed moderate associations with faster COD 505 times ($r = -0.30, p = 0.02$) and RSAtt ($r = -0.36, p = 0.004$), along with a small association with faster 30-m sprint performance ($r = -0.27, p = 0.03$). Similarly, individual push and pull Fmax metrics demonstrated small but significant associations with faster COD 505 times ($r = -0.29, p = 0.02$; $r = -0.28, p = 0.03$, respectively) and moderate significant associations with faster RSAtt ($r = -0.36, p = 0.004$; $r = -0.33, p = 0.01$, respectively).

<Insert Table 3 here>

Handgrip force metrics, particularly RFD, emerged as the strongest unique correlates of 30-m and RSAtt after accounting for leg power (Table 4). For 30-m sprint time, significant moderate-to-large associations were found between faster times and Fmax ($r = -0.39, p = 0.002$), RFD₁₅₀ ($r = -0.49, p < 0.001$), and RFD₂₀₀ ($r = -0.52, p < 0.001$). Similarly, significant moderate-to-large associations were observed between Fmax ($r = -0.44, p < 0.001$), RFD₁₅₀ ($r = -0.53, p < 0.001$), RFD₂₀₀ ($r = -0.55, p < 0.001$) and faster RSAtt. Both RFD metrics also displayed moderate associations with faster 505 test times ($r = -0.34, p = 0.006$), suggesting that rapid force generation capacity was linked to improved COD performance. Lastly, 10-m sprint times demonstrated small-to-moderate significant correlations with higher Fmax ($r = -0.26, p = 0.04$), RFD₁₅₀ (r

= -0.28, $p = 0.03$), and RFD₂₀₀ ($r = -0.30$, $p = 0.02$). In contrast, CMJ height and the RSAf showed no significant relationship with any handgrip metric.

<Insert Table 4 here>

Notably, after applying the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure ($q = 0.05$) across all partial correlations ($n = 36$), only those with original $p \leq 0.02$ remained significant after correction, while those with original $p \geq 0.03$ did not. Hence, the borderline significance noted for 30-m sprint and UBSC ($r = -0.27$, $p = 0.03$), COD and pull Fmax ($r = -0.28$, $p = 0.03$), as well as for 10-m sprint and HGSC ($r = -0.26$, $p = 0.04$) and RFD₁₅₀ ($r = -0.28$, $p = 0.03$) must be interpreted with caution.

The hierarchical regression analysis revealed a distinct predictive hierarchy for RSAtt (Tables 5a, 5b, 5c). SJ power alone explained 4.8% of the variance in RSAtt, although this effect did not reach statistical significance ($R^2 = 0.048$, $p = 0.083$). Nevertheless, the addition of UBSC at Step 2 significantly improved the model, increasing explained variance by 12.1% to a total of 17.0% ($\Delta R^2 = 0.121$, $p = 0.004$), and the subsequent inclusion of HGSC at Step 3 further increased explained variance by 12.4% to a total of 29.3% ($\Delta R^2 = 0.124$, $p = 0.002$).

<Insert Tables 5a, 5b, 5c here>

The exploratory extreme-groups analysis revealed that top 10% players with the highest UB strength outperformed those with the lowest UB strength in all performance metrics despite having a small disadvantage with leg power (Supplementary Table 1). Most notably, they demonstrated a large advantage in RSAtt, completing the RSA test significantly faster ($d = -1.92$, $p = 0.006$). Likewise, the high-strength group was significantly faster in both a 505 COD test ($d = -0.72$, $p = 0.002$) and a 30-m sprint ($d = -0.77$, $p = 0.002$), with both differences representing moderate to large effects. However, with only six players per group, these estimates are inherently unstable, effect sizes may be inflated, and extreme-group dichotomization is prone to bias, so this analysis should be treated as purely exploratory.

343

344 DISCUSSION

345 This is the first study, to the authors' knowledge, to investigate the relationship between isometric UB
346 strength, as assessed via horizontal push–pull maximal force and handgrip force metrics, and high-
347 intensity running and jumping actions in professional soccer players after controlling for body mass and
348 SJ power. The results show that UB strength (i.e., push–pull composite, UBSC) had moderate significant
349 correlations with faster RSAtt and 505 COD, while maximal handgrip strength (i.e., bilateral composite,
350 HGSC) demonstrated moderate significant correlations with faster 30-m sprints and RSAtt. Notably,
351 however, the highest associations were observed for handgrip RFD measures (RFD₁₅₀ and RFD₂₀₀) which
352 exhibited large significant correlations with faster RSAtt and 30-m sprints, complemented by moderate
353 significant correlations with faster COD times. Hierarchical regression analysis showed that after
354 controlling for leg influence (i.e., SJ power), RSA was significantly explained by UBSC ($\Delta R^2 = 12.1\%$, $p =$
355 0.004) and, subsequently, by HGSC ($\Delta R^2 = 12.4\%$, $p = 0.002$). Together, these results suggest that relative
356 UB strength, particularly handgrip RFD, is linked to faster high-intensity running performances, which is
357 especially pronounced for RSA.

358 Key findings of the current study were that UBSC (push–pull composite score) significantly correlated with
359 improved RSAtt ($r = -0.36$, $p < 0.01$), with HGSC (left- and right-HGS composite score) demonstrating the
360 strongest associations, particularly for RFD measures ($r \approx -0.54$; $p < 0.001$). These findings were
361 corroborated by the hierarchical regression analysis, which showed that after controlling for leg power,
362 UBSC independently explained an additional 12.1% of the variance in RSAtt ($p = 0.004$), and HGSC added
363 a further 12.4% ($p = 0.002$), together accounting for 29.3% of the total variance. To the authors'
364 knowledge, no previous work has examined these relationships in soccer players. The link between
365 nonspecific UB strength and RSA is, however, supported in research on other multidirectional sports. For
366 instance, Balsalobre-Fernández et al. (6) reported large-to-very-large correlations between bench press
367 maximal force/power outputs and RSA ($r = -0.61$ to -0.86 , $p < 0.05$), indicating that basketball players
368 with greater concentric UB strength and power were able to maintain performance better across repeated
369 sprints. Similarly, Ingebrigtsen and Jeffreys (47) found large significant relationships between 1-RM bench
370 press strength and better 10-m RSA performance in elite youth handball players ($r = -0.56$, $p < 0.05$).
371 Together, these findings highlight a consistent pattern linking greater UB strength with enhanced RSA
372 performances across different team sports. The observed results may be attributed to two key factors: 1)
373 a protective neural mechanism that enhances motor cortex efficiency, reducing the neural cost of muscle

activation (50), and 2) a biomechanical advantage gained from powerful movements with the upper extremities (44). In a sport like soccer, where HIA are performed in rapid succession (31,78), mitigating fatigue in this way could directly translate to improved match performance (68). Notably, however, while UB strength significantly influenced RSAtt in this study, no significant associations were found for the RSAf. This discrepancy may be attributable to the considerably lower reliability of RSA fatigue measures (CVs = 11–50%) compared to the cumulative time (CVs < 3%) (104).

Our results also showed that UBSC was moderately associated with faster COD 505 times ($r = -0.30$, $p < 0.05$). Likewise, handgrip RFD demonstrated significant moderate relationship with this test ($r \approx -0.34$, $p < 0.01$). Although no other studies seem to have directly investigated the link between general UB strength and COD ability, greater maximal isometric trunk strength has been assessed as a key force transmitter in COD movements by linking the upper torso with the lower extremities (21,40,85). This connection between UB, trunk, and LB muscles could be explained via shared central neural drive that facilitates rapid activation of all extremities (25). In this context, effective bracing and rapid activation of strong upper-limb musculature may contribute to coordinated whole-body force production, thereby positively influencing COD performance via improved force transfer (84). Mechanistically, this link could be realized by the integrated myofascial pathways involving the latissimus dorsi, thoracolumbar fascia, and contralateral gluteus maximus (90) with dissipating rotational and propulsive forces across the trunk during the deceleration and re-acceleration phases (86). This interconnected system likely supports synchronized activation of UB and LB musculature, improving trunk stiffness, pelvic stability, and the arm–leg coordination required for rapid directional changes (21).

Using partial correlation analyses, the present study showed that HGSC and RFD measures were significantly associated with faster 30-m sprint performance ($r = -0.39$ and $r \approx -0.50$, respectively; $p < 0.001$) with weaker associations observed for 10-m sprints ($r = -0.26$ and $r \approx -0.29$, respectively; $p < 0.05$). There were no significant associations between the measures of UB strength and sprinting times. Evidence from other soccer-specific research is somewhat congruent, though mixed in scope. Tuncel et al. (103) reported large significant correlations between maximal shoulder press strength and faster 10-m and 30-m sprint times ($r = -0.50$ and $r = -0.60$, $p < 0.05$), although no significant associations were observed for 1-RM bench press or Lat pulldown (103). Similarly, HGS displayed large correlations with improved 30-m sprinting in elite youth soccer ($r = -0.62$ to -0.73), explaining up to 67% of sprint variance when combined with age (88). In other multidirectional sports, a moderate significant association has been observed between 3-RM bench press strength and flying 30-m sprint performance for Australian Football players

(116), yet other findings failed to observe significant relationships with 10-m or 30-m sprints (47,116). Collectively, these findings indicate that general unspecific UB strength may not have significant relevance for maximum sprinting performance while neuromuscular qualities reflected in handgrip force capacity may be helpful. It is possible that players with greater exposure to frequent HIA are more likely to benefit from improved UB strength qualities due to more pronounced transfer compared to those with less frequent engagement in these actions which could have influenced the noted relationships; however, this remains speculative and should be examined in future research.

Interestingly, there were no significant correlations found between UBSC nor HGS and vertical jumping performance in this study. This is consistent with research showing the lack of relationship between greater UB strength (measured by 1-RM bench press) and jumping height in collegiate basketball players (109). While arm swing can facilitate higher activation and contribution of back extensors to vertical propulsion (13,14,21), it seems that nonspecific UB strength has no significant role for this action. In contrast to findings of the current study, however, HGS metrics have been found to significantly correlate with improved jumping performances across athletic populations (65,74,84,88). For example, Schulz et al. (88) reported large correlations between HGS and both vertical and horizontal jumps in elite youth soccer players ($r = 0.69\text{--}0.75$, $p < 0.01$). The absence of similar relationships in our data likely reflects the fact that we controlled for LB power, a dominant confounding factor not adjusted for in other investigations. Considering that bilateral CMJ from a standing position rarely reflects a true soccer-specific jumping performance, other approaches to testing this ability may be warranted (30).

Despite heavy physical demands of professional soccer, UB strength training remains surprisingly underdeveloped and undertrained (42,66,67,83,113). Indeed, athletes from other contact-heavy team sports consistently display far superior UB strength levels (5,11,80) along with better HIA results (57) despite similar leg strength (17,19,73,97). In our exploratory supplementary analysis, the top 10% of players with the highest UB strength showed large advantages in RSAtt ($d = -1.92$), 30-m sprint ($d = -0.77$), and COD ($d = -0.72$) over the bottom 10%, despite no significant differences in leg power. Although purely speculative, this stark performance gap may suggest that players who have invested time in UB strength training might have reaped greater benefits for HIA performance compared to those with innately high UB strength levels. However, because we did not measure players' training history, previous UB resistance training exposure, or specific program content, it is impossible to determine whether the observed performance differences are attributable to prior UB strength training exposure. Future intervention studies that directly manipulate UB training and control for baseline strength are needed to test whether

UB training can causally improve HIA performance. Notably, a recent systematic review (25) has proposed that repeated high-threshold motor unit recruitment during UB strength training could induce vertical strength transfer via enhanced central neural drive and systemic myokine-mediated adaptations, potentially elevating whole-body neuromuscular capacity independently of direct biomechanical force transfer. While this mechanism offers a plausible theoretical basis for future investigations, it is not tested by the present data and should be interpreted as hypothesis-generating only.

A principal strength of this investigation compared to other similar studies is its methodological rigor by employing allometric scaling to account for body mass and controlling for a direct measure of LB power—critical confounders often overlooked in prior literature. Additionally, the selection of force metrics was informed by independently published test–retest reliability studies using the same instruments (23,24). Despite these strengths, certain limitations warrant consideration. The control for LB influence was confined to a metric specific to vertical jumping performance, whereas other leg strength qualities like RFD, particularly in the horizontal direction, were not obtained and accounted for despite being potentially a better determinant of HIA (102,117). Furthermore, the inclusion of players from three different clubs, alongside the pooling of outfield positions, may have introduced contextual variability and residual confounding due to differences in training environment, tactical demands, and UB training practices. Additionally, differences in playing profiles may influence the observed associations, as players who rely more on HIA (e.g., sprinting and COD) are likely to engage in these actions more frequently, thereby placing UB strength within a more relevant performance context. In contrast, players who are slower and rely more on physical duels or other physical capacities may engage less in HIA, potentially reducing the extent to which nonspecific UB strength relates to HIA performance. Lastly, although structured familiarization was provided immediately prior to testing, all assessments were conducted within a single session. Given that RFD measures are sensitive to familiarization, contraction onset detection, and trial-to-trial consistency, it cannot be confirmed that the values fully reflect stable neuromuscular performance. Future studies should therefore consider multi-session familiarization protocols to improve reliability.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that relative isometric UB strength and handgrip measures were associated with significantly faster RSA and 30-m sprint performance, with less pronounced but still significant associations observed for COD. Handgrip RFD measures emerged as the strongest correlate, demonstrating largest associations. Future longitudinal studies should compare an intervention group performing targeted UB strength and power training designed to elicit broad neuromuscular adaptations

with a control group following an otherwise identical regimen, ideally ensuring that participants possess comparable performance profiles or are stratified based on their reliance on HIA (e.g., faster players). Such a design would allow isolation of causal effects and directly test the hypothesis that this training approach may enhance HIA, particularly in players who rely on these actions to gain a competitive advantage.

PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

Strength and conditioning practitioners working in professional soccer may consider UB isometric strength and rapid force production as physical qualities associated with high-intensity running performance. While the present findings do not establish causality, they suggest that UB strength characteristics reflected in maximal force capacity and RFD qualities may be relevant contributors to HIA and therefore warrant further investigation in longitudinal intervention-based research. Although not directly examined in this study, there is a preliminary rationale to suggest that short-duration maximal isometric efforts, heavy resisted UB movements, and grip-based strength exercises could provide a low-impact method to enhance sprint-related qualities without increasing mechanical stress on the lower limbs. Integrating targeted UB sessions 1-2 times per week alongside standard soccer conditioning programs may therefore represent a practical and time-efficient strategy to support HIA in professional soccer players.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors declare that no external funding was received for this study. The authors report no professional relationships with companies or manufacturers that could benefit from the results of the present study. The results of this study do not constitute endorsement of any product by the authors or the National Strength and Conditioning Association. The authors would like to thank the players and coaching staff for their participation and cooperation during data collection.

REFERENCES

[1] Andrades-Ramírez O, Ulloa-Díaz D, Alfaro Castillo B, Arroyo-Jofré P, Castillo-Paredes A, Chiroso-Ríos L. Test–retest reliability of an isometric and isometric/vibratory muscular strength protocol with functional electro-mechanical dynamometry. *Sports (Basel)*. 2024;12(7):175. doi:10.3390/sports12070175.

496 [2] Ashworth B, Hogben P, Singh N, Tulloch L, Cohen DD. The athletic shoulder (ASH) test: Reliability of a
 497 novel upper body isometric strength test in elite rugby players. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med*.
 498 2018;4:e000365. doi:10.1136/bmjsem-2018-000365.

499 [3] Augustsson J, Gunhamn T, Andersson H. An assessment of the ratio between upper body push and
 500 pull strength in female and male elite Swedish track and field throwers. *Sports (Basel)*. 2024;12(8):201.
 501 doi:10.3390/sports12080201.

502 [4] Baena-Raya A, Soriano-Maldonado A, Conceição F, et al. Association of the vertical and horizontal
 503 force-velocity profile and acceleration with change of direction ability in various sports. *Eur J Sport Sci*.
 504 2021;21:1659–1667. doi:10.1080/17461391.2020.1856934.

505 [5] Baker D. Comparison of upper-body strength and power between professional and college-aged
 506 rugby league players. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2001;15(1):30–35.

507 [6] Balsalobre-Fernández C, Tejero-González CM, Campo-Vecino JD, et al. Relationships among repeated
 508 sprint ability, vertical jump performance and upper-body strength in professional basketball players.
 509 *Arch Med Deporte*. 2014;31:148–153.

510 [7] Bellar D, Marcus L, Judge LW. Validation and reliability of a novel test of upper body isometric
 511 strength. *J Hum Kinet*. 2015;47:189–195. doi:10.1515/hukin-2015-0074.

512 [8] Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to
 513 multiple testing. *J R Stat Soc Series B Methodol*. 1995;57(1):289–300. doi:10.1111/j.2517-
 514 6161.1995.tb02031.x.

515 [9] Benton MJ, Spicher JM, Silva-Smith AL. Validity and reliability of handgrip dynamometry in older
 516 adults: A comparison of two widely used dynamometers. *PLoS One*. 2022;17(6):e0270132.
 517 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0270132.

518 [10] Beretić I, Durović M, Okičić T, Dopsaj M. Relations between lower body isometric muscle force
 519 characteristics and start performance in elite male sprint swimmers. *J Sports Sci Med*. 2013;12(4):639–
 520 645.

521 [11] Bilsborough JC, Greenway K, Livingston S, Cordy J, Coutts AJ. Changes in anthropometry, upper-
 522 body strength, and nutrient intake in professional Australian football players during a season. *Int J*
 523 *Sports Physiol Perform*. 2016;11(3):290–300. doi:10.1123/ijsspp.2014-0447.

524 [12] Bishop D, Spencer M, Duffield R, Lawrence S. The validity of a repeated sprint ability test. *J Sci Med*
 525 *Sport*. 2001;4(1):19–29. doi:10.1016/S1440-2440(01)80004-9.

526 [13] Blache Y, Monteil K. Influence of lumbar spine extension on vertical jump height during maximal
 527 squat jumping. *J Sports Sci*. 2014;32(7):642–651. doi:10.1080/02640414.2013.845680.

528 [14] Blache Y, Monteil KM. Effects of spine flexion and erector spinae maximal force on vertical squat
 529 jump height: A computational simulation study. *Sports Biomech*. 2015;14(1):81–94.
 530 doi:10.1080/14763141.2015.1024278.

531 [15] Boone J, Vaeyens R, Steyaert A, Vanden Bossche L, Bourgois J. Physical fitness of elite Belgian soccer
532 players by player position. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2012;26(8):2051–2057.
533 doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e318239f84f.

534 [16] Buchheit M. The numbers will love you back in return—I promise. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.*
535 2016;11(4):551–554. doi:10.1123/ijsp.2016-0214.

536 [17] Caia J, Doyle TLA, Benson AC. A cross-sectional lower-body power profile of elite and subelite
537 Australian football players. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2013;27(10):2836–2841.
538 doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e3182815743.

539 [18] Chiu LZ, Bryanton MA, Moolyk AN. Proximal-to-distal sequencing in vertical jumping with and
540 without arm swing. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2014;28(5):1195–1202. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000000388.

541 [19] Comfort P, Bullock N, Pearson SJ. A comparison of maximal squat strength and 5-, 10-, and 20-
542 meter sprint times, in athletes and recreationally trained men. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2012;26(4):937–
543 940. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e31822e5889.

544 [20] Courel-Ibáñez J, Hernández-Belmonte A, Cava-Martínez A, Pallarés JG. Familiarization and reliability
545 of the isometric knee extension test for rapid force production assessment. *Appl Sci.* 2020;10(13):4499.
546 doi:10.3390/app10134499.

547 [21] Curovic I, Grecic D, Rhodes D, et al. Potential importance of maximal upper body strength-
548 generating qualities and upper body strength training for performance of high-intensity running and
549 jumping actions: A scoping review. *Sports (Basel).* 2024;12:357. doi:10.3390/sports12120357.

550 [22] Curovic I, Grecic D, Rhodes D, Alexander J, Harper DJ. The importance of upper body strength
551 testing and training for performance of high-intensity actions in professional soccer players: A survey
552 exploring perceptions and current practices of soccer strength and conditioning coaches. *Int J Strength*
553 *Cond.* 2025;5(1). doi:10.47206/ijsc.v5i1.405.

554 [23] Curovic I, Markovic M, Toskic L, Alexander J, Harper DJ. Intraday and interday reliability of
555 horizontal upper body push and pull isometric strength qualities using the VALD DynaMo Max
556 dynamometer. *Biomechanics.* 2026;6(1):26. doi:10.3390/biomechanics6010026.

557 [24] Curovic I, Markovic M, Toskic L, Alexander J, Harper DJ. Intraday and interday reliability of maximal
558 and explosive handgrip force–time metrics using the Kinvent K-Grip handheld dynamometer. *Muscles.*
559 2026;5(2):24. doi:10.3390/muscles5020024.

560 [25] Curovic I, Rhodes D, Alexander J, Harper DJ. Vertical strength transfer phenomenon between upper
561 body and lower body exercise: Systematic scoping review. *Sports Med.* 2024;54(8):2109–2139.
562 doi:10.1007/s40279-024-02039-8.

563 [26] Duarte JP, Coelho-e-Silva MJ, Costa D, Martinho D, Luz LGO, Rebelo-Gonçalves R, et al. Repeated
564 sprint ability in youth soccer players: Independent and combined effects of relative age and biological
565 maturity. *J Hum Kinet.* 2019;67:209–221. doi:10.2478/hukin-2018-0090.

566 [27] Durandt J, Tee JC, Prim SK, Lambert MI. Physical fitness components associated with performance in
567 a multiple-sprint test. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2006;1(2):150–160. doi:10.1123/ijsp.1.2.150.

568 [28] Faul F, Erdfelder E, Buchner A, Lang AG. Statistical power analyses using G*Power 3.1: Tests for
569 correlation and regression analyses. *Behav Res Methods*. 2009;41(4):1149–1160.
570 doi:10.3758/BRM.41.4.1149.

571 [29] Filingeri D, Bianco A, Palma A. Handgrip strength: A predictive indicator of upper body maximal
572 strength? *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*. 2013;53(4):453–454.

573 [30] Fíler A, Olivares Jabalera J, Molina-Molina A, Suárez-Arrones L, Robles-Rodríguez J, Dos’Santos T, et
574 al. Effect of ball inclusion on jump performance in soccer players: A biomechanical approach. *Sci Med*
575 *Footb*. 2022;6(2):241–247. doi:10.1080/24733938.2021.1915495.

576 [31] Filter A, Olivares-Jabalera J, Dos’Santos T, Madruga M, Lozano J, Molina A, et al. High-intensity
577 actions in elite soccer: Current status and future perspectives. *Int J Sports Med*. Epub ahead of print.
578 2023. doi:10.1055/a-2013-1661.

579 [32] Freitas TT, Pereira LA, Alcaraz PE, et al. Influence of strength and power capacity on change of
580 direction speed and deficit in elite team-sport athletes. *J Hum Kinet*. 2019;68:167–176.
581 doi:10.2478/hukin-2019-0069.

582 [33] Froidmont A. Upper Extremity Function in Sprint Running. Master’s Thesis, Northern Arizona
583 University, Physical Sciences, 2013.

584 [34] Fuchs PX, Menzel HJ, Guidotti F, Bell J, von Duvillard SP, Wagner H. Spike jump biomechanics in
585 male versus female elite volleyball players. *J Sports Sci*. 2019;37(21):2411–2419.
586 doi:10.1080/02640414.2019.1639437.

587 [35] Giles G, Lutton G, Martin J. Scoping review of the isometric mid-thigh pull performance relationship
588 to dynamic sport performance assessments. *J Funct Morphol Kinesiol*. 2022;7(4):114.
589 doi:10.3390/jfkm7040114.

590 [36] Harper DJ, Sandford GN, Clubb J, Young M, Taberner M, Rhodes D, et al. Elite football of 2030 will
591 not be the same as that of 2020: What has evolved and what needs to evolve? *Scand J Med Sci Sports*.
592 2021;31(2):493–494. doi:10.1111/sms.13876.

593 [37] Harper DJ, Carling C, Kiely J. High-intensity acceleration and deceleration demands in elite team
594 sports competitive match play: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Sports*
595 *Med*. 2019;49:1923–1947. doi:10.1007/s40279-019-01170-1.

596 [38] Harper DJ, Jordan AR, Kiely J. Relationships between eccentric and concentric knee strength
597 capacities and maximal linear deceleration ability in male academy soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res*.
598 2021;35(2):465–472. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000002739.

599 [39] Harper DJ, McBurnie AJ, Dos’Santos T, et al. Biomechanical and neuromuscular performance
600 requirements of horizontal deceleration: A review with implications for random intermittent multi-
601 directional sports. *Sports Med*. 2022;52(10):2321–2354. doi:10.1007/s40279-022-01693-0.

602 [40] Havens KL, Sigward SM. Whole body mechanics differ among running and cutting maneuvers in
603 skilled athletes. *Gait Posture*. 2015;42(3):240–245. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2014.07.022.

604 [41] Hernández-Davó JL, Loturco I, Pereira LA, Cesari R, Pratdesaba J, Madruga-Parera M, et al.
605 Relationship between sprint, change of direction, jump, and hexagon test performance in young tennis
606 players. *J Sports Sci Med*. 2021;20(2):197–203. doi:10.52082/jssm.2021.197.

607 [42] Hertzog M, Rumpf MC, Hader K. Resistance training status and effectiveness of low-frequency
608 resistance training on upper-body strength and power in highly trained soccer players. *J Strength Cond*
609 *Res*. 2020;34(4):1032–1039. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000002202.

610 [43] Hewit J, Cronin J, Button C, et al. Understanding change of direction performance via the 90° turn
611 and sprint test. *Strength Cond J*. 2010;32(6):82–88. doi:10.1519/SSC.0b013e3181f9d8d6.

612 [44] Hinrichs RN. A three-dimensional analysis of the net moments at the shoulder and elbow joints in
613 running and their relationship to upper extremity EMG activity. In: Winter DA (ed) *Biomechanics IX-B*.
614 Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics, 1985.

615 [45] Hinrichs RN, Cavanagh PR, Williams KR. Upper extremity function in running. I: Center of mass and
616 propulsion considerations. *J Appl Biomech*. 1987;3(3):222–241. doi:10.1123/ijbsb.3.3.222.

617 [46] Hopkins WG. A New View of Statistics. Available at: <http://www.sportsci.org/resource/stats/>

618 [47] Ingebrigtsen J, Jeffreys I. The relationship between speed, strength and jumping abilities in elite
619 junior handball players. *Serb J Sports Sci*. 2012;6(3):83–88.

620 [48] Jaric S. Muscle strength testing: Use of normalisation for body size. *Sports Med*. 2002;32(10):615–
621 631. doi:10.2165/00007256-200232100-00002.

622 [49] Jaric S, Ristanovic D, Corcos DM. The relationship between muscle kinetic parameters and kinematic
623 variables in a complex movement. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol*. 1989;59(5):370–376.
624 doi:10.1007/BF02389813.

625 [50] Jenkins NDM, Miramonti AA, Hill EC, Smith CM, Cochrane-Snyman KC, Housh TJ, et al. Greater
626 neural adaptations following high- vs. low-load resistance training. *Front Physiol*. 2017;8:331.
627 doi:10.3389/fphys.2017.00331.

628 [51] Krstrup P, Mohr M, Nybo L, Majgaard Jensen J, Jung Nielsen J, Bangsbo J. The Yo-Yo IR2 test:
629 Physiological response, reliability, and application to elite soccer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*.
630 2006;38(9):1666–1673. doi:10.1249/01.mss.0000227538.20799.08.

631 [52] Labott BK, Donath L. Agility performance in healthy older adults is associated with handgrip
632 strength and force development: Results from a 1-year randomized controlled trial. *Eur Geriatr Med*.
633 2023;14(3):547–555. doi:10.1007/s41999-023-00789-8.

634 [53] Lees A, Vanrenterghem J, De Clercq D. Understanding how an arm swing enhances performance in
635 the vertical jump. *J Biomech*. 2004;37(12):1929–1940. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2004.02.021.

636 [54] Lockie RG, Davis DL, Birmingham-Babauta SA, et al. Physiological characteristics of incoming
637 freshmen field players in a men’s Division I collegiate soccer team. *Sports (Basel)*. 2016;4(2):34.
638 doi:10.3390/sports4020034.

639 [55] Lockie RG, Moreno MR, Orjalo AJ, Stage AA, Liu TM, Birmingham-Babauta SA, et al. Repeated-sprint
640 ability in Division I collegiate male soccer players: Positional differences and relationships with
641 performance tests. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2019;33(5):1362–1370. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000001948.

642 [56] Lockie RG, Stage AA, Stokes JJ, Orjalo AJ, Davis DL, Giuliano DV, et al. Relationships and predictive
643 capabilities of jump assessments to soccer-specific field test performance in Division I collegiate players.
644 *Sports (Basel).* 2016;4(4):56. doi:10.3390/sports4040056.

645 [57] Loturco I, Pereira LA, Reis VP, Abad CCC, Freitas TT, Azevedo PHSM, et al. Change of direction
646 performance in elite players from different team sports. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2022;36(3):862–866.
647 doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000003502.

648 [58] Lum D, Aziz L. Validity and reliability of the isometric prone bench pull test. *Int J Sports Med.*
649 2020;41(8):520–527. doi:10.1055/a-1103-2173.

650 [59] Lum D, Haff GG, Barbosa TM. The relationship between isometric force-time characteristics and
651 dynamic performance: A systematic review. *Sports (Basel).* 2020;8(5):63. doi:10.3390/sports8050063.

652 [60] Maffiuletti NA, Aagaard P, Blazevich AJ, et al. Rate of force development: Physiological and
653 methodological considerations. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2016;116:1091–1116. doi:10.1007/s00421-016-3346-
654 6.

655 [61] Maio Alves JMV, Rebelo AN, Abrantes C, Sampaio J. Short-term effects of complex and contrast
656 training in soccer players' vertical jump, sprint, and agility abilities. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2010;24(4):936–
657 941. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181c7c5fd.

658 [62] Markovic G, Dizdar D, Jukic I, Cardinale M. Reliability and factorial validity of squat and
659 countermovement jump tests. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2004;18(3):551–555. doi:10.1519/00124278-
660 200408000-00028.

661 [63] Mason L, Kirkland A, Steele J, et al. The relationship between isometric mid-thigh pull variables and
662 athletic performance measures: Empirical study of English professional soccer players and meta-analysis
663 of extant literature. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness.* 2021;61. doi:10.23736/S0022-4707.20.11205-2.

664 [64] Maurya PS, Sisneros KP, Johnson E, Palmer TB. Handgrip peak force and rate of force development
665 measurements: Are they reliable and do they correlate with vertical jump power? *Int J Exerc Sci:*
666 *Conference proceedings: Vol. 2: Iss. 15, Article 33.* Available
667 at: <https://digitalcommons.wku.edu/ijesab/vol2/iss15/33>

668 [65] Maurya PS, Sisneros KP, Johnson EB, Palmer TB. Reliability of handgrip strength measurements and
669 their relationship with muscle power. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness.* 2023;63(7):805–811.
670 doi:10.23736/S0022-4707.23.14820-1.

671 [66] McIntyre MC. A comparison of the physiological profiles of elite Gaelic footballers, hurlers, and
672 soccer players. *Br J Sports Med.* 2005;39(7):437–439. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2004.013631.

673 [67] McQuilliam SJ, Clark DR, Erskine RM, Brownlee TE. Global differences in current strength and
674 conditioning practice within soccer. *Int J Sports Sci Coach.* 2024;19:182–191.
675 doi:10.1177/17479541221136048.

676 [68] Modric T, Versic S, Jukic I, Sekulic D. Physical performance discriminating winning and losing in UEFA
677 Champions League: A full-season study. *Biol Sport*. 2025;42:3–9. doi:10.5114/biolSport.2025.139076.

678 [69] Murphy AJ, Wilson GJ, Pryor JF, Newton RU. Isometric assessment of muscular function: The effect
679 of joint angle. *J Appl Biomech*. 1995;11(2):205–215. doi:10.1123/jab.11.2.205.

680 [70] Nagahara R, Mizutani M, Matsuo A, Kanehisa H, Fukunaga T. Association of Sprint Performance
681 With Ground Reaction Forces During Acceleration and Maximal Speed Phases in a Single Sprint. *J Appl*
682 *Biomech*. 2018;34(2):104–110. doi:10.1123/jab.2016-0356.

683 [71] Otsuka M, Ito T, Honjo T, et al. Scapula behavior associates with fast sprinting in first accelerated
684 running. *Springerplus*. 2016;5:682. doi:10.1186/s40064-016-2291-5.

685 [72] Paoli A, Pacelli QF, Cancellara P, et al. Myosin isoforms and contractile properties of single fibers of
686 human latissimus dorsi muscle. *Biomed Res Int*. 2013;2013:249398. doi:10.1155/2013/249398.

687 [73] Papla M, Krzysztofik M, Wojdala G, Rocznik R, Oslizlo M, Golas A. Relationships between linear
688 sprint, lower-body power output and change of direction performance in elite soccer players. *Int J*
689 *Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(17):6119. doi:10.3390/ijerph17176119.

690 [74] Park MH, Park DY, Kim KR, Kang MB. The relationship between grip strength, back strength and
691 performance in vertical and standing long jump in athletes. *Isokinet Exerc Sci*. 2025;33(3).
692 doi:10.1177/09593020251327901.

693 [75] Parsonage J, Secomb J, Dowse R, Ferrier B, Sheppard J, Nimphius S. The assessment of isometric,
694 dynamic, and sports-specific upper-body strength in male and female competitive surfers. *Sports*
695 (Basel). 2018;6(2):53. doi:10.3390/sports6020053.

696 [76] Peterson MD, Alvar BA, Rhea MR. The contribution of maximal force production to explosive
697 movement among young collegiate athletes. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2006;20(4):867–873.

698 [77] Pineda D, Hudak J, Bingham GE, Taber CB. Validity and reliability of an isometric row in quantifying
699 maximal force production in collegiate rowers. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2023;37(8):e462–e465.
700 doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000004445.

701 [78] Rampinini E, Sassi A, Morelli A, Mazzoni S, Fanchini M, Coutts AJ. Repeated-sprint ability in
702 professional and amateur soccer players. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab*. 2009;34(6):1048–1054.
703 doi:10.1139/H09-111.

704 [79] Reichert L, Müller T, Wieland B, Fleddermann MT, Zentgraf K. Upper-body isometric horizontal
705 strength in game sport athletes. *Front Sports Act Living*. 2023;5:1213957.
706 doi:10.3389/fspor.2023.1213957.

707 [80] Robbins DW. Relationships between National Football League combine performance measures. *J*
708 *Strength Cond Res*. 2012;26(1):226–231. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e31821d5e1b.

709 [81] de Ruiter CJ, van Leeuwen D, Heijblom A, Bobbert MF, de Haan A. Fast unilateral isometric knee
710 extension torque development and bilateral jump height. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2006;38(10):1843–1852.
711 doi:10.1249/01.mss.0000227644.14102.50.

712 [82] Ruprai RK, Tajpuriya SV, Mishra N. Handgrip strength as determinant of upper body
713 strength/physical fitness: A comparative study among individuals performing gymnastics (ring athletes)
714 and gymnasium (powerlifters). *Int J Med Sci Public Health*. 2016;5:1167–1172.

715 [83] Sabag A, Lovell R, Walsh NP, et al. Upper-body resistance training following soccer match play:
716 Compatible, complementary, or contraindicated? *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2021;16(2):165–175.
717 doi:10.1123/ijsp.2020-0762.

718 [84] Sarvaiya P, Puntambekar A. Correlation between lower limb power and agility on hand grip strength
719 in three different positions in young fencers. *Int J Innov Res Med Sci*. 2022;7(12):751–755.
720 doi:10.23958/ijirms/vol07-i12/1582.

721 [85] Sasaki S, Koga H, Krosshaug T, Kaneko S, Fukubayashi T. Biomechanical analysis of defensive cutting
722 actions during game situations: Six cases in collegiate soccer competitions. *J Hum Kinet*. 2015;45:9–18.
723 doi:10.1515/hukin-2015-0029.

724 [86] Sasaki S, Nagano Y, Kaneko S, Sakurai T, Fukubayashi T. The relationship between performance and
725 trunk movement during change of direction. *J Sports Sci Med*. 2011;10:112–118.

726 [87] Sawilowsky SS. New effect size rules of thumb. *J Mod Appl Stat Methods*. 2009;8(2):597–599.
727 doi:10.22237/jmasm/1257035100.

728 [88] Schulz SVW, Wizani L, Matits L, et al. Handgrip strength in elite youth football: Potential for
729 performance prediction and the moderating effects of age and maturation. *Front Sports Act Living*.
730 2025;7. doi:10.3389/fspor.2025.1625015.

731 [89] Shield S, Jericevich R, Patel A, Jusufi A. Tails, flails, and sails: How appendages improve terrestrial
732 maneuverability by improving stability. *Integr Comp Biol*. 2021;61(2):506–520. doi:10.1093/icb/icab108.

733 [90] Shin SJ, Kim TY, Yoo WG. Effects of various gait speeds on the latissimus dorsi and gluteus maximus
734 muscles associated with the posterior oblique sling system. *J Phys Ther Sci*. 2013;25(11):1391–1392.
735 doi:10.1589/jpts.25.1391.

736 [91] Sigvaldsen E, Loturco I, Larsen F, Bruusgaard J, Kalhovde JM, Haugen T. Validity and reliability of
737 upper body push and pull tests to determine one-repetition maximum. *PLoS One*. 2023;18(7):e0288649.
738 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0288649.

739 [92] Silva LM, Neiva HP, Marques MC, et al. Effects of warm-up, post-warm-up, and re-warm-up
740 strategies on explosive efforts in team sports: A systematic review. *Sports Med*. 2018;48(10):2285–2299.
741 doi:10.1007/s40279-018-0958-5.

742 [93] Sisneros KP, Maurya PS, Johnson E, Palmer TB. Age-related differences in handgrip strength
743 characteristics and vertical jump performance. *Int J Exerc Sci. Conference Proceedings*: Vol. 2: Iss. 15,
744 Article 35. Available at: <https://digitalcommons.wku.edu/ijesab/vol2/iss15/35>

745 [94] Slawinski J, Houel N, Moreau C, et al. Contribution of segmental kinetic energy to forward
746 propulsion of the centre of mass: Analysis of sprint acceleration. *J Sports Sci*. 2022;40. Epub ahead of
747 print. doi:10.1080/02640414.2022.2066829.

748 [95] Sleivert G, Taingahue M. The relationship between maximal jump-squat power and sprint
749 acceleration in athletes. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2004;91(1):46–52.

750 [96] Sporis G, Jukic I, Ostojic SM, Milanovic D. Fitness profiling in soccer: Physical and physiologic
751 characteristics of elite players. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2009;23(7):1947–1953.
752 doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181b3e141.

753 [97] Styles WJ, Matthews MJ, Comfort P. Effects of strength training on squat and sprint performance in
754 soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2016;30(6):1534–1539. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000001243.

755 [98] Suchomel TJ, Nimphius S, Stone MH. Scaling isometric mid-thigh pull maximum strength in Division I
756 athletes: Are we meeting the assumptions? *Sports Biomech.* 2020;19(4):532–546.
757 doi:10.1080/14763141.2018.1498910.

758 [99] Szaflik P, Zadoń H, Michnik R, Nowakowska-Lipiec K. Handgrip strength as an indicator of overall
759 strength and functional performance—systematic review. *Appl Sci.* 2025;15(4):1847.
760 doi:10.3390/app15041847.

761 [100] Thomas C, Comfort P, Jones PA, Dos'Santos T. A comparison of isometric midthigh-pull strength,
762 vertical jump, sprint speed, and change-of-direction speed in academy netball players. *Int J Sports*
763 *Physiol Perform.* 2017;12(7):916–921. doi:10.1123/ijsp.2016-0317.

764 [101] Tillin NA, Pain MTG, Folland JP. Explosive force production during isometric squats correlates with
765 athletic performance in rugby union players. *J Sports Sci.* 2012;31(1):66–76.
766 doi:10.1080/02640414.2012.720704.

767 [102] Townsend JR, Bender D, Vantrease WC, Hudy J, Huet K, Williamson C, et al. Isometric midthigh pull
768 performance is associated with athletic performance and sprinting kinetics in Division I men and
769 women's basketball players. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2019;33(10):2665–2673.
770 doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000002165.

771 [103] Tunçel A, Harbili S, Harbili E. The correlation of strength with endurance, sprint, and repeated
772 sprint in young soccer players. *Int J New Trends Arts Sports Sci Educ.* 2023;12:182–188.

773 [104] Turner AN, Stewart PF. Repeat sprint ability. *Strength Cond J.* 2013;35(1):37–41.
774 doi:10.1519/SSC.0b013e3182824ea4.

775 [105] Ualí I, Herrero AJ, Garatachea N, Marín PJ, Alvear-Ordenes I, García-López D. Maximal strength on
776 different resistance training rowing exercises predicts start phase performance in elite kayakers. *J*
777 *Strength Cond Res.* 2012;26(4):941–946. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e31822e58f8.

778 [106] Vadher KP, Sanghvi M, Tank KD. The impact of a raise, activate, mobilize, and potentiate (RAMP)
779 warm-up protocol on speed, agility, and endurance in competitive male football players: A quasi-
780 experimental study. *J Soc Indian Physiother.* 2024;8:10.

781 [107] Juneja H, Verma S, Khanna GL. Isometric strength and its relationship to dynamic performance: A
782 systematic review. *J Exerc Sci Physiother.* 2010;6(2):60–69. Available
783 at: <https://search.informit.org/doi/10.3316/informit.846747929026587>

784 [108] Pool-Goudzwaard AL, Vleeming A, Stoeckart R, Wingerden JP, Snijders C. The posterior layer of the
785 thoracolumbar fascia: Its function in load transfer from spine to legs. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*.
786 1995;20(7):753–758. doi:10.1097/00007632-199504000-00001.

787 [109] Walsh MS, Waters JA, Böhm H, Potteiger JA. Gender bias in jumping kinetics in National Collegiate
788 Athletic Association Division I basketball players. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2007;21(3):958–962.
789 doi:10.1519/R-20986.1.

790 [110] Washif JA, Kok LY. Relationships between vertical jump metrics and sprint performance, and
791 qualities that distinguish between faster and slower sprinters. *J Sci Sport Exerc*. 2022;4:135–144.
792 doi:10.1007/s42978-021-00122-4.

793 [111] Weyand PG, Sternlight DB, Bellizzi MJ, Wright S. Faster top running speeds are achieved with
794 greater ground forces not more rapid leg movements. *J Appl Physiol* (1985). 2000;89(5):1991–1999.
795 doi:10.1152/jappl.2000.89.5.1991.

796 [112] Wisløff U, Castagna C, Helgerud J, Hoff J. Strong correlation of maximal squat strength with sprint
797 performance and vertical jump height in elite soccer players. *Br J Sports Med*. 2004;38(3):285–288.
798 doi:10.1136/bjsm.2002.002071.

799 [113] Wisløff U, Helgerud J, Hoff J. Strength and endurance of elite soccer players. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*.
800 1998;30(3):462–467.

801 [114] Young KP, Haff GG, Newton RU, Sheppard JM. Reliability of a novel testing protocol to assess
802 upper-body strength qualities in elite athletes. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2014;9(5):871–875.
803 doi:10.1123/ijsspp.2013-0332.

804 [115] Young W, McLean B, Ardagna J. Relationship between strength qualities and sprinting
805 performance. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*. 1995;35(1):13–19.

806 [116] Young WB, Newton RU, Doyle TL, et al. Physiological and anthropometric characteristics of starters
807 and non-starters and playing positions in elite Australian Rules football: A case study. *J Sci Med Sport*.
808 2005;8(3):333–345. doi:10.1016/S1440-2440(05)80044-1.

809 [117] Zushi K, Kariyama Y, Nagahara R, Yoshida T, Zushi A, Ohyama-Byun K, et al. Association of multi-
810 phase rates of force development during an isometric leg press with vertical jump performances. *PLoS*
811 *One*. 2024;19(2):e0292428. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0292428.

817

818

819

820

821